

УДК 512.536

## ON THE DICHOTOMY OF A LOCALLY COMPACT SEMITOPOLOGICAL BICYCLIC MONOID WITH ADJOINED ZERO

Oleg GUTIK

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Universytetska Str., 1, 79000, Lviv,  
e-mail: o\_gutik@franko.lviv.ua, ovgutik@yahoo.com

We prove that a Hausdorff locally compact semitopological bicyclic semigroup with adjoined zero  $\mathcal{C}^0$  is either compact or discrete. Also we show that the similar statement holds for a locally compact semitopological bicyclic semigroup with an adjoined compact ideal and construct an example which witnesses that a counterpart of the statements does not hold when  $\mathcal{C}^0$  is a Čech-complete metrizable topological inverse semigroup.

*Key words:* semigroup, semitopological semigroup, topological semigroup, bicyclic monoid, locally compact space, Čech-complete space, metrizable space, zero, compact ideal.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Further we shall follow the terminology of [7, 8, 10, 24]. Given a semigroup  $S$ , we shall denote the set of idempotents of  $S$  by  $E(S)$ . A semigroup  $S$  with the adjoined zero will be denoted by  $S^0$  (cf. [8]).

A semigroup  $S$  is called *inverse* if for every  $x \in S$  there exists a unique  $y \in S$  such that  $xyx = x$  and  $yxy = y$ . Later such an element  $y$  will be denoted by  $x^{-1}$  and will be called the *inverse of  $x$* . A map  $\text{inv}: S \rightarrow S$  which assigns to every  $s \in S$  its inverse is called *inversion*.

In this paper all topological spaces are Hausdorff. If  $Y$  is a subspace of a topological space  $X$  and  $A \subseteq Y$ , then by  $\text{cl}_Y(A)$  we denote the topological closure of  $A$  in  $Y$ .

A *semitopological (topological) semigroup* is a topological space with separately continuous (jointly continuous) semigroup operations. An inverse topological semigroup with continuous inversion is called a *topological inverse semigroup*.

We recall that a topological space  $X$  is:

- *locally compact* if every point  $x$  of  $X$  has an open neighbourhood  $U(x)$  with the compact closure  $\text{cl}_X(U(x))$ ;

- *Čech-complete* if  $X$  is Tychonoff and there exists a compactification  $cX$  of  $X$  such that the remainder  $cX \setminus c(X)$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $cX$ .

The *bicyclic semigroup* (or the *bicyclic monoid*)  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  is a semigroup with the identity 1 generated by two elements  $p$  and  $q$  with only one condition  $pq = 1$ . The distinct elements of the bicyclic monoid are exhibited in the following array:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & p & p^2 & p^3 & \dots & \\ q & qp & qp^2 & qp^3 & \dots & \\ q^2 & q^2p & q^2p^2 & q^2p^3 & \dots & \\ q^3 & q^3p & q^3p^2 & q^3p^3 & \dots & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \end{array}$$

The bicyclic monoid is a combinatorial bisimple  $F$ -inverse semigroup and it plays an important role in the algebraic theory of semigroups and in the theory of topological semigroups. For example the well-known Andersen's result [1] states that a (0-)simple semigroup with an idempotent is completely (0-)simple if and only if it does not contain an isomorphic copy of the bicyclic semigroup. The bicyclic semigroup admits only the discrete semigroup topology and if a topological semigroup  $S$  contains it as a dense subsemigroup then  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  is an open subset of  $S$  [11]. Bertman and West in [6] extended this result for the case of semitopological semigroups. Stable and  $\Gamma$ -compact topological semigroups do not contain the bicyclic semigroup [2, 15]. The problem of an embedding of the bicyclic monoid into compact-like topological semigroups is discussed in [4, 5, 13].

In [11] Eberhart and Selden proved that if the bicyclic monoid  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  is a dense subsemigroup of a topological monoid  $S$  and  $I = S \setminus \mathcal{C}(p, q) \neq \emptyset$  then  $I$  is a two-sided ideal of the semigroup  $S$ . Also, there they described the closure of the bicyclic monoid  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  in a locally compact topological inverse semigroup. The closure of the bicyclic monoid in a countably compact (pseudocompact) topological semigroups was studied in [5].

