

УДК 512.582

EXTENSION OF BOUNDED BAIRE-ONE FUNCTIONS VS EXTENSIONS OF UNBOUNDED BAIRE-ONE FUNCTIONS

Dedicated to the 60th birthday of M. M. Zarichnyi

Olena KARLOVA¹, Volodymyr MYKHAYLYUK^{1,2}

¹Chernivtsi National University

Kotsyubynskoho Str., 2, 58000, Chernivtsi, Ukraine

²Jan Kochanowski University in Kielce, Poland

e-mail: maslenizza.ua@gmail.com, vmykhaylyuk@ukr.net

We compare possibilities of extension of bounded and unbounded Baire-one functions from subspaces of topological spaces.

Key words: extension, Baire-one function, B_1 -embedded set, B_1^* -embedded set.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a topological space. A function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the first Baire class, if it is a pointwise limit of a sequence of real-valued continuous functions on X . We will denote by $B_1(X)$ and $B_1^*(X)$ the collections of all Baire-one and bounded Baire-one functions on X , respectively.

A subset E of X is B_1 -embedded (B_1^* -embedded) in X , if every (bounded) function $f \in B_1(E)$ can be extended to a function $g \in B_1(X)$. We will say that a space X has the property $(B_1^* = B_1)$ if every B_1^* -embedded subset of X is B_1 -embedded in X .

Characterizations of B_1 - and B_1^* -embedded subsets of topological spaces were obtained in [3] and [4].

This short note is devoted to the following interesting problem: to find topological spaces with the property $(B_1^* = B_1)$.

In the second section of this note we extend results from [4, Section 6] and show that every hereditarily Lindelöf hereditarily Baire space X which hereditarily has a σ -discrete π -base has the property $(B_1^* = B_1)$. In Section 3 we show that any countable completely

regular hereditarily irresolvable space X without isolated points is B_1^* -embedded and is not B_1 -embedded in βX .

2. SPACES WITH THE PROPERTY ($B_1^* = B_1$)

Recall that a set A in a topological space X is *functionally G_δ* (functionally F_σ), if A is an intersection (a union) of a sequence of functionally open (functionally closed) subsets of X . We say that a subset A of a topological space X is *functionally ambiguous* if A is functionally F_σ and functionally G_δ simultaneously.

Lemma 1. *Let X be a completely regular topological space of the first category with a σ -discrete π -base. Then there exist disjoint functionally ambiguous sets A and B such that*

$$X = A \cup B = \overline{A} = \overline{B}.$$

Proof. We fix a π -base $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega)$ of X , where each family \mathcal{V}_n is discrete and consists of functionally open sets in X . Denote $V_n = \bigcup\{V : V \in \mathcal{V}_n\}$ for all $n \in \omega$.

Let us observe that every open set $G \subseteq X$ contains a functionally open subset U such that $U \subseteq G \subseteq \overline{U}$. Indeed, for every $n \in \omega$ we put $U_n = \bigcup\{V \in \mathcal{V}_n : V \subseteq G\}$ and $U = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$. Then each U_n is functionally open as a union of a discrete family of functionally open sets. Hence, U is functionally open. It is easy to see that U is dense in G .

Keeping in mind the previous fact, we may assume that there exists a covering $(F_n : n \in \omega)$ of the space X by nowhere dense functionally closed sets $F_n \subseteq X$. Let $X_0 = F_0$ and $X_n = F_n \setminus \bigcup_{k < n} F_k$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then $(X_n : n \in \omega)$ is a partition of X by nowhere dense functionally ambiguous sets X_n .

Fix $n \in \omega$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}_n$. Since X is regular, we can choose two open sets H_1 and H_2 in V such that $\overline{H_1} \cap \overline{H_2} = \emptyset$ and $\overline{H_i} \subseteq V$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let G_i and O_i be functionally open sets such that $G_i \subseteq H_i \subseteq \overline{G_i}$ and $O_i \subseteq X \setminus \overline{H_i} \subseteq \overline{O_i}$, $i = 1, 2$. We put $A_{V,n} = X \setminus (G_1 \cup O_1)$ and $B_{V,n} = X \setminus (G_2 \cup O_2)$ and obtain disjoint nowhere dense functionally closed subsets of V .

