УДК 517.53 + 519.213.2

ON THE BELONGING OF ANALYTIC IN UNIT DISK CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTIONS OF PROBABILITY LAWS TO GENERALIZED CONVERGENCE CLASS

Oksana Mulyava¹, Myroslav Sheremeta²

¹ Kyiv National University of Food Technologies, Volodymyrska Str., 68, 01004, Kyiv, Ukraine e-mail: info@nuft.edu.ua ² Ivan Franko Lviv National University, Universitetska Str., 1, 79000, Lviv, Ukraine e-mail: m.m.sheremeta@gmail.com

For continuous on $[x_0, +\infty)$ functions α and β increasing to $+\infty$ we say that an analytic in $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ characteristic function φ of a probability law F

belongs to the generalized convergence $\alpha\beta$ -class if $\int_{r_0}^1 \frac{\alpha(\ln M(r,\varphi))}{(1-r)^2\beta(\frac{1}{1-r})} dr < +\infty$,

where $M(r,\varphi) = \max\{|\varphi(z):|z|=r\}$. Conditions on α , β and F are found under which the function φ belongs to the generalized convergence $\alpha\beta$ -class if

and only if
$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \alpha'(x)\beta_1\left(\frac{x}{\ln(W_F(x)e^x)}\right) dx < +\infty$$
, where $\beta_1(x) = \int_x^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\beta(t)}$ and $W_F(x) = 1 - F(x) + F(-x)$.

 $\it Key\ words\colon$ analytic function, probability law, characteristic function, generalized convergence class.

1. Introduction

A continuous on the left on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ non-decreasing function F is said [1, p. 10] to be a probability law if $\lim_{x\to +\infty} F(x)=1$ and $\lim_{x\to -\infty} F(x)=0$, and the function $\varphi(z)=0$

 $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{izx} dF(x) \text{ defined for real } z \text{ is called } [1, \text{ p. } 12] \text{ a characteristic function of this law. If } \varphi \text{ has an analytic continuation on the disk } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ an analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ an analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ an analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ an analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ an analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ an analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ an analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ an analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ an analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ an analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ an analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ an analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ an analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ analytic in } \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \text{ then we call } \varphi \text{ analytic in } \mathbb{D} \text{ analytic in } \mathbb{D} \text{ analytic in } \mathbb{D} \text{ analyti$

 $2010\ Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification\colon 30B50,\ 60E10$

[©] Mulyava, O., Sheremeta, M., 2018

 $\mathbb D$ characteristic function of the law F. Further we always assume that $\mathbb D$ is the maximal disk of the analicity of φ . It is known [1, p. 37–38] that φ is an analytic in $\mathbb D$ characteristic function of the law F if and only if for every $r \in [0, 1)$

(1)
$$W_F(x) =: 1 - F(x) + F(-x) = O(e^{-rx}), \quad x \to +\infty.$$

Hence it follows that

$$\lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{1}{x} \ln \frac{1}{W_F(x)} = 1.$$

For $0 \le r < 1$ we put $M(r, \varphi) = \max\{|\varphi(z)| : |z| = r\}$, and if φ has the order

$$\varrho = \overline{\lim_{r \uparrow 1}} \frac{\ln \ln M(r, \varphi)}{-\ln (1 - r)} > 0$$

a convergence class is defined [2] by the condition

(3)
$$\int_{r_0}^1 (1-r)^{\varrho-1} \ln M(r,\varphi) dr < +\infty.$$

For $\varrho=2$ this condition is sufficient [3, p. 50] in order that φ belong to the class of Mac-Lane.

For an analytic in $\mathbb D$ characteristic function φ of the order $\varrho>0$ in [4] it is proved that in order that φ belong to convergence class it is necessary and in the case when the function $v(x)=\ln\frac{1}{W_F(x)}$ is continuously differentiable and v' increases it is sufficient that

(4)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{1}{x} \ln W_F(x) \right)^+ \right\}^{\varrho+1} dx < +\infty.$$

Generalizing this result in [5] the concept of the convergence Φ -class is introduced as follows.

Let $\Omega(1)$ be the class of positive unbounded on (0,1) functions Φ such that the derivative Φ' is positive continuously differentiable and increasing to $+\infty$ on (0,1).

As in [5], we say that φ belongs to a convergence Φ -class if

(5)
$$\int_{r_0}^{1} \frac{\Phi'(r) \ln M(r, \varphi)}{\Phi^2(r)} dr < +\infty,$$

and by V(1) we denote the class of positive continuously differentiable on $(0, +\infty)$ functions v such that $v'(x) \uparrow 1$ as $x \to +\infty$.