The well known A. Weil Theorem states that *every locally compact monothetic topological group  $G$*  (i.e.,  $G$  contains a cyclic dense subgroup) *is either compact or discrete* (see [26]). Locally compact and compact monothetic topological semigroups was studied by Hewitt [14], Hofmann [16], Koch [18], Numakura [23] and others (see more information on this topics in the books [7] and [17]). Koch in [19] posed the following problem: "*If  $S$  is a locally compact monothetic semigroup and  $S$  has an identity, must  $S$  be compact?*" (see [7, Vol. 2, p. 144]). From the other side, Zelenyuk in [27] constructed a countable locally compact topological semigroup without unit which is neither compact nor discrete.

In this paper we prove that a Hausdorff locally compact semitopological bicyclic semigroup with adjoined zero  $\mathcal{C}^0$  is either compact or discrete. Also we show that the similar statement holds for a locally compact semitopological bicyclic semigroup with an adjoined compact ideal and construct an example which witnesses that a counterpart of the statements does not hold when  $\mathcal{C}^0$  is a Čech-complete metrizable topological inverse semigroup.

2. ON A LOCALLY COMPACT SEMITOPOLOGICAL BICYCLIC SEMIGROUP WITH  
ADJOINED ZERO

The following proposition generalizes Theorem I.3 from [11].

**Proposition 1.** *If the bicyclic monoid  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  is a dense subsemigroup of a semitopological monoid  $S$  and  $I = S \setminus \mathcal{C}(p, q) \neq \emptyset$  then  $I$  is a two-sided ideal of the semigroup  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Fix an arbitrary element  $y \in I$ . If  $xy = z \notin I$  for some  $x \in \mathcal{C}(p, q)$  then there exists an open neighbourhood  $U(y)$  of the point  $y$  in the space  $S$  such that  $\{x\} \cdot U(y) = \{z\} \subset \mathcal{C}(p, q)$ . The neighbourhood  $U(y)$  contains infinitely many elements of the semigroup  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ . This contradicts Lemma I.1 [11], which states that for each  $v, w \in \mathcal{C}(p, q)$  both sets  $\{u \in \mathcal{C}(p, q) : vu = w\}$  and  $\{u \in \mathcal{C}(p, q) : uv = w\}$  are finite. The obtained contradiction implies that  $xy \in I$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{C}(p, q)$  and  $y \in I$ . The proof of the statement that  $yx \in I$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{C}(p, q)$  and  $y \in I$  is similar.

Suppose to the contrary that  $xy = w \notin I$  for some  $x, y \in I$ . Then  $w \in \mathcal{C}(p, q)$  and the separate continuity of the semigroup operation in  $S$  implies that there exist open neighbourhoods  $U(x)$  and  $U(y)$  of the points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $S$ , respectively, such that  $\{x\} \cdot U(y) = \{w\}$  and  $U(x) \cdot \{y\} = \{w\}$ . Since both neighbourhoods  $U(x)$  and  $U(y)$  contain infinitely many elements of the semigroup  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ , both equalities  $\{x\} \cdot U(y) = \{w\}$  and  $U(x) \cdot \{y\} = \{w\}$  contradict mentioned above Lemma I.1 from [11]. The obtained contradiction implies that  $xy \in I$ .

For every non-negative integer  $n$  we put

$$\mathcal{C}[q^n] = \{q^n p^i \in \mathcal{C}(p, q) : i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}[p^n] = \{q^i p^n \in \mathcal{C}(p, q) : i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  be a locally compact semitopological semigroup. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (1) *for every open neighbourhood  $U(0)$  of zero in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  there exists an open compact neighbourhood  $V(0)$  of zero in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  such that  $V(0) \subseteq U(0)$ ;*
- (2) *for every open compact neighbourhood  $U(0)$  of zero in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  and every open neighbourhood  $V(0)$  of zero in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  the set  $U(0) \cap V(0)$  is compact and open, and the set  $U(0) \setminus V(0)$  is finite.*

*Proof.* The statements of the lemma are trivial in the case when  $\tau$  is the discrete topology on  $\mathcal{C}^0$ , and hence later we shall assume that the topology  $\tau$  is non-discrete.