We put $m_0 = 0$ and choose numbers $n_1 \geq 0$ and $m_1 > n_1$ such that $X_{n_1} \cap V_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $X_{m_1} \cap V_1 \neq \emptyset$. Notice that $A'_1 = \bigcup_{n=0}^{n_1} X_n$ and $B'_1 = \bigcup_{n=n_1+1}^{m_1} X_n$ are nowhere dense functionally ambiguous sets in X . Now we consider the set

$$\mathcal{W}_1 = \{V \in \mathcal{V}_1 : V \cap (A'_1 \cup B'_1) = \emptyset\}$$

and observe that the sets $A''_1 = \bigcup\{A_{V,1} : V \in \mathcal{W}_1\}$ and $B''_1 = \bigcup\{B_{V,1} : V \in \mathcal{W}_1\}$ are functionally closed and nowhere dense in X . Let $A_1 = A'_1 \cup A''_1$ and $B_1 = B'_1 \cup B''_1$. Notice that A_1 and B_1 are functionally ambiguous nowhere dense disjoint subsets of X .

Since $\overline{X \setminus (A_1 \cup B_1)} = X$, there exists a number $n_2 > m_1$ such that $(X_{n_2} \setminus (A_1 \cup B_1)) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$. We put $A'_2 = \bigcup_{n=m_1+1}^{n_2} (X_n \setminus (A_1 \cup B_1))$. Moreover, there exists $m_2 > n_2$ such that $(X_{m_2} \setminus (A_1 \cup B_1)) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$. Let $B'_2 = \bigcup_{n=n_2+1}^{m_2} (X_n \setminus (A_1 \cup B_1))$. We put $\mathcal{W}_2 = \{V \in \mathcal{V}_2 : V \cap (A'_2 \cup B'_2) = \emptyset\}$ and observe that the sets $A''_2 = \{A_{V,2} : V \in \mathcal{W}_2\}$ and $B''_2 = \{B_{V,2} : V \in \mathcal{W}_2\}$ are functionally closed and nowhere dense in X . We denote $A_2 = A'_2 \cup A''_2$ and $B_2 = B'_2 \cup B''_2$. Then A_2 and B_2 are functionally ambiguous nowhere dense disjoint subsets of X .

Proceeding this process inductively we obtain sequences $(A_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ and $(B_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ of functionally ambiguous sets such that $A_k \cap V \neq \emptyset \neq B_k \cap V$, $A_k \cap B_k = \emptyset$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}_k$. It remains to put $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty A_k$, $B = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty B_k$ and observe that $A \cup B = X$.

In addition, note that Borel resolvability of topological spaces was also studied in [1, 2].

We say that a topological space X *hereditarily has a σ -discrete π -base* if every its closed subspace has a σ -discrete π -base. It is easy to see that if a space X hereditarily has a σ -discrete π -base, then each subspace of X has a σ -discrete π -base.

Recall that a subspace E of a topological space X is *z -embedded in X* , if any functionally closed subset F of E can be extended to a functionally closed subset of X .

Lemma 2. *Let X be a normal space such that X hereditarily has a σ -discrete π -base. If X is a B_1^* -embedded subset of a hereditarily Baire space Y , then X is hereditarily Baire.*

Proof. Assume that X is not hereditarily Baire and find a closed subset $F \subseteq X$ of the first category. According to Lemma 1, there exist disjoint functionally ambiguous subsets A and B in F such that $F = A \cup B = \overline{A} = \overline{B}$. Since F is a closed subset of a normal space, F is z -embedded in X . Therefore, there are two functionally ambiguous disjoint sets \tilde{A} and \tilde{B} in X such that $\tilde{A} \cap F = A$ and $\tilde{B} \cap F = B$ (see [4, Proposition 4.3]). Let us observe that the characteristic function $\chi : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ of the set \tilde{A} belongs to the first Baire class. Then there exists an extension $f \in B_1(Y)$ of χ . The sets $f^{-1}(0)$ and $f^{-1}(1)$ are disjoint G_δ -sets which are dense in \overline{X} . We obtain a contradiction, because \overline{X} is a Baire space as a closed subset of a hereditarily Baire space. \square

Remark 1. There exist a metrizable separable Baire space X and its B_1^* -embedded subspace E which is not a Baire space. Let $X = (\mathbb{Q} \times \{0\}) \cup (\mathbb{R} \times (0, 1])$ and $E = \mathbb{Q} \times \{0\}$. Then E is closed in X . Therefore, any F_σ - and G_δ -subset C of E is also F_σ - and G_δ - in X . Hence, E is B_1^* -embedded in X .

Theorem 1. *Let Y be a hereditarily Baire completely regular space and $X \subseteq Y$ be a Lindelöf space which hereditarily has a σ -discrete π -base. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) X is B_1^* -embedded in Y ;
- (2) X is B_1 -embedded in Y .

