

УДК 512.53

ON THE SEMIGROUP OF NON-INJECTIVE MONOID
ENDOMORPHISMS OF THE SEMIGROUP $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ WITH A
TWO-ELEMENT FAMILY \mathcal{F} OF INDUCTIVE NONEMPTY
SUBSETS OF ω

Oleg GUTIK, Inna POZDNIAKOVA

*Ivan Franko National University of Lviv,
Universytetska Str., 1, 79000, Lviv, UKRAINE
e-mails: oleg.gutik@lnu.edu.ua, pozdnjakova.inna@gmail.com*

We study the semigroup of non-injective monoid endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ with the two-elements family \mathcal{F} of inductive nonempty subsets of ω . We describe the structure of elements of the semigroup $\mathbf{End}_0^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ of non-injective monoid endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$. In particular we show that its subsemigroup $\mathbf{End}^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ of non-injective non-annihilating monoid endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to the direct product of the two-element left-zero semigroup and the multiplicative semigroup of positive integers and describe Green's relations on $\mathbf{End}^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$.

Key words: bicyclic monoid, inverse semigroup, bicyclic extension, monoid endomorphism, non-injective, Green's relations, left-zero semigroup, direct product.

We shall follow the terminology of [1, 2, 9]. By ω we denote the set of all non-negative integers, by \mathbb{N} the set of all positive integers, and by \mathbb{Z} the set of all integers.

Let $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ be the family of all subsets of ω . For any $F \in \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we put $n + F = \{n + k : k \in F\}$ if $F \neq \emptyset$ and $n + \emptyset = \emptyset$. A subfamily $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is called ω -closed if $F_1 \cap (-n + F_2) \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $n \in \omega$ and $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$. For any $a \in \omega$ we denote $[a] = \{x \in \omega : x \geq a\}$.

A subset A of ω is said to be *inductive*, if $i \in A$ implies $i + 1 \in A$. Obviously, \emptyset is an inductive subset of ω .

Remark 1 ([5]). (1) By Lemma 6 from [4] a nonempty subset $F \subseteq \omega$ is inductive in ω if and only $(-1 + F) \cap F = F$.

- (2) Since the set ω with the usual order is well-ordered, for any nonempty inductive subset F in ω there exists a nonnegative integer $n_F \in \omega$ such that $[n_F] = F$.
- (3) Statement (2) implies that the intersection of an arbitrary finite family of nonempty inductive subsets in ω is a nonempty inductive subset of ω .

A semigroup S is called *inverse* if for any element $x \in S$ there exists a unique $x^{-1} \in S$ such that $xx^{-1}x = x$ and $x^{-1}xx^{-1} = x^{-1}$. The element x^{-1} is called the *inverse of $x \in S$* . If S is an inverse semigroup, then the function $\text{inv}: S \rightarrow S$ which assigns to every element x of S its inverse element x^{-1} is called the *inversion*.

If S is a semigroup, then we shall denote the subset of all idempotents in S by $E(S)$. If S is an inverse semigroup, then $E(S)$ is closed under multiplication and we shall refer to $E(S)$ as a *band* (or the *band of S*). Then the semigroup operation on S determines the following partial order \preceq on $E(S)$: $e \preceq f$ if and only if $ef = fe = e$. This order is called the *natural partial order* on $E(S)$. A *semilattice* is a commutative semigroup of idempotents.

If S is an inverse semigroup then the semigroup operation on S determines the following partial order \preceq on S : $s \preceq t$ if and only if there exists $e \in E(S)$ such that $s = te$. This order is called the *natural partial order* on S [12].

If S is a semigroup, then we shall denote the Green relations on S by $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{D}$ and \mathcal{H} (see [1, Section 2.1]):

$$\begin{aligned} a\mathcal{R}b &\text{ if and only if } aS^1 = bS^1; \\ a\mathcal{L}b &\text{ if and only if } S^1a = S^1b; \\ a\mathcal{J}b &\text{ if and only if } S^1aS^1 = S^1bS^1; \\ \mathcal{D} &= \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}; \\ \mathcal{H} &= \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}. \end{aligned}$$

The \mathcal{L} -class [\mathcal{R} -class, \mathcal{H} -class, \mathcal{D} -class, \mathcal{J} -class] of the semigroup S containing the element $a \in S$ will be denoted by L_a [R_a, H_a, D_a, J_a].