(1) Let  $U(0)$  be an arbitrary open neighbourhood of zero in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$ . By Theorem 3.3.1 from [10] the space  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  is regular. Since it is locally compact, there exists an open neighbourhood  $V(0) \subseteq U(0)$  of zero in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  such that  $\text{cl}_{\mathcal{C}^0}(V(0)) \subseteq U(0)$ . Since all non-zero elements of the semigroup  $\mathcal{C}^0$  are isolated points in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$ ,  $\text{cl}_{\mathcal{C}^0}(V(0)) = V(0)$ , and hence our assertion holds.

(2) Let  $U(0)$  be an arbitrary compact open neighbourhood of zero in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$ . Then for an arbitrary open neighbourhood  $V(0)$  of zero in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  the family

$$\mathcal{U} = \{V(0), \{\{x\} : x \in U(0) \setminus V(0)\}\}$$

is an open cover of  $U(0)$ . Since the family  $\mathcal{U}$  is disjoint, it is finite. So the set  $U(0) \setminus V(0)$  is finite and the set  $U(0) \cap V(0)$  is compact.

**Lemma 2.** *If  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  is a locally compact non-discrete semitopological semigroup, then for each open neighbourhood  $U(0)$  of zero in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  there exist non-negative integers  $i$  and  $j$  such that both sets  $\mathcal{C}[q^i] \cap U(0)$  and  $\mathcal{C}[p^j] \cap U(0)$  are infinite.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1(1), without loss of generality we may assume that  $U(0)$  is a compact open neighbourhood of zero 0 in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$ . Put

$$V_q(0) = \{x \in U(0) : x \cdot q \in U(0)\} \quad \text{and} \quad V_p(0) = \{x \in U(0) : p \cdot x \in U(0)\}.$$

If the set  $\mathcal{C}[q^i] \cap U(0)$  is finite for any non-negative integer  $i$ , then the formula

$$q^i p^l \cdot q = \begin{cases} q^{i+1}, & \text{if } l = 0; \\ q^i p^{l-1}, & \text{if } l \text{ is a positive integer,} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

implies that the right translation  $\rho_q: \mathcal{C}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0: x \mapsto x \cdot q$  shifts all non-zero elements of the neighbourhood  $V_q(0)$ . Then  $U(0) \setminus V_q(0)$  is an infinite subset of  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ , which contradicts Lemma 1(2). Similarly, if the set  $\mathcal{C}[p^j] \cap U(0)$  is finite for any non-negative integer  $j$ , then the formula

$$p \cdot q^j p^l = \begin{cases} p^{l+1}, & \text{if } j = 0; \\ q^{j-1} p^l, & \text{if } j \text{ is a positive integer,} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

implies that the left translation  $\lambda_p: \mathcal{C}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0: x \mapsto p \cdot x$  shifts all non-zero elements of the neighbourhood  $V_p(0)$ . This implies that  $U(0) \setminus V_p(0)$  is an infinite subset of  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ , which contradicts Lemma 1(2).

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  be a locally compact non-discrete semitopological semigroup. Then there exist non-negative integers  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $\mathcal{C}[q^i] \setminus U(0)$  and  $\mathcal{C}[p^j] \setminus U(0)$  are finite for every open neighbourhood  $U(0)$  of zero 0 in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$ .*

*Proof.* Fix an arbitrary open compact neighbourhood  $U_0(0)$  of zero in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$ . Then Lemma 2 implies that there exist non-negative integers  $i$  and  $j$  such that both sets  $\mathcal{C}[q^i] \cap U_0(0)$  and  $\mathcal{C}[p^j] \cap U_0(0)$  are infinite. Let  $U(0)$  be an arbitrary open neighbourhood of zero in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$ . By Lemma 1(2), the set  $U_0(0) \setminus U(0)$  is finite. By Lemma 1(1), there exists an open compact neighbourhood  $U'(0) \subseteq U(0)$  of zero in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$ .