The following theorem was proved in [5].

Theorem 1. Let
$$\Phi \in \Omega(1)$$
, $\frac{\Phi'(r)}{\Phi(r)}$ be a function, nondecreasing on $[r_0, 1)$, $\Phi'(r) > \frac{1}{1-r}$ $\Phi'\left(r + \frac{1}{\Phi'(r)}\right) \leq H_1\Phi'(r)$ and $\frac{\Phi''(r)\Phi(r)}{(\Phi'(r))^2} \leq H_2$ for all $r \in [r_0, 1)$, where $H_j = \text{const} > 0$

0, and
$$\int_{r_0}^1 \frac{\Phi'(r) \ln \Phi'(r)}{\Phi^2(r)} dr < +\infty$$
. Suppose that φ is an analytic in $\mathbb D$ characteristic

function on a probability law F such that $\overline{\lim}_{x\to +\infty} W_F(x)e^x = +\infty$. Then in order that φ belong to a convergence Φ -class it is necessary and, in the case when $\ln \frac{1}{W_E(x)} = v(x) \in V(1)$, it is sufficient that

(6)
$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{\Phi'\left(\frac{1}{x} \ln \frac{1}{W_F(x)}\right)} < +\infty.$$

Corollary 1. Let $0 < \varrho < +\infty$ and φ be an analytic in $\mathbb D$ characteristic function of a probability law F such that $\lim_{x\to +\infty} W_F(x)e^x = +\infty$. Then in order that (3) holds it is

necessary and, in the case when $\ln \frac{1}{W_F(x)} = v(x) \in V(1)$, it is sufficient that

$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\ln(W_F(x)e^x)}{x} \right)^{\varrho+1} dx < +\infty.$$

Let L be a class of continuous increasing functions α such that $\alpha(x) \geq 0$ for $x \geq x_0$, $\alpha(x) = \alpha(x_0)$ for $x \leq x_0$ and on $[x_0, +\infty)$ the function α increases to $+\infty$. We say that $\alpha \in L^0$ if $\alpha \in L$ and $\alpha(x(1+o(1))) = (1+o(1))\alpha(x)$ as $x \to +\infty$.

Let $\alpha \in L$ and $\beta \in L$. We say that an analytic in \mathbb{D} function φ belongs to the generalized convergence $\alpha\beta$ -class, if

(7)
$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\alpha(\ln M(r,\varphi))}{(1-r)^{2}\beta(\frac{1}{1-r})} dr < +\infty.$$

If $\alpha(x) \equiv x$ and $\beta \equiv x^{\varrho+1}$ for $x_0 \leq x < +\infty$ then (7) implies (3). Here we examine a problem of the belonging of the analytic characteristic function of probability law to the generalized convergence $\alpha\beta$ -class.

2. Auxiliary results

Let $I(r,\varphi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W_F(x)e^{xr}dx$ and $\mu(r,\varphi) = \sup\{W_F(x)e^{xr}: x \geq 0\}$ be the maxi-

mum of integrand. Suppose that $M(r,\varphi) \uparrow +\infty$ as $r \uparrow 1$. Then [5]

$$\ln \mu(r,\varphi) \le (1+o(1)) \ln M(r,\varphi) \le (1+o(1)) \ln I(r,\varphi), \quad r \uparrow 1.$$

Hence it follows that if $\alpha \in L^0$ then

(8)
$$\int_{r_0}^{1} \frac{\alpha(\ln \mu(r,\varphi))}{(1-r)^2 \beta(\frac{1}{1-r})} dr \le \int_{r_0}^{1} \frac{\alpha(\ln M(r,\varphi))}{(1-r)^2 \beta(\frac{1}{1-r})} dr \le \int_{r_0}^{1} \frac{\alpha(\ln I(r,\varphi))}{(1-r)^2 \beta(\frac{1}{1-r})} dr.$$

On the other hand

$$I(r,\varphi) = \int_{0}^{\infty} W_F(x)e^{xr}dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} W_F(x)\exp\left\{\frac{r+1}{2}x\right\}\exp\left\{-\frac{1-r}{2}x\right\}dx \le C$$