The bicyclic monoid $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ is the semigroup with the identity 1 generated by two elements p and q subjected only to the condition $pq = 1$. The semigroup operation on $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ is determined as follows:

$$q^k p^l \cdot q^m p^n = q^{k+m-\min\{l,m\}} p^{l+n-\min\{l,m\}}.$$

It is well known that the bicyclic monoid $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ is a bisimple (and hence simple) combinatorial E -unitary inverse semigroup and every non-trivial congruence on $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ is a group congruence [1].

On the set $\mathbf{B}_\omega = \omega \times \omega$ we define the semigroup operation “ \cdot ” in the following way

$$(1) \quad (i_1, j_1) \cdot (i_2, j_2) = \begin{cases} (i_1 - j_1 + i_2, j_2), & \text{if } j_1 \leq i_2; \\ (i_1, j_1 - i_2 + j_2), & \text{if } j_1 \geq i_2. \end{cases}$$

It is well known that the bicyclic monoid $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ is isomorphic to the semigroup \mathbf{B}_ω by the mapping $\mathfrak{h}: \mathcal{C}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\omega, q^k p^l \mapsto (k, l), k, l \in \omega$ (see: [1, Section 1.12] or [11, Exercise IV.1.11(ii)]). Later we identify the bicyclic monoid $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ with the semigroup \mathbf{B}_ω by the mapping \mathfrak{h} .

Next we shall describe the construction which is introduced in [4].

Let \mathbf{B}_ω be the bicyclic monoid and \mathcal{F} be an ω -closed subfamily of $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$. On the set $\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}$ we define the semigroup operation “ \cdot ” in the following way

$$(2) \quad (i_1, j_1, F_1) \cdot (i_2, j_2, F_2) = \begin{cases} (i_1 - j_1 + i_2, j_2, (j_1 - i_2 + F_1) \cap F_2), & \text{if } j_1 \leq i_2; \\ (i_1, j_1 - i_2 + j_2, F_1 \cap (i_2 - j_1 + F_2)), & \text{if } j_1 \geq i_2. \end{cases}$$

In [4] is proved that if the family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is ω -closed then $(\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot)$ is a semigroup. Moreover, if an ω -closed family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ contains the empty set \emptyset then the set $\mathbf{I} = \{(i, j, \emptyset) : i, j \in \omega\}$ is an ideal of the semigroup $(\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot)$. For any ω -closed family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ the following semigroup

$$\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}} = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot) / \mathbf{I}, & \text{if } \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}; \\ (\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot), & \text{if } \emptyset \notin \mathcal{F} \end{cases}$$

is defined in [4]. The semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ generalizes the bicyclic monoid and the countable semigroup of matrix units. It is proven in [4] that $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a combinatorial inverse semigroup and Green's relations, the natural partial order on $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ and its set of idempotents are described. Here, the criteria when the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ is simple, 0-simple, bisimple, 0-bisimple, or it has the identity, are given. In particular in [4] it is proved that the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup of $\omega \times \omega$ -matrix units if and only if \mathcal{F} consists of a singleton set and the empty set, and $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to the bicyclic monoid if and only if \mathcal{F} consists of a non-empty inductive subset of ω .

Group congruences on the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ and its homomorphic retracts in the case when an ω -closed family \mathcal{F} consists of inductive non-empty subsets of ω are studied in [5]. It is proven that a congruence \mathfrak{C} on $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a group congruence if and only if its restriction on a subsemigroup of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$, which is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup, is not the identity relation. Also in [5], all non-trivial homomorphic retracts and isomorphisms of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ are described. In [6] it is proved that an injective endomorphism ε of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ is the identity transformation if and only if ε has three distinct fixed points, which is equivalent to existence non-idempotent element $(i, j, [p]) \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $(i, j, [p])\varepsilon = (i, j, [p])$.

In [3, 10] the algebraic structure of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ is established in the case when ω -closed family \mathcal{F} consists of atomic subsets of ω .

It is well-known that every automorphism of the bicyclic monoid \mathbf{B}_ω is the identity self-map of \mathbf{B}_ω [1], and hence the group $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathbf{B}_\omega)$ of automorphisms of \mathbf{B}_ω is trivial. In [8] it is proved that the semigroup $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega)$ of all endomorphisms of the bicyclic semigroup \mathbf{B}_ω is isomorphic to the semidirect products $(\omega, +) \rtimes_{\varphi} (\omega, *)$, where $+$ and $*$ are the usual addition and the usual multiplication on ω .