Now, Lemma 1(1) and the separate continuity of the semigroup operation in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  imply that there exists an open compact neighbourhood  $V(0)$  of zero 0 in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  such that

$$V(0) \subseteq U'(0), \quad V(0) \cdot q \subseteq U'(0) \quad \text{and} \quad p \cdot V(0) \subseteq U'(0).$$

If the set  $\mathcal{C}[q^i] \setminus U(0)$  is infinite, then formula (1) implies that the right translation  $\rho_q: \mathcal{C}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0: x \mapsto x \cdot q$  shifts all non-zero elements of the neighbourhood  $V(0)$  and hence the inclusion  $V(0) \cdot q \subseteq U'(0)$  implies that  $U'(0) \setminus V(0)$  is an infinite set, which contradicts Lemma 1(2). Hence the set  $\mathcal{C}[q^i] \setminus U(0)$  is finite. Similarly, if the set  $\mathcal{C}[p^j] \setminus U(0)$  is infinite, then by formula (2) we have that the left translation  $\lambda_p: \mathcal{C}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0: x \mapsto p \cdot x$  shifts all non-zero elements of the neighbourhood  $V(0)$  and hence the by inclusion  $p \cdot V(0) \subseteq U'(0)$  we obtain that  $U'(0) \setminus V(0)$  is an infinite set, which contradicts Lemma 1(2). Therefore, the set  $\mathcal{C}[p^j] \setminus U(0)$  is finite as well.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  be a locally compact non-discrete semitopological semigroup. Then for every open neighbourhood  $U(0)$  of zero 0 in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  and any non-negative integer  $i$  both sets  $\mathcal{C}[q^i] \setminus U(0)$  and  $\mathcal{C}[p^i] \setminus U(0)$  are finite.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1(1), without loss of generality we may assume that the open neighbourhood  $U(0)$  is compact. By Lemma 3 there exists a non-negative integer  $i_0$  such that  $\mathcal{C}[q^{i_0}] \setminus U'(0)$  is finite for any open compact neighbourhood  $U'(0)$  of zero 0 in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$ .

Fix an arbitrary non-negative integer  $i \neq i_0$ . If  $i < i_0$ , then the separate continuity of the semigroup operation in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  implies that there exists an open compact neighbourhood  $V(0) \subseteq U(0)$  of zero 0 in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  such that  $p^{i_0-i} \cdot V(0) \subseteq U(0)$ . Then

$$p^{i_0-i} \cdot q^{i_0} p^l = q^i p^l, \quad \text{for any non-negative integer } l. \quad (3)$$

The set  $\mathcal{C}[q^{i_0}] \setminus V(0)$  is finite, and hence by (3) the set  $\mathcal{C}[q^i] \setminus (p^{i_0-i} \cdot V(0))$  is finite as well.

If  $i > i_0$ , then the separate continuity of the semigroup operation in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  implies that there exists an open compact neighbourhood  $W(0) \subseteq U(0)$  of zero 0 in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  such that  $q^{i-i_0} \cdot W(0) \subseteq U(0)$ . Then

$$q^{i-i_0} \cdot q^{i_0} p^l = q^i p^l, \quad \text{for any non-negative integer } l, \quad (4)$$

The set  $\mathcal{C}[q^{i_0}] \setminus W(0)$  is finite, and hence (4) implies that the set  $\mathcal{C}[q^i] \setminus U(0) \subseteq \mathcal{C}[q^{i_0}] \setminus (q^{i-i_0} \cdot W(0))$  is finite as well.

The proof of finiteness of the set  $\mathcal{C}[p^i] \setminus U(0)$  is similar.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  be a non-discrete locally compact semitopological semigroup. Then for every open neighbourhood  $U(0)$  of zero 0 in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  the set  $\mathcal{C}^0 \setminus U(0)$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that there exists an open neighbourhood  $U(0)$  of zero 0 in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  such that  $\mathcal{C}^0 \setminus U(0)$  is infinite. Lemma 1(1) implies that without loss of generality we may assume that the neighbourhood  $U(0)$  is compact.

Now, the separate continuity of the semigroup operation in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  implies that there exists an open neighbourhood  $V(0) \subseteq U(0)$  of zero 0 in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$  such that  $p \cdot V(0) \subseteq U(0)$ . By Lemma 4 for every non-negative integer  $n$  both sets  $\mathcal{C}[q^n] \setminus U(0)$  and  $\mathcal{C}[p^n] \setminus U(0)$  are finite. Thus, the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $U(0) \cup \bigcup_{n=0}^m (\mathcal{C}[q^n] \cup \mathcal{C}[p^n]) \neq \mathcal{C}^0$  for every positive integer  $m$ ;
- (ii) for every positive integer  $k$  there exists a non-negative integer  $k_{\max}$  such that  $\{q^k p^j : j \geq k_{\max}\} \subset U(0)$ .

