

УДК 512.624.5

PERIODIC WORDS CONNECTED WITH THE LUCAS NUMBERS

Galyna BARABASH, Yaroslav KHOLYAVKA, Iryna TYTAR

*Ivan Franko National University of Lviv,
Universytetska Str., 1, Lviv, 79000
e-mails: galynabarabash71@gmail.com,
ya_khol@franko.lviv.ua,
iratytar1217@gmail.com*

We introduce periodic words that are connected with the Lucas numbers and investigated their properties.

Key words: Lucas numbers, Lucas words, Fibonacci numbers, Fibonacci words.

1. Introduction. The *Fibonacci numbers* F_n are defined by the recurrence relation $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$, for any integer $n > 1$, and with initial values $F_0 = 0$ and $F_1 = 1$. Different kinds of the Fibonacci sequence and their properties have been presented in the literature, see, e.g., [1, 4, 7]. Similarly to the Fibonacci numbers, the *Lucas numbers* L_n are defined by the recurrence relation $L_n = L_{n-1} + L_{n-2}$, for any integer $n > 1$, and with initial values $L_0 = 2$ and $L_1 = 1$.

The sequence $L_n \pmod{m}$ is periodic and repeats by returning to its starting values because there are only a finite number m^2 of pairs of terms possible, and the recurrence of a pair results in recurrence of all following terms.

In analogy to the definition of the infinite Fibonacci word [2, 6], one defines the Lucas words as the contatenation of the two previous terms $l_n = l_{n-1}l_{n-2}$, $n > 1$, with initial values $l_0 = 10$ and $l_1 = 1$ and defines the infinite Lucas word l , $l = \lim l_n$.

Using Lucas words, in the present article we shall introduce some new kinds of infinite words, namely LLP-words, and investigate some of their properties.

For any notations not explicitly defined in this article we refer to [3, 4, 5].

2. Lucas sequence modulo m . The letter p , $p > 2$, is reserved to denote a prime, m may be arbitrary integer, $m > 2$.

Let for any integer $n \geq 0$, $L_n(m)$ denote the n -th member of the sequence of integers $L_n \pmod{m}$. We reduce L_n modulo m by taking the least nonnegative residues, and let $k(m)$ denote the length of the period of the repeating sequence $L_n(m)$.

The problem of determining the length of the period of the recurring sequence arose in connection with a method for generating random numbers. A few properties of the function $k(m)$ are in the following theorem [9].

Theorem 1. *For all m the following hold:*

- 1) *Any sequence $L_n(m)$ is periodic.*
- 2) *If m has prime factorization $m = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{e_i}$, then $k(m) = \text{lcm}(k(p_1^{e_1}), \dots, k(p_n^{e_n}))$.*

Theorem 2. *If $m > 2$, then $k(m)$ is an even number.*

Proof. We find:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{k(m)}(m) &= L_0(m) = 2, \\ L_{k(m)-1}(m) &= L_{-1}(m) = m - 1 = -L_1(m), \\ L_{k(m)-2}(m) &= L_{k(m)}(m) - L_{k(m)-1}(m) = L_0(m) + L_1(m) = L_2(m). \end{aligned}$$

Let for each t , t_0 , $0 \leq t \leq t_0 - 1 \leq k(m) - 1$, we have $L_{k(m)-t}(m) = (-1)^t L_t(m)$. By using the fact that

$$L_{t+1}(m) = L_t(m) + L_{t-1}(m) \pmod{m}$$

for each $t \in \mathbb{N}$, the identity above can be verified by direct calculation for $t = t_0$:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{t_0}(m) &= L_{k(m)-t_0+2}(m) - L_{k(m)-t_0+1}(m) = \\ &= L_{k(m)-(t_0-2)}(m) - L_{k(m)-(t_0-1)}(m) = \\ &= (-1)^{t_0-2} L_{t_0-2}(m) - (-1)^{t_0-1} L_{t_0-1}(m) = \\ &= (-1)^{t_0} (L_{t_0-2}(m) + L_{t_0-1}(m)) = \\ &= (-1)^{t_0} L_{t_0}(m). \end{aligned}$$

If $t = k(m)$, then

$$L_0(m) = (-1)^{k(m)} L_{k(m)}(m), \quad 2 = (-1)^{k(m)} 2.$$

Suppose that $k(m)$ is odd, then $m = 2$, $k(2) = 3$, or $m = 4$, $k(4) = 6$. For $m > 2$ $k(m)$ is even. □

3. Lucas words.

Let $l_0 = 10$ and $l_1 = 1$. Now $l_n = l_{n-1}l_{n-2}$, $n > 1$, the concatenation of the two previous terms. The successive initial finite Lucas words are:

$$(1) \quad l_0 = 10, \quad l_1 = 1, \quad l_2 = 110, \quad l_3 = 1101, \quad l_4 = 1101110 \quad l_5 = 11011101101, \dots$$

The infinite Lucas word l is the limit $l = \lim l_n$. It is referenced A230603 in the On-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [8]. The combinatorial properties of the Fibonacci (A003849 [8]) and Lucas infinite words are of great interest in some aspects of mathematics and physics, such as number theory, fractal geometry, cryptography, formal language, computational complexity, quasicrystals etc. See [5].