In the paper [7] we study injective endomorphisms of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ with the two-elements family \mathcal{F} of inductive nonempty subsets of ω . We describe the elements of the semigroup $\mathbf{End}_*^1(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ of all injective monoid endomorphisms of the monoid $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$. In particular we show that every element of the semigroup $\mathbf{End}_*^1(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ has a form either

$\alpha_{k,p}$ or $\beta_{k,p}$, where the endomorphism $\alpha_{k,p}$ is defined by the formulae

$$\begin{aligned}(i, j, [0])\alpha_{k,p} &= (ki, kj, [0]), \\ (i, j, [1])\alpha_{k,p} &= (p + ki, p + kj, [1]),\end{aligned}$$

for an arbitrary positive integer k and any $p \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\}$, and the endomorphism $\beta_{k,p}$ is defined by the formulae

$$\begin{aligned}(i, j, [0])\beta_{k,p} &= (ki, kj, [0]), \\ (i, j, [1])\beta_{k,p} &= (p + ki, p + kj, [0]),\end{aligned}$$

an arbitrary positive integer $k \geq 2$ and any $p \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$. In [7] we describe the product of elements of the semigroup $\mathbf{End}_*^1(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{k_1,p_1}\alpha_{k_2,p_2} &= \alpha_{k_1k_2,p_2+k_2p_1}; \\ \alpha_{k_1,p_1}\beta_{k_2,p_2} &= \beta_{k_1k_2,p_2+k_2p_1}; \\ \beta_{k_1,p_1}\beta_{k_2,p_2} &= \beta_{k_1k_2,k_2p_1}; \\ \beta_{k_1,p_1}\alpha_{k_2,p_2} &= \beta_{k_1k_2,k_2p_1}.\end{aligned}$$

Also, here we prove that Green's relations \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{D} , and \mathcal{J} on $\mathbf{End}_*^1(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ coincide with the equality relation.

Later we assume that an ω -closed family \mathcal{F} consists of two nonempty inductive nonempty subsets of ω .

This paper is a continuation of [7]. We study non-injective monoid endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$. We describe the structure of elements of the semigroup $\mathbf{End}_0^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ of all non-injective monoid endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$. In particular we show that its subsemigroup $\mathbf{End}^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ of all non-injective non-annihilating monoid endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to the direct product the two-element left-zero semigroup and the multiplicative semigroup of positive integers and describe Green's relations on $\mathbf{End}^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$.

Remark 2. By Proposition 1 of [5] for any ω -closed family \mathcal{F} of inductive subsets in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ there exists an ω -closed family \mathcal{F}^* of inductive subsets in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ such that $[0] \in \mathcal{F}^*$ and the semigroups $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ and $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^*}$ are isomorphic. Hence without loss of generality we may assume that the family \mathcal{F} contains the set $[0]$.

If \mathcal{F} is an arbitrary ω -closed family \mathcal{F} of inductive subsets in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ and $[s] \in \mathcal{F}$ for some $s \in \omega$ then

$$B_\omega^{\{[s]\}} = \{(i, j, [s]) : i, j \in \omega\}$$

is a subsemigroup of $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ [5] and by Proposition 3 of [4] the semigroup $B_\omega^{\{[s]\}}$ is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup.

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1]\}$ and let ϵ be a monoid endomorphism of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$. If $(i_1, j_1, F)\epsilon = (i_2, j_2, F)\epsilon$ for distinct two elements $(i_1, j_1, F), (i_2, j_2, F)$ of $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ for some $F \in \mathcal{F}$ then ϵ is the annihilating endomorphism of $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1 of [5] the image $(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})\epsilon$ is a subgroup of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$. By Theorem 4(iii) of [4] every \mathcal{H} -class in $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a singleton, and hence ϵ is the annihilating monoid endomorphism of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$. \square

Lemma 2. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1]\}$. Then $(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})\epsilon \subseteq \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[0]\}}$ for any non-injective monoid endomorphism ϵ of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3 of [4] the subsemigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[0]\}}$ of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup and hence by Corollary 1.32 of [1] the image $(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[0]\}})\epsilon$ either is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup or is a cyclic subgroup of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$. Since $(0, 0, [0])\epsilon = (0, 0, [0])$, Proposition 4 from [5] implies that $(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[0]\}})\epsilon \subseteq \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[0]\}}$ in the case when the image $(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[0]\}})\epsilon$ is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup. In the other case we have that the equality $(0, 0, [0])\epsilon = (0, 0, [0])$ implies that

$$(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[0]\}})\epsilon \subseteq \{(0, 0, [0])\} \subseteq \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[0]\}},$$

because by Theorem 4(iii) of [4] every \mathcal{H} -class in $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a singleton.