(9)
$$\leq \mu\left(\frac{r+1}{2},\varphi\right)\frac{2}{1-r}.$$

In [6] it is proved that if $\alpha \in L^0$ then α is RO-varying and, thus [7, p. 86], $1 \leq \alpha(lx)/\alpha(x) \leq M(l) < +\infty$ for each $l \in [1, +\infty)$ and all $x \geq x_0(l)$. Therefore, from (9) we obtain

$$\alpha(\ln I(r,\varphi)) \le \alpha \left(2 \max \left\{ \ln \mu \left(\frac{r+1}{2},\varphi\right), \ln \frac{2}{1-r} \right\} \right) \le$$

$$\le M(2)\alpha \left(\max \left\{ \ln \mu \left(\frac{r+1}{2},\varphi\right), \ln \frac{2}{1-r} \right\} \right) =$$

$$= M(2) \left(\max \left\{ \alpha \left(\ln \mu \left(\frac{r+1}{2},\varphi\right) \right), \alpha \left(\ln \frac{2}{1-r} \right) \right) \right) \le$$

$$\le M(2) \left(\alpha \left(\ln \mu \left(\frac{r+1}{2},\varphi\right) \right) + \alpha \left(\ln \frac{2}{1-r} \right) \right),$$

whence for $\beta \in L^0$ using the cite of result from [6] we obtain

$$\int_{r_0}^{1} \frac{\alpha(\ln I(r,\varphi))}{(1-r)^2 \beta(\frac{1}{1-r})} dr \le M(2) \left(\int_{r_0}^{1} \frac{\alpha\left(\ln \mu\left(\frac{r+1}{2}\varphi\right)\right)}{(1-r)^2 \beta(\frac{1}{1-r})} dr + \int_{r_0}^{1} \frac{\alpha\left(\ln \frac{2}{1-r}\right)}{(1-r)^2 \beta(\frac{1}{1-r})} dr \right) =$$

$$= 2M(2) \int_{r_0}^{1} \frac{\alpha\left(\ln \mu\left(\frac{r+1}{2},\varphi\right)\right)}{4(1-(r+1)/2)^2 \beta(\frac{1}{2(1-(r+1)/2)})} d\frac{r+1}{2} + M(2) \int_{r_0}^{1} \frac{\alpha\left(\ln \frac{2}{1-r}\right)}{(1-r)^2 \beta(\frac{1}{1-r})} dr \le$$

(10)
$$\leq K_1 \int_{t_0}^1 \frac{\alpha(\ln \mu(t,\varphi))}{(1-t)^2 \beta(\frac{1}{1-t})} dt + K_2 \int_{x_0}^\infty \frac{\alpha(\ln x)}{\beta(x)} dx.$$

From (9) and (10) the following statement follows.

Proposition 1. Let $\alpha \in L^0$, $\beta \in L^0$ and $\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(\ln x)}{\beta(x)} dx < +\infty$. Then (7) holds if and only if

(11)
$$\int_{r_0}^1 \frac{\alpha(\ln \mu(r,\varphi))}{(1-r)^2 \beta(\frac{1}{1-r})} dr < +\infty.$$

The function $\ln \mu(r,\varphi)$ may be bounded. It is easy to show that $\mu(r,\varphi) \leq K < +\infty$ for all $r \in [0, 1)$ if and only if $W_F(x)e^x \leq K < +\infty$ for all $x \geq 0$. Thus, $\mu(r, \varphi) \uparrow +\infty$ as $r \uparrow 1$ if and only if $\overline{\lim} W_F(x)e^x = +\infty$. In [5] was proved that the function $\ln \mu(r,\varphi)$ is convex on [0, 1) and there exists a nondecreasing on [0, R) function $\nu(r, \varphi)$ such that $(\ln \mu(r,\varphi))' = \nu(r,\varphi)$ for all $r \in (0,R)$ with the exception of an at most countable set, i.e.

(12)
$$\ln \mu(r,\varphi) = \ln \mu(r_0,\varphi) + \int_{r_0}^r \nu(x,\varphi) dx, \quad 0 \le r_0 \le r < 1.$$

Hence it follows that if $\mu(r,\varphi) \uparrow +\infty$ as $r \uparrow 1$ then $\nu(r,\varphi) \nearrow +\infty$ as $r \uparrow 1$. If $\ln \frac{1}{W_F(x)} = v(x) \in V(1)$ then for every $r \in (0, 1)$ the function $\ln W_F(x) + rx = 0$ =-v(x)+rx has a unique point of the maximum $x=\nu(r,\varphi)$, which is a continuous on (0, 1) function increasing to $+\infty$, and

$$\ln \mu(r,\varphi) = \max\{\ln W_F(x) + rx : x \ge 0\} = \ln W_F(\nu(r,\varphi)) + r\nu(r,\varphi),$$

whence

(13)
$$\frac{1}{\nu(r,\varphi)} \ln \frac{1}{W_F(\nu(r,\varphi))} = r - \frac{\ln \mu(r,\varphi)}{\nu(r,\varphi)} \le r.$$