Proof. We need only to show 1) \Rightarrow 2). By Lemma 2, X is hereditarily Baire. Then X is B_1 -embedded in Y by [3, Theorem 13]. \square

Corollary 1. *Every hereditarily Lindelöf hereditarily Baire space X which hereditarily has a σ -discrete π -base has the property $(B_1^* = B_1)$.*

3. SPACES WITHOUT THE PROPERTY $(B_1^* = B_1)$

A subset A of a topological space X is called (*functionally*) *resolvable in the sense of Hausdorff* or (*functionally*) *H-set* if

$$A = (F_1 \setminus F_2) \cup (F_3 \setminus F_4) \cup \dots \cup (F_\xi \setminus F_{\xi+1}) \cup \dots,$$

where $(F_\xi)_{\xi < \alpha}$ is a decreasing chain of (functionally) closed sets in X .

It is well-known [5, §12.I] that a set A is an H -set if and only if for any closed nonempty set $F \subseteq X$ there is a nonempty relatively open set $U \subseteq F$ such that $U \subseteq A$ or $U \subseteq X \setminus A$.

A topological space without isolated points is called *crowded*.

A topological space X is *irresolvable* if it is not a union of two disjoint dense subsets. A space X is *hereditarily irresolvable* if every subspace of X is irresolvable.

Lemma 3. *Every subset of a hereditarily irresolvable space is an H -set.*

Proof. Assume that there is a closed nonempty set F in a hereditarily irresolvable space X and a set $A \subseteq X$ such that $\overline{F \cap A} \cap \overline{F \setminus A} = F$. Then

$$\overline{F \cap A} = \overline{F \setminus A} = F = (F \cap A) \cup (F \setminus A),$$

which contradicts to irresolvability of F . □

A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ from a topological space X to a metric space (Y, d) is called *fragmented* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for every closed nonempty set $F \subseteq X$ there exists a relatively open nonempty set $U \subseteq F$ such that $\text{diam}f(U) < \varepsilon$.

Proposition 1. *Every bounded function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a hereditarily irresolvable space X is fragmented.*

Proof. To obtain a contradiction we assume that there exists a bounded function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is not fragmented. Then there is $\varepsilon > 0$ and a closed nonempty set $F \subseteq X$ such that for every relatively open set $U \subseteq F$ we have $\text{diam}f(U) \geq \varepsilon$.

Since $f(X)$ is a compact set, we take a finite partition $\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$ of $f(X)$ by sets of diameter $< \varepsilon$. Let $H_k = f^{-1}(B_k) \cap F$ for every $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then each H_k has empty interior in F , because f is not fragmented. By Lemma 3, each H_k is an H -set and, therefore, is nowhere dense in F . Hence, $\{H_1, \dots, H_n\}$ is a finite partition of F by nowhere dense sets, which is impossible. □

Lemma 4. *Let E be a z -embedded countable subspace of a topological space X and $A \subseteq E$ be a functionally H -set in E . Then there exists a functionally H -set $B \subseteq X$ such that B is F_σ and $B \cap E = A$.*

Proof. We take a decreasing transfinite sequence $(A_\xi : \xi < \alpha)$ of functionally closed subsets of E such that $A = \bigcup_{\xi < \alpha} (A_\xi \setminus A_{\xi+1})$ (every ordinal ξ is odd). Since $|A| \leq \aleph_0$, we may assume that $|(A_\xi : \xi < \alpha)| \leq \aleph_0$. The subspace E is z -embedded in X and we choose a decreasing sequence $(B_\xi : \xi < \alpha)$ of functionally closed sets in X such that $A_\xi = B_\xi \cap E$ for all $\xi < \alpha$. We put

$$B = \bigcup_{\xi < \alpha, \xi \text{ is odd}} (B_\xi \setminus B_{\xi+1}).$$

Then B is functionally F_σ -set in X and $B \cap E = A$. □

Lemma 5. *Let X be a compact space and $B \subseteq X$ be functionally Borel measurable H -set. Then B is functionally ambiguous in X .*

Proof. Since B is functionally Borel measurable, there exists a sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of continuous functions $f_n : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that B belongs to the σ -algebra generated by the system of sets $\{f_n^{-1}(0) : n \in \omega\}$. We consider a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]^\omega$, $f(x) = (f_n(x))_{n \in \omega}$ for all $x \in X$, and a compact metrizable space $Y = f(X) \subseteq [0, 1]^\omega$.