Next, by Proposition 3 of [4] the subsemigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[1]\}}$ of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup and hence by Corollary 1.32 of [1] the image $(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[1]\}})\epsilon$ either is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup or is a cyclic subgroup of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$. Suppose that the image $(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[1]\}})\epsilon$ is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup and $(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[1]\}})\epsilon \subseteq \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[1]\}}$. Then monoid endomorphism ϵ of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ is injective. Indeed, injectivity of the restriction $\epsilon|_{\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[1]\}}}: \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[1]\}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[1]\}}$, Proposition 4 of [5], Corollary 1.32 of [1], Theorem 4(iii) of [4], and the equality $(0, 0, [0])\epsilon = (0, 0, [0])$ imply that either the restriction $\epsilon|_{\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[0]\}}}: \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[0]\}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[0]\}}$ is an injective mapping or is an annihilating endomorphism. In the case when the restriction $\epsilon|_{\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[0]\}}}: \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[0]\}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[0]\}}$ is an injective mapping we get that the endomorphism ϵ is injective. If the image $(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[0]\}})\epsilon$ is a singleton then by Lemma 1 we have that ϵ is the annihilating monoid endomorphism of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$. In the both cases we obtain that $(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})\epsilon \subseteq \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[0]\}}$. \square

Example 1. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1]\}$ and k be an arbitrary non-negative integer. We define a map $\gamma_k: \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ by the formulae

$$(i, j, [0])\gamma_k = (i, j, [1])\gamma_k = (ki, kj, [0])$$

for all $i, j \in \omega$.

We claim that $\gamma_k: \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ is an endomorphism. Example 2 and Proposition 5 from [5] imply that the map $\gamma_1: \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a homomorphic retraction of the monoid $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$, and hence it is a monoid endomorphism of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$. By Lemma 2 of [8] every monoid endomorphism \mathfrak{h} of the semigroup \mathbf{B}_ω has the following form

$$(i, j)\mathfrak{h} = (ki, kj), \quad \text{for some } k \in \omega.$$

This implies that the map γ_k is a monoid endomorphism of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$.

Example 2. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1]\}$ and k be an arbitrary non-negative integer. We define a map $\delta_k: \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ by the formulae

$$(i, j, [0])\delta_k = (ki, kj, [0]) \quad \text{and} \quad (i, j, [1])\delta_k = (k(i+1), k(j+1), [0])$$

for all $i, j \in \omega$.

Proposition 1. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1]\}$. Then for any $k \in \omega$ the map δ_k is an endomorphism of the monoid $B_\omega^\mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. Since by Proposition 3 of [4] the subsemigroups $B_\omega^{\{0\}}$ and $B_\omega^{\{1\}}$ of $B_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ are isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup, by Lemma 2 of [8] the restrictions $\delta_k|_{B_\omega^{\{0\}}} : B_\omega^{\{0\}} \rightarrow B_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ and $\delta_k|_{B_\omega^{\{1\}}} : B_\omega^{\{1\}} \rightarrow B_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ of δ_k are homomorphisms. Hence it sufficient to show that the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} (i_1, j_1, [0])\delta_k \cdot (i_2, j_2, [1])\delta_k &= ((i_1, j_1, [0]) \cdot (i_2, j_2, [1]))\delta_k; \\ (i_1, j_1, [1])\delta_k \cdot (i_2, j_2, [0])\delta_k &= ((i_1, j_1, [1]) \cdot (i_2, j_2, [0]))\delta_k, \end{aligned}$$

hold for any $i_1, j_1, i_2, j_2 \in \omega$.

We observe that the above equalities are trivial in the case when $k = 0$. Hence later we assume that k is a positive integer.

Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (i_1, j_1, [0])\delta_k \cdot (i_2, j_2, [1])\delta_k &= (ki_1, kj_1, [0]) \cdot (k(i_2 + 1), k(j_2 + 1), [0]) = \\ &= \begin{cases} (ki_1 + k(i_2 + 1) - kj_1, k(j_2 + 1), (kj_1 - k(i_2 + 1) + [0]) \cap [0]), & \text{if } kj_1 < k(i_2 + 1); \\ (ki_1, k(j_2 + 1), [0] \cap [0]), & \text{if } kj_1 = k(i_2 + 1); \\ (ki_1, kj_1 + k(j_2 + 1) - k(i_2 + 1), [0] \cap (k(i_2 + 1) - kj_1 + [0])), & \text{if } kj_1 > k(i_2 + 1) \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (k(i_1 + i_2 + 1 - j_1), k(j_2 + 1), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 < i_2 + 1; \\ (ki_1, k(j_2 + 1), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 = i_2 + 1; \\ (ki_1, k(j_1 + j_2 - i_2), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 > i_2 + 1 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (k(i_1 + i_2 + 1 - j_1), k(j_2 + 1), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 < i_2; \\ (k(i_1 + i_2 + 1 - j_1), k(j_2 + 1), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 = i_2; \\ (ki_1, k(j_2 + 1), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 = i_2 + 1; \\ (ki_1, k(j_1 + j_2 - i_2), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 > i_2 + 1 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (k(i_1 + i_2 + 1 - j_1), k(j_2 + 1), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 < i_2; \\ (k(i_1 + 1), k(j_2 + 1), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 = i_2; \\ (ki_1, k(j_2 + 1), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 = i_2 + 1; \\ (ki_1, k(j_1 + j_2 - i_2), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 > i_2 + 1, \end{cases} \\ (i_1, j_1, [0]) \cdot (i_2, j_2, [1])\delta_k &= \begin{cases} (i_1 + i_2 - j_1, j_2, (j_1 - i_2 + [0]) \cap [1])\delta_k, & \text{if } j_1 < i_2; \\ (i_1, j_2, [0] \cap [1])\delta_k, & \text{if } j_1 = i_2; \\ (i_1, j_1 + j_2 - i_2, [0] \cap (i_2 - j_1 + [1]))\delta_k, & \text{if } j_1 > i_2 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (i_1 + i_2 - j_1, j_2, [1])\delta_k, & \text{if } j_1 < i_2; \\ (i_1, j_2, [1])\delta_k, & \text{if } j_1 = i_2; \\ (i_1, j_1 + j_2 - i_2, [0])\delta_k, & \text{if } j_1 > i_2 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (k(i_1 + i_2 - j_1 + 1), k(j_2 + 1), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 < i_2; \\ (k(i_1 + 1), k(j_2 + 1), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 = i_2; \\ (ki_1, k(j_1 + j_2 - i_2), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 > i_2 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \begin{cases} (k(i_1 + i_2 - j_1 + 1), k(j_2 + 1), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 < i_2; \\ (k(i_1 + 1), k(j_2 + 1), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 = i_2; \\ (ki_1, k(j_1 + j_2 - i_2), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 = i_2 + 1; \\ (ki_1, k(j_1 + j_2 - i_2), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 > i_2 + 1 \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} (k(i_1 + i_2 + 1 - j_1), k(j_2 + 1), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 < i_2; \\ (k(i_1 + 1), k(j_2 + 1), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 = i_2; \\ (ki_1, k(j_2 + 1), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 = i_2 + 1; \\ (ki_1, k(j_1 + j_2 - i_2), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 > i_2 + 1, \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (i_1, j_1, [1])\delta_k \cdot (i_2, j_2, [0])\delta_k &= (k(i_1 + 1), k(j_1 + 1), [0]) \cdot (ki_2, kj_2, [0]) = \\
 &= \begin{cases} (k(i_1+1)+ki_2-k(j_1+1), kj_2, (k(j_1+1)-ki_2+[0]) \cap [0]), & \text{if } k(j_1 + 1) < ki_2; \\ (k(i_1 + 1), kj_2, [0] \cap [0]), & \text{if } k(j_1 + 1) = ki_2; \\ (k(i_1+1), k(j_1+1)+kj_2-ki_2, [0] \cap (ki_2-k(j_1+1)+[0])), & \text{if } k(j_1 + 1) > ki_2 \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} (k(i_1 + i_2 - j_1), kj_2, [0]), & \text{if } j_1 + 1 < i_2; \\ (k(i_1 + 1), kj_2, [0]), & \text{if } j_1 + 1 = i_2; \\ (k(i_1 + 1), k(j_1 + 1 + j_2 - i_2), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 + 1 > i_2 \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} (k(i_1 + i_2 - j_1), kj_2, [0]), & \text{if } j_1 + 1 < i_2; \\ (k(i_1 + 1), kj_2, [0]), & \text{if } j_1 + 1 = i_2; \\ (k(i_1 + 1), k(j_1 + 1 + j_2 - i_2), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 = i_2; \\ (k(i_1 + 1), k(j_1 + 1 + j_2 - i_2), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 + 1 > i_2 \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} (k(i_1 + i_2 - j_1), kj_2, [0]), & \text{if } j_1 + 1 < i_2; \\ (k(i_1 + 1), kj_2, [0]), & \text{if } j_1 + 1 = i_2; \\ (k(i_1 + 1), k(j_2 + 1), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 = i_2; \\ (k(i_1 + 1), k(j_1 + j_2 - i_2 + 1), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 > i_2, \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 ((i_1, j_1, [1]) \cdot (i_2, j_2, [0]))\delta_k &= \begin{cases} (i_1 + i_2 - j_1, j_2, (j_1 - i_2 + [1]) \cap [0])\delta_k, & \text{if } j_1 < i_2; \\ (i_1, j_2, [1] \cap [0])\delta_k, & \text{if } j_1 = i_2; \\ (i_1, j_1 + j_2 - i_2, [1] \cap (i_2 - j_1 + [0]))\delta_k, & \text{if } j_1 > i_2 \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} (i_1 + i_2 - j_1, j_2, [0])\delta_k, & \text{if } j_1 < i_2; \\ (i_1, j_2, [1])\delta_k, & \text{if } j_1 = i_2; \\ (i_1, j_1 + j_2 - i_2, [1])\delta_k, & \text{if } j_1 > i_2 \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} (k(i_1 + i_2 - j_1), kj_2, [0]), & \text{if } j_1 + 1 < i_2; \\ (k(i_1 + i_2 - j_1), kj_2, [0]), & \text{if } j_1 + 1 = i_2; \\ (k(i_1 + 1), k(j_2 + 1), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 = i_2; \\ (k(i_1 + 1), k(j_1 + j_2 - i_2 + 1), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 > i_2 \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} (k(i_1 + i_2 - j_1), kj_2, [0]), & \text{if } j_1 + 1 < i_2; \\ (k(i_1 + 1), kj_2, [0]), & \text{if } j_1 + 1 = i_2; \\ (k(i_1 + 1), k(j_2 + 1), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 = i_2; \\ (k(i_1 + 1), k(j_1 + j_2 - i_2 + 1), [0]), & \text{if } j_1 > i_2. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the statement of the proposition. \square