From (12) it follows that

$$\ln \mu(r,\varphi) = \ln \mu(r_0,\varphi) + \nu(r,\varphi)(r - r_0) \le \ln \mu(r_0,\varphi) + (1 - r_0)\nu(r,\varphi),$$

and if $\alpha \in L^0$ then $\alpha(\ln \mu(r,\varphi)) \leq K_1 \alpha(\nu(r,\varphi))$ for all $r \in [r_0, 1)$.

On the other hand for $r \geq r_0$

$$\ln \mu \left(\frac{1+r}{2}, \varphi \right) \ge \ln \mu(r_0 \varphi) + \int_{r}^{(1+r)/2} \nu(x, \varphi) dx \ge \ln \mu(r_0, \varphi) + \nu(r, \varphi) \frac{1-r}{2},$$

and if $\alpha(e^x) \in L^0$ then as above we obtain

$$\alpha(\nu(r,\varphi)) \le \alpha \left(\exp\left\{ \ln \frac{2}{1-r} + \ln \ln \mu \left(\frac{1+r}{2}, \varphi \right) \right\} \right) \le$$

$$\le \alpha \left(\exp\left\{ 2 \max\left\{ \ln \frac{2}{1-r}, \ln \ln \mu \left(\frac{1+r}{2}, \varphi \right) \right\} \right\} \right) \le$$

$$\le K_2 \left(\alpha \left(\ln \mu \left(\frac{1+r}{2}, \varphi \right) \right) + \alpha \left(\ln \frac{2}{1-r} \right) \right).$$

Thus,

$$\int_{r_0}^1 \frac{\alpha(\ln \mu(r,\varphi))}{(1-r)^2 \beta(\frac{1}{1-r})} dr \le K_1 \int_{r_0}^1 \frac{\alpha(\ln \nu(r,\varphi))}{(1-r)^2 \beta(\frac{1}{1-r})} dr \le$$

$$\le K_1 K_2 \int_{r_0}^1 \frac{\alpha(\ln \mu((r+1)/2,\varphi))}{(1-r)^2 \beta(\frac{1}{1-r})} dr + K_1 K_2 \int_{r_0}^1 \frac{\alpha(\ln (2/(1-r)))}{(1-r)^2 \beta(\frac{1}{1-r})} dr,$$

whence as above we obtain the following statement.

Proposition 2. Let
$$\alpha(e^x) \in L^0$$
, $\beta \in L^0$, $\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(\ln x)}{\beta(x)} dx < +\infty$ and $\ln \frac{1}{W_F(x)} = v(x) \in V(R)$. Then (11) holds if and only if

(14)
$$\int_{r_0}^{1} \frac{\alpha(\nu(r,\varphi))}{(1-r)^2 \beta(\frac{1}{1-r})} dr < +\infty.$$

Thus, the problem of belonging of φ to the generalized convergence $\alpha\beta$ -class is reduced to the problem of the fulfilment of (14).

3. Main result

Using Propositions 1 and 2 we may prove the following main theorem.

Theorem 2. Let
$$\alpha(e^x) \in L^0$$
, $\beta \in L^0$, $\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(\ln x)}{\beta(x)} dx < +\infty$ and $\frac{x\beta'(x)}{\beta(x)} \ge 2 + h$ for all

 $x \geq x_0$. Suppose that φ is an analytic $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{D}$ characteristic function on probability law F such that $W_F(0) = 1$, $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{W_F(x)} = v(x) \in V(1)$ and $\inf_{x \to +\infty} W_F(x)e^x = +\infty$.