As usual we denote by $|l_n|$ the length (the number of symbols) of l_n (see [5]). The following proposition summarizes basic properties of Lucas words [5, 6].

Theorem 3. *The infinite Lucas word and the finite Lucas words satisfy the following properties:*

- 1) *The words 1111 and 00 are not subwords of the infinite Lucas word.*

- 2) For all $n > 1$ let ab be the last two symbols of l_n , $n > 1$, then we have $ab = 10$ if n is even and $ab = 01$ if n is odd.
- 3) For all n $|l_n| = L_n$.

4. Periodic LLP-words. Let us start with the classical definition of periodicity on words over arbitrary alphabet $\{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ (see [3]).

Definition 1. Let $w = a_0a_1a_2\dots$ be an infinite word. We say that w is

- 1) a *periodic word* if there exists a positive integer t such that $a_i = a_{i+t}$ for all $i \geq 0$. The smallest t satisfying previous conditions is called the period of w ;
- 2) an *eventually periodic word* if there exist two positive integers k, p such that $a_i = a_{i+p}$, for all $i > k$;
- 3) an *aperiodic word* if it is not eventually periodic.

Hypothesis. The infinite Lucas word is aperiodic.

We consider finite Lucas words l_n (1) as numbers written in the binary system and denote them by b_n . Denote by d_n the value of the number b_n in usual decimal numeration system. We write $b_n = d_n$ meaning that b_n and d_n are writings of the same number in different numeration systems.

Example 1.

$$(2) \quad b_0 = 10, b_1 = 1, b_2 = 110, b_3 = 1101, b_4 = 1101110, b_5 = 11011101101, \dots,$$

$$(3) \quad d_0 = 2, d_1 = 1, d_2 = 6, d_3 = 13, d_4 = 110, d_5 = 1773, \dots$$

Theorem 4. For any integer n , $n > 1$, we have

$$(4) \quad d_n = d_{n-1}2^{L_{n-2}} + d_{n-2}$$

with $d_0 = 2$ and $d_1 = 1$.

Proof. One can easily verify (4) for the first few n :

$$\begin{aligned} d_2 &= 6 = 1 \cdot 2^2 + 2 = d_1 2^{L_0} + d_0, \\ d_3 &= 13 = 6 \cdot 2^1 + 1 = d_2 2^{L_1} + d_1, \\ d_4 &= 110 = 13 \cdot 2^3 + 6 = d_3 2^{L_2} + d_2. \end{aligned}$$

Statement (4) follows from Theorem 3 (statement 3) and the equality

$$d_n = b_n = b_{n-1} \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{L_{n-2}} + b_{n-2} = d_{n-1} 2^{L_{n-2}} + d_{n-2}.$$

□

Let $d_0(m) = 2$, $l_0(m) = 10$ and for arbitrary n , $n \geq 1$, $d_n(m) = d_n \pmod{m}$, $b_n(m) = d_n(m)$ in binary numeration system and $l_n(m) = l_{n-1}(m)b_n(m)$. Denote by $l(m)$ the limit $l(m) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l_n(m)$.

Example 2.

$$\begin{aligned}
 m &= 3; \quad d_0 = 2, d_1 = 1, d_2 = 6, d_3 = 13, d_4 = 110, d_5 = 1773, \dots; \\
 d_0(3) &= 2, d_1(3) = 1, d_2(3) = 0, d_3(3) = 1, d_4(3) = 2, d_5(3) = 0, \dots; \\
 b_0(3) &= 10, b_1(3) = 1, b_2(3) = 0, b_3(3) = 1, b_4(3) = 10, b_5(3) = 0, \dots; \\
 l_0(3) &= 10, l_1(3) = 101, l_2(3) = 1010, l_3(3) = 10101, l_4(3) = 1010110, l_5(3) = 10101100, \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2. We say that

- 1) $l_n(m)$ is a *finite LLP-word type 1* modulo m ;
- 2) $l(m)$ is a *infinite LLP-word type 1* modulo m .

Theorem 5. *The word $l(p)$ is periodic.*

Proof. The statement follows from (4) and Theorem 1 because there are only a finite number of $d_n \pmod{p}$ and $2^{L_{n-2}} \pmod{p}$ possible, and the recurrence of the first few terms sequence $d_n \pmod{p}$ gives recurrence of all subsequent terms. \square

Using Lucas words (1) we define a periodic LLP-word $l^*(m)$ (infinite LLP-word type 2 by modulo m). As usual we denote by ϵ the empty word [5].