We have  $p \cdot q^k p^l = q^{k-1} p^k$  for any integers  $k \geq 1$  and  $l$ . This and conditions (i) and (ii) imply that the set  $U(0) \setminus V(0)$  is infinite, which contradicts Lemma 1(2). The obtained contradiction implies the statement of the lemma.

The following simple example shows that on the semigroup  $\mathcal{C}^0$  there exists a topology  $\tau_{\text{Ac}}$  such that  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_{\text{Ac}})$  is a compact semitopological semigroup.

**Example 1.** On the semigroup  $\mathcal{C}^0$  we define a topology  $\tau_{\text{Ac}}$  in the following way:

- (i) every element of the bicyclic monoid  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  is an isolated point in the space  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_{\text{Ac}})$ ;
- (ii) the family  $\mathcal{B}(0) = \{U \subseteq \mathcal{C}^0 : 0 \in U \text{ and } \mathcal{C}(p, q) \setminus U \text{ is finite}\}$  determines a base of the topology  $\tau_{\text{Ac}}$  at zero  $0 \in \mathcal{C}^0$ ,

i.e.,  $\tau_{\text{Ac}}$  is the topology of the Alexandroff one-point compactification of the discrete space  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  with the remainder  $\{0\}$ . The semigroup operation in  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_{\text{Ac}})$  is separately

continuous, because all elements of the bicyclic semigroup  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  are isolated points in the space  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_{Ac})$ .

*Remark 1.* In [6] Bertman and West showed that the discrete topology  $\tau_d$  is a unique topology on the bicyclic monoid  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  such that  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  is a semitopological semigroup. So  $\tau_{Ac}$  is the unique compact topology on  $\mathcal{C}^0$  such that  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_{Ac})$  is a compact semitopological semigroup.

Lemma 5 and Remark 1 imply the following dichotomy for a locally compact semitopological semigroup  $\mathcal{C}^0$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If  $\mathcal{C}^0$  is a Hausdorff locally compact semitopological semigroup, then either  $\mathcal{C}^0$  is discrete or  $\mathcal{C}^0$  is topologically isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_{Ac})$ .*

Since the bicyclic monoid  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  does not embed into any Hausdorff compact topological semigroup [2], Theorem 1 implies the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** *If  $\mathcal{C}^0$  is a Hausdorff locally compact semitopological semigroup, then  $\mathcal{C}^0$  is discrete.*

The following example shows that a counterpart of the statement of Corollary 1 does not hold when  $\mathcal{C}^0$  is a Čech-complete metrizable topological inverse semigroup.

**Example 2.** On the semigroup  $\mathcal{C}^0$  we define a topology  $\tau_1$  in the following way:

- (i) every element of the bicyclic monoid  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  is an isolated point in the space  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_1)$ ;
- (ii) the family  $\mathcal{B}(0) = \{U_n : n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ , where

$$U_n = \{0\} \cup \{q^i p^j \in \mathcal{C}(p, q) : i, j > n\},$$

determines a base of the topology  $\tau_1$  at zero  $0 \in \mathcal{C}^0$ .

It is obvious that  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_1)$  is first countable space and the arguments presented in [12, p. 68] show that  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_1)$  is a Hausdorff topological inverse semigroup.

First we observe that each element of the family  $\mathcal{B}(0)$  is an open closed subset of  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_1)$ , and hence the space  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_1)$  is regular. Since the set  $\mathcal{C}^0$  is countable, the definition of the topology  $\tau_1$  implies that  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_1)$  is second countable, and hence by Theorem 4.2.9 from [10] the space  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_1)$  is metrizable. Also, it is obvious that the space  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_1)$  is Čech-complete, as a union two Čech-complete spaces: that are the discrete space  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  and the singleton space  $\{0\}$ .