Then in order that φ belongs to a generalized convergence $\alpha\beta$ -class it is necessary

and sufficient that

(15)
$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \alpha(x)\beta_1 \left(\frac{x}{\ln(W_F(x)e^x)}\right) dx < +\infty, \quad \beta_1(x) = \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\beta(t)}.$$

Proof. Clearly,

$$\int_{r_0}^1 \frac{\alpha(\nu(r,\varphi))}{(1-r)^2 \beta(\frac{1}{1-r})} dr = -\int_{r_0}^1 \alpha(\nu(r,\varphi)) d\beta_1 \left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right) =$$

$$(16) \qquad = -\alpha(\nu(r,\varphi))\beta_1\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)\Big|_{r_0}^1 + \int_{r}^1 \alpha'(\nu(r,\varphi))\beta_1\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)d\nu(r,\varphi).$$

At first we suppose that (15) holds. Then, from (16) and (13), in view of the nonincreasing of β_1 , we have

$$\int_{r_0}^{1} \frac{\alpha(\nu(r,\varphi))}{(1-r)^2 \beta(\frac{1}{1-r})} dr \le K + \int_{r_0}^{1} \alpha'(\nu(r,\varphi)) \beta_1 \left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{\nu(r,\varphi)} \ln \frac{1}{W_F(\nu(r,\varphi))}} \right) d\nu(r,\varphi) =$$

$$= K + \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \alpha'(\nu(r,\varphi)) \beta_1 \left(\frac{\nu(r,\varphi)}{\ln (W_F(\nu(r,\varphi)x)e^{\nu(r,\varphi)})} \right) d\nu(r,\varphi) < +\infty,$$

because the function $\nu(r,\varphi)$ is continuous. The sufficiency of (15) is proved.

Now we prove its necessity. From (14) for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $r \in [r_0(\varepsilon), 1)$ we have

$$\varepsilon > \int_{r}^{1} \frac{\alpha(\nu(r,\varphi))}{(1-r)^{2}\beta(\frac{1}{1-r})} dr \ge \alpha(\nu(r,\varphi)) \int_{r}^{1} \frac{dr}{(1-r)^{2}\beta(\frac{1}{1-r})} = \alpha(\nu(r,\varphi))\beta_{1}\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right),$$

that is from (14) and (16) we obtain

$$\int_{r_0}^{1} \alpha'(\nu(r,\varphi))\beta_1\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)d\nu(r,\varphi) < +\infty.$$

Since $\ln \frac{1}{W_F(x)} = v(x) \in V(1)$ and $x = \nu(r, \varphi)$ is a solution of the equation -v'(x) + r = 0, we have $r = v'(\nu(r, \varphi))$ and hence it follows that

$$\int_{r_0}^{\infty} \alpha'(\nu(r,\varphi))\beta_1\left(\frac{1}{1-\nu'(\nu(r,\varphi))}\right)d\nu(r,\varphi) < +\infty,$$

i.e.

(17)
$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \alpha'(x)\beta_1\left(\frac{1}{1-v'(x)}\right)dx < +\infty.$$

From a theorem proved in [8] it follows that if a(x) and $\mu(x)$ are continuous functions on $(0, +\infty)$, $-\infty \le A < a(x) < B \le +\infty$, $\mu(x) \searrow \mu \ge 0$ as $x \to +\infty$, and for a positive function f on (A, B) the function $f^{1/p}$ with p > 1 is convex on (A, B), then

(18)
$$\int_{0}^{y} \mu(x) f\left(\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} a(t) dt\right) dx \le \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{p} \int_{0}^{y} \mu(x) f(a(x)) dx, \quad y \le +\infty.$$

We choose $\mu(x) = \alpha'(x)$, a(x) = v'(x), $f(x) = \beta_1 \left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right)$ and show that the function $f^{1/p}$ is convex for some p > 1.

It is easy to see that $f^{1/p}$ is convex for p > 1 if $f(x)f''(x) - \frac{p-1}{p}(f'(x))^2 \ge 0$ that is if

$$\beta_1\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right)\beta_1''\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right) + 2(1-x)\beta_1\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right)\beta_1'\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right) \geq \frac{p-1}{p}\left(\beta_1'\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right)\right)^2,$$

and thus, if

$$\beta_1(t)\beta_1''(t) + \frac{2}{t}\beta_1(t)\beta_1'(t) \ge \frac{p-1}{p}(\beta_1'(t))^2.$$

Since $\beta_1(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{\beta(x)}$, the last inequality holds if

(19)
$$\left(\beta'(t) - \frac{2\beta(t)}{t}\right) \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{\beta(x)} \ge \frac{p-1}{p}.$$

Since
$$\beta'(t) - \frac{2\beta(t)}{t} \ge \frac{h\beta(t)}{t} > 0$$
, we have

$$\left(\beta'(t) - \frac{2\beta(t)}{t}\right) \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{\beta(x)} \ge \left(\beta'(t) - \frac{2\beta(t)}{t}\right) \int_{t}^{2t} \frac{dx}{\beta(x)} \ge \left(\beta'(t) - \frac{2\beta(t)}{t}\right) \frac{t}{\beta(t)} \ge h.$$