We show that the set $B' = f(B)$ is an H-set in Y . Suppose to the contrary that there is a closed nonempty set Y' in Y such that $\overline{Y' \cap B'} = \overline{Y' \setminus B'} = Y'$. We put $X' = f^{-1}(Y')$ and $g = f|_{X'}$. Since X' is a compact space and $f(X') = Y'$, we apply Zorn's Lemma and find a closed nonempty set $Z \subseteq X'$ such that the restriction $g|_Z : Z \rightarrow Y'$ of the continuous map $g : X' \rightarrow Y'$ is irreducible. Keeping in mind that the preimage of any everywhere dense set remains everywhere dense under an irreducible map, we obtain that

$$\overline{g^{-1}(Y' \cap B')} = \overline{g^{-1}(Y' \setminus B')} = Z = \overline{Z \cap B} = \overline{Z \setminus B},$$

which contradicts to resolvability of B .

By [5, §30, X, Theorem 5] the set $f(B)$ is F_σ and G_δ in a compact metrizable space Y . Since $B = f^{-1}(f(B))$ and f is continuous, we have that B is functionally ambiguous subset of X . \square

Proposition 2. *Let X be a countable hereditarily irresolvable completely regular space. Then X is B_1^* -embedded in βX .*

Proof. Since X is countable and completely regular, it is perfectly normal. Therefore, every subsets of X is functionally ambiguous.

Fix an arbitrary $A \subseteq X$. By Lemma 3 the set A is an H -set. We apply Lemma 4 and find a functionally H -set $B \subseteq \beta X$ such that B is F_σ and $B \cap X = A$. Notice that B is functionally ambiguous by Lemma 5. Hence, B is a B_1^* -embedded subspace of βX according to [4, Proposition 5.1]. \square

Let us observe that examples of countable hereditarily irresolvable completely regular spaces can be found, for instance, in [6, p. 536].

Proposition 3. *Let X be a countable completely regular space without isolated points. Then X is not B_1 -embedded in βX .*

Proof. Observe that X is a functionally F_σ -subset of βX . Now assume that X is B_1 -embedded in βX . According to [3, Proposition 8(iii)] there should be a function $f \in B_1(\beta X)$ such that $X \subseteq f^{-1}(0)$ and $\beta X \setminus X \subseteq f^{-1}(1)$. Then the set X is G_δ in βX . Therefore, X is a Baire space, which implies a contradiction, since X is of the first category in itself. \square

Propositions 2 and 3 imply the following fact.

Theorem 2. *Let X be a countable hereditarily irresolvable completely regular space without isolated points. Then X is B_1^* -embedded in βX and is not B_1 -embedded in βX .*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author is partially supported by a grant of Chernivtsi National University for young scientists in 2018 year.

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for careful reading of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. J. G. Ceder, *On maximally Borel resolvable spaces*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl. **11** (1966), 89–94.
2. R. Jiménez and V. Malykhin, *Structure resolvability*, Commentat. Math. Univ. Carol. **39** (1998), no. 2, 379–387.
3. O. Kalenda and J. Spurný, *Extending Baire-one functions on topological spaces*, Topol. Appl. **149** (2005), no. 1–3, 195–216. DOI: 10.1016/j.topol.2004.09.007
4. O. Karlova, *On α -embedded sets and extension of mappings*, Commentat. Math. Univ. Carol. **54** (2013), no. 3, 377–396.
5. K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, vol. 1, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
6. V. V. Tkachuk, *A C_p -theory problem book. Special features of function spaces*, Problem Books in Math., Springer, Cham, 2014.

Стаття: надійшла до редколегії 05.11.2018
доопрацьована 21.11.2018
прийнята до друку 26.12.2018

**ПРОДОВЖЕННЯ ОБМЕЖЕНИХ І НЕОБМЕЖЕНИХ
ФУНКЦІЙ ПЕРШОГО КЛАСУ БЕРА**

Олена КАРЛОВА¹, Володимир МИХАЙЛЮК^{1,2}

¹Чернівецький національний університет імені Юрія Федьковича,
вул. Коцюбинського, 2, 58000, Чернівці

²Університет Яна Козановського, Кельци, Польща
e-mail: maslenizza.ua@gmail.com, vmykhaylyuk@ukr.net

Порівнюються можливості продовження обмежених і необмежених функцій першого класу Бера з підпросторів топологічних просторів.

Ключові слова: продовження, функція першого класу Бера, V_1 -вкладена множина, V_1^* -вкладена множина.