### 3. ON A LOCALLY COMPACT SEMITOPOLOGICAL BICYCLIC SEMIGROUP WITH AN ADJOINED COMPACT IDEAL

Later we need the following notions. A continuous map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  from a topological space  $X$  into a topological space  $Y$  is called:

- *quotient* if the set  $f^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $X$  if and only if  $U$  is open in  $Y$  (see [22] and [10, Section 2.4]);
- *hereditarily quotient* or *pseudoopen* if for every  $B \subset Y$  the restriction  $f|_B: f^{-1}(B) \rightarrow B$  of  $f$  is a quotient map (see [20, 21, 3] and [10, Section 2.4]);
- *closed* if  $f(F)$  is closed in  $Y$  for every closed subset  $F$  in  $X$ ;

- *perfect* if  $X$  is Hausdorff,  $f$  is a closed map and all fibers  $f^{-1}(y)$  are compact subsets of  $X$  [25].

Every closed map and every hereditarily quotient map are quotient [10]. Moreover, a continuous map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  from a topological space  $X$  onto a topological space  $Y$  is hereditarily quotient if and only if for every  $y \in Y$  and every open subset  $U$  in  $X$  which contains  $f^{-1}(y)$  we have that  $y \in \text{int}_Y(f(U))$  (see [10, 2.4.F]).

Later we need the following trivial lemma, which follows from separate continuity of the semigroup operation in semitopological semigroups.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $S$  be a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup and  $I$  be a compact ideal in  $S$ . Then the Rees-quotient semigroup  $S/I$  with the quotient topology is a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup.*

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(\mathcal{C}_I, \tau)$  be a Hausdorff locally compact semitopological semigroup,  $\mathcal{C}_I = \mathcal{C}(p, q) \sqcup I$  and  $I$  is a compact ideal of  $\mathcal{C}_I$ . Then either  $(\mathcal{C}_I, \tau)$  is a compact semitopological semigroup or the ideal  $I$  open.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $I$  is not open. By Lemma 6 the Rees-quotient semigroup  $\mathcal{C}_I/I$  with the quotient topology  $\tau_q$  is a semitopological semigroup. Let  $\pi: \mathcal{C}_I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_I/I$  be the natural homomorphism which is a quotient map. It is obvious that the Rees-quotient semigroup  $\mathcal{C}_I/I$  is isomorphic to the semigroup  $\mathcal{C}^0$  and the image  $\pi(I)$  is zero of  $\mathcal{C}^0$ . Now we shall show that the natural homomorphism  $\pi: \mathcal{C}_I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_I/I$  is a hereditarily quotient map. Since  $\pi(\mathcal{C}(p, q))$  is a discrete subspace of  $(\mathcal{C}_I/I, \tau_q)$ , it is sufficient to show that for every open neighbourhood  $U(I)$  of the ideal  $I$  in the space  $(\mathcal{C}_I, \tau)$  we have that the image  $\pi(U(I))$  is an open neighbourhood of the zero  $0$  in the space  $(\mathcal{C}_I/I, \tau_q)$ . Indeed,  $\mathcal{C}_I \setminus U(I)$  is a closed-and-open subset of  $(\mathcal{C}_I, \tau)$ , because the elements of the bicyclic monoid  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  are isolated point of  $(\mathcal{C}_I, \tau)$ . Also, since the restriction  $\pi|_{\mathcal{C}(p, q)}: \mathcal{C}(p, q) \rightarrow \pi(\mathcal{C}(p, q))$  of the natural homomorphism  $\pi: \mathcal{C}_I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_I/I$  is one-to-one,  $\pi(\mathcal{C}_I \setminus U(I))$  is a closed-and-open subset of  $(\mathcal{C}_I/I, \tau_q)$ . So  $\pi(U(I))$  is an open neighbourhood of the zero  $0$  of the semigroup  $(\mathcal{C}_I/I, \tau_q)$ , and hence the natural homomorphism  $\pi: \mathcal{C}_I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_I/I$  is a hereditarily quotient map. Since  $I$  is a compact ideal of the semitopological semigroup  $(\mathcal{C}_I, \tau)$ ,  $\pi^{-1}(y)$  is a compact subset of  $(\mathcal{C}_I, \tau)$  for every  $y \in \mathcal{C}_I/I$ . By Din' N'e T'ong's Theorem (see [9] or [10, 3.7.E]),  $(\mathcal{C}_I/I, \tau_q)$  is a Hausdorff locally compact space. If  $I$  is not open then by Theorem 1 the semitopological semigroup  $(\mathcal{C}_I/I, \tau_q)$  is topologically isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_{Ac})$  and hence it is compact. Next we shall prove that the space  $(\mathcal{C}_I, \tau)$  is compact. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha: \alpha \in \mathcal{S}\}$  be an arbitrary open cover of  $(\mathcal{C}_I, \tau)$ . Since  $I$  is compact, there exist  $U_{\alpha_1}, \dots, U_{\alpha_n} \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $I \subseteq U_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{\alpha_n}$ . Put  $U = U_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{\alpha_n}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}_I \setminus U$  is a closed-and-open subset of  $(\mathcal{C}_I, \tau)$ . Also, since the restriction  $\pi|_{\mathcal{C}(p, q)}: \mathcal{C}(p, q) \rightarrow \pi(\mathcal{C}(p, q))$  of the natural homomorphism  $\pi: \mathcal{C}_I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_I/I$  is one-to-one,  $\pi(\mathcal{C}_I \setminus U(I))$  is a closed-and-open subset of  $(\mathcal{C}_I/I, \tau_q)$ , and hence the image  $\pi(\mathcal{C}_I \setminus U(I))$  is finite, because the semigroup  $(\mathcal{C}_I/I, \tau_q)$  is compact. Thus, the set  $\mathcal{C}_I \setminus U$  is finite and hence the space  $(\mathcal{C}_I, \tau)$  is compact as well.