First we define words $w_n^*(m)$. Let $w_n^*(m)$ be the last $L_n(m)$ symbols of the word l_n . If $L_n(m) = 0$ for some n , then $w_n^*(m) = \epsilon$. The word length $|w_n^*(m)|$ coincides with $L_n(m)$. Since $L_n(m)$ is a periodic sequence with period $k(m)$, the sequence $|w_n^*(m)|$ is periodic with the same period.

Theorem 6. *The word $w_n^*(m)$ coincides with the word $w_{n+k(m)}^*(m)$.*

Proof. Since $l_n = l_{n-1}l_{n-2}$, $n > 1$, the last L_{n-2} symbols of the word l_n coincide with the word l_{n-2} , and therefore the last L_n elements of the word l_{n+2r} coincide with the word l_{n-2} for any natural number r . The period $k(m)$ is an even number (Theorem 2), so the last $L_n^*(m)$ elements of the word l_n coincide with the last $L_n^*(m)$ elements of the word $l_{n+k(m)}$. \square

Let $l_0^*(m) = 10$ and for arbitrary integer n , $n \geq 1$, $l_n^*(m) = l_{n-1}^*(m)w_n^*(m)$. Denote by $l^*(m)$ the limit $l^*(m) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l_n^*(m)$.

Example 3.

$$\begin{aligned}
 l_0 &= 10, \quad l_1 = 1, \quad l_2 = 110, \quad l_3 = 1101, \quad l_4 = 1101110 \quad l_5 = 11011101101, \dots \\
 m &= 3; \quad L_0(3) = 2, L_1(3) = 1, L_2(3) = 0, L_3(3) = 1, L_4(3) = 1, L_5(3) = 2, \dots; \\
 w_0^*(3) &= 10, w_1^*(3) = 1, w_2^*(3) = \epsilon, w_3^*(3) = 1, w_4^*(3) = 0, w_5^*(3) = 01, \dots; \\
 l_0^*(3) &= 10, l_1^*(3) = 101, l_2^*(3) = 101, l_3^*(3) = 1011, l_4^*(3) = 10110, l_5^*(3) = 1011001, \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3. We say that

- 1) $l_n^*(m)$ is a *finite LLP-word of type 2* modulo m ;
- 2) $l^*(m)$ is an *infinite LLP-word of type 2* by modulo m .

Theorem 7. *The word $l^*(m)$ is a periodic word and has period $L_0(m) + \dots + L_{k(m)-1}$.*

Proof. The proof is a directly corollary of Theorem 6. \square

REFERENCES

1. K. T. Atanassov, V. Atanassova, A. G. Shannon, and J. C. Turner, *New visual perspectives on Fibonacci numbers*, World Scientific, London, 2002.
2. J. Berstel, *Fibonacci words – a survey*, In: *The book of L*, G. Rosenberg, A. Salomaa (Eds.), Springer, Berlin, 1986, pp. 11–26.
3. J. P. Duval, F. Mignosi, and A. Restivo, *Recurrence and periodicity in infinite words from local periods*, *Theor. Comput. Sci.* **262** (2001), no. 1–2, 269–284.
4. T. Koshy, *Fibonacci and Lucas numbers with applications*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2001.
5. M. Lothaire, *Algebraic combinatorics on words*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2002.
6. G. Pirillo, *Fibonacci numbers and words*, *Discrete Math.* **173** (1997), no. 1–3, 197–207.
7. J. L. Ramirez, G. N. Rubiano, and R. de Castro, *A generalization of the Fibonacci word fractal and the Fibonacci snowflake*, *Theor. Comput. Sci.* **528** (2014), 40–56.
8. N. J. A. Sloane, *The online encyclopedia of integer sequences*, Published electronically at <https://oeis.org>
9. D. D. Wall, *Fibonacci series modulo m* , *Am. Math. Mon.* **67** (1960), no. 6, 525–532.

Стаття: надійшла до редколегії 10.04.2018
прийнята до друку 15.05.2018

ПЕРІОДИЧНІ СЛОВА, ПОВ'ЯЗАНІ З ЧИСЛАМИ ЛЮКА

Галина БАРАБАШ, Ярослав ХОЛЯВКА, Ірина ТИТАР

Львівський національний університет імені Івана Франка,
вул. Університетська, 1, Львів, 79000
e-mails: galynabarabash71@gmail.com,
ya_khol@franko.lviv.ua,
iratytar1217@gmail.com

Означено періодичні слова, які пов'язані з числами Люка. Досліджуємо їхні властивості.

Ключові слова: числа Люка, слова Люка, числа Фібоначчі, слова Фібоначчі.