Therefore, choosing p > 1 such that $h - \frac{p-1}{p} \ge 0$, we get inequality (19), i. e. the function $\beta_1^{1/p} \left(\frac{1}{1-x} \right)$ is convex and in view of (18)

(20)
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha'(x)\beta_{1} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} v'(t)dt} \right) dx \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^{p} \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha'(x)\beta_{1} \left(\frac{1}{1 - v'(x)} \right) dx.$$

Since $\int_{x_0}^x v'(t)dt = \ln \frac{1}{W_F(x)}$, from (17) and (20) we obtain (15). Theorem 2 is proved.

References

1. Yu. V. Linnik and I. V. Ostrovskii, Decompositon of random variables and vectors, Nauka, Moscov, 1972 (Russian).

2. Yu. M. Gal' and M. M. Sheremeta, Belonging of analytic functions to a covergence class, Dop. AN URSR, Ser. A (1985), no. 7, 11-14 (Ukrainian).

3. G. R. MacLane, Asymptotic values of holomorphic functions, Rice Univ. Studies 49 (1963), no. 1, 1-83.

4. V. M. Sorokivskii, On the growth of characteristic functions of probability laws, Drogobych (1980), 20 p. Dep. in VINITI 17.12.80, N 5330-80 DEP (Russian).

5. L. V. Kulyavets, O. M. Mulyava, and M. M. Sheremeta, On belonging of characteristic functions of probability laws to a convergence class, Bull. Soc. Sci. Lett. Lodz., Ser Rech. Deform. 63 (2013), no. 2, 9-22.

6. M. M. Sheremeta, On two classes of positive functions and the belonging to them of main characteristics of entire functions, Mat. Stud. 19 (2003), no. 1, 75-82.

7. E. Seneta, Regularly varying functions, Lect. Notes Math. 508, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.

8. О. М. Мулява, Інтегральний аналог одного узагальнення нерівності Гарді та його застосування, Укр. мат. журн. **58** (2006), no. 9, 1271–1275; **English version**: О. М. Mulyava, Integral analog of one generalization of the Hardy inequality and its applications, **58** (2006), no. 9, 1441–1447. DOI: 10.1007/s11253-006-0143-0

Стаття: надійшла до редколегії 23.10.2018 доопрацьована 03.12.2018 прийнята до друку 26.12.2018

ПРО НАЛЕЖНІСТЬ АНАЛІТИЧНИХ В ОДИНИЧНОМУ КРУЗІ ХАРАКТЕРИСТИЧНИХ ФУНКЦІЙ ЙМОВІРНІСНИХ ЗАКОНІВ ДО УЗАГАЛЬНЕНОГО КЛАСУ ЗБІЖНОСТІ

Оксана МУЛЯВА¹, Мирослав ШЕРЕМЕТА²

 $^1 \mathit{Ku\"i}$ вський національний університет харчових технологій Володимирівська 68, Київ, 01004 $e ext{-}mail: info@nuft.edu.ua$ ²Львівський національний університет ім. І. Франка, Університетська 1, 79000, Львів $e ext{-}mail: m.m.sheremeta@gmail.com$

Для неперервних зростаючих до $+\infty$ на $[x_0, +\infty)$ функцій α і β будемо говорити, що аналітична в $\mathbb{D}=\{z:|z|<1\}$ характеристична функція arphiймовірнісного закону F належить до узагальненого $\alpha\beta$ -класу збіжності,

якщо
$$\int\limits_{r_0}^1 \frac{\alpha(\ln\,M(r,\varphi))}{(1-r)^2\beta(\frac{1}{1-r})}dr < +\infty.$$
 Знайдено умови на $\alpha,\ \beta$ і $F,$ за яких

функція
$$\varphi$$
 належить до узагальненого $\alpha\beta$ -класу збіжності тоді і тільки тоді, коли $\int\limits_{x_0}^{\infty}\alpha'(x)\beta_1\left(\frac{x}{\ln{(W_F(x)e^x)}}\right)dx<+\infty$, де $\beta_1(x)=\int\limits_x^{\infty}\frac{dt}{\beta(t)}$ і $W_F(x)=1-F(x)+F(-x)$.

Ключові слова: аналітична функція, ймовірнісний закон, характеристична функція, узагальнений клас збіжності.