**Corollary 2.** *If  $(\mathcal{C}_I, \tau)$  is a locally compact topology topological semigroup,  $\mathcal{C}_I = \mathcal{C}(p, q) \sqcup I$  and  $I$  is a compact ideal of  $\mathcal{C}_I$ , then the ideal  $I$  is open.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author acknowledges T. Banakh and A. Ravsky for their comments and suggestions.

## REFERENCES

1. *Andersen O.* Ein Bericht über die Struktur abstrakter Halbgruppen / O. Andersen// PhD Thesis, Hamburg, 1952.
2. *Anderson L.W.* Some results on stability in semigroups / L.W. Anderson, R.P. Hunter, R.J. Koch// Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1965. – Vol. 117. – P. 521–529.
3. *Arkhangel'skiĭ A. V.* Bicomact sets and the topology of spaces / A.V. Arkhangel'skiĭ// Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR – 1963. – Vol. 150. – P. 9–12 (in Russian); English version in: Soviet Math. Dokl. – 1963. – Vol. 4. – P. 561–564.
4. *Banakh T.* The Rees-Suschkiewitsch Theorem for simple topological semigroups / T. Banakh, S. Dimitrova, O. Gutik// Mat. Stud. – 2009. – Vol. 31, №2. – P. 211–218.
5. *Banakh T.* Embedding the bicyclic semigroup into countably compact topological semigroups / T. Banakh, S. Dimitrova, O. Gutik// Topology Appl. – 2010. – Vol. 157, №18. – P. 2803–2814.
6. *Bertman M.O.* Conditionally compact bicyclic semitopological semigroups / M.O. Bertman, T.T. West// Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. – 1976. – Vol. A76, №21–23. – P. 219–226.
7. *Carruth J.H.* The theory of topological semigroups / J.H. Carruth, J.A. Hildebrandt, R.J. Koch. – Vols. I and II. – New York and Basel: Marcell Dekker, Inc., 1983 and 1986.
8. *Clifford A. H.* The algebraic theory of semigroups / A.H. Clifford, G.B. Preston. – Vols. I and II. – Providence, R.I.: Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, 1961 and 1967.
9. *T'ong D.N.* Preclosed mappings and A. D. Taĭmanov's theorem / Din' N'e T'ong// Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR – 1963. – Vol. 152. – P. 525–528 (in Russian); English version in: Soviet Math. Dokl. – 1963. – Vol. 4. – P. 1335–1338.
10. *Engelking R.* General topology / R. Engelking. – 2nd ed. – Berlin: Heldermann, 1989.
11. *Eberhart C.* On the closure of the bicyclic semigroup / C. Eberhart, J. Selden// Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1969. – Vol. 144. – P. 115–126.
12. *Gutik O.V.* Any topological semigroup topologically isomorphically embeds into a simple path-connected topological semigroup / O.V. Gutik// In: Algebra and Topology, Lviv Univ. Press – 1969. – P. 65–73, (in Ukrainian).
13. *Gutik O.* On countably compact 0-simple topological inverse semigroups / O. Gutik, D. Repovš// Semigroup Forum – 2007. – Vol. 75, №2. – P. 464–469.
14. *Hewitt E.* Compact monothetic semigroups / E. Hewitt// Duke Math. J. – 1956. – Vol. 23, №3. – P. 447–457.
15. *Hildebrandt J.A.* Swelling actions of  $\Gamma$ -compact semigroups / J.A. Hildebrandt, R.J. Koch// Semigroup Forum – 1986. – Vol. 33, №1. – P. 65–85.
16. *Hofmann K.H.* Topologische Halbgruppen mit dichter submonoger Untenhalbgruppe / K.H. Hofmann// Math. Zeit. – 1974. – Vol. 74. – P. 232–276.
17. *Hofmann K.H.* Elements of compact semigroups / K.H. Hofmann, P.S. Mostert. – Columbus: Chas. E. Merrill Co., 1966.
18. *Koch R.J.* On monothetic semigroups / R.J. Koch// Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1957. – Vol. 8, №2. – P. 397–401.
19. *Koch R.J.* Some open questions in topological semigroups / R.J. Koch// Anais Acad. Brasil. Ci. – 1969. – Vol. 41, №1. – P. 19–20.
20. *McDougle P.* A theorem on quasi-compact mappings / P. McDougle// Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1958. – Vol. 9, №3. – P. 474–477.



21. *McDougle P.* Mapping and space relations / P. McDougle// Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1959. – Vol. 10, №2. – P. 320–323.
22. *Moore R.L.* Concerning upper semi-continuous collections of continua / R.L. Moore// Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1925. – Vol. 27. – P. 416–428.
23. *Numakura K.* On bicomact semigroups / K. Numakura// Math. J. Okayama Univ. – 1952. – Vol. 1. – P. 99–108.
24. *Ruppert W.* Compact semitopological semigroups: an intrinsic theory / W. Ruppert. – Lect. Notes Math. 1079. – Berlin: Springer, 1984.
25. *Vainštejn I.A.* On closed mappings of metric spaces / I.A. Vainštejn// Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR – 1947. – Vol. 57. – P. 319–321 (in Russian).
26. *Weil A.* L'integration dans les groupes topologiques et ses applications / A. Weil. – Actualites Scientifiques No. 869, Paris: Hermann, 1938.
27. *Zelenyuk E.G.* On Pontryagin's alternative for topological semigroups / E.G. Zelenyuk// Mat. Zametki – 1988. – Vol. 44, №3. – P. 402–403 (in Russian).

*Стаття: надійшла до редколегії 10.09.2015  
доопрацьована 04.11.2015  
прийнята до друку 11.11.2015*

## ПРО ДИХОТОМІЮ ЛОКАЛЬНО КОМПАКТНОГО НАПІВТОПОЛОГІЧНОГО БІЦИКЛІЧНОГО МОНОЇДА З ПРИЄДНАНИМ НУЛЕМ

**Олег ГУТІК**

*Львівський національний університет імені Івана Франка,  
вул. Університетська 1, Львів, 79000*

Доведено таке: що гаусдорфова локально компактна напівтопологічна біциклічна напівгрупа з приєднаним нулем  $\mathcal{C}^0$  є або компактною, або дискретною. Також доведено, що аналогічне твердження виконується для локально компактного напівтопологічного біциклічного моноїда з приєднаним компактним ідеалом, і побудовано приклад, який доводить, що аналог цих тверджень не виконується, коли  $\mathcal{C}^0$  — повна за Чехом метризовна топологічна інверсна напівгрупа.

*Ключові слова:* напівгрупа, напівтопологічна напівгрупа, топологічна напівгрупа, біциклічний моноїд, локально компактний простір, повний за Чехом простір, метризовний простір, нуль, компактний ідеал.