Remark 3. It obvious that if ϵ is the annihilating endomorphism of the monoid $B_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ then $\epsilon = \gamma_0 = \delta_0$.

By $End_0^*(B_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ we denote the semigroup of all non-injective monoid endomorphisms of the monoid $B_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ for the family $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1]\}$.

Theorems 1 and 2 describe the algebraic structure of the semigroup $End_0^*(B_\omega^\mathcal{F})$.

Theorem 1. *If $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1]\}$, then for any non-injective monoid endomorphism ϵ of the monoid $B_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ only one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) ϵ is the annihilating endomorphism, i.e., $\epsilon = \gamma_0 = \delta_0$;
- (2) $\epsilon = \gamma_k$ for some positive integer k ;
- (3) $\epsilon = \delta_k$ for some positive integer k .

Proof. Fix an arbitrary non-injective monoid endomorphism ϵ of the monoid $B_\omega^\mathcal{F}$. If ϵ is the annihilating endomorphism then statement (1) holds. Hence, later we assume that the endomorphism ϵ is not annihilating.

By Lemma 1 the restriction $\epsilon|_{B_\omega^{\{[0]\}} B_\omega^{\{[0]\}} \rightarrow B_\omega^\mathcal{F}}$ of the endomorphism ϵ is an injective mapping. Since by Proposition 3 of [4] the subsemigroup $B_\omega^{\{[0]\}}$ of $B_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ are isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup, the injectivity of the restriction $\epsilon|_{B_\omega^{\{[0]\}}$ of the endomorphism ϵ , Proposition 4 of [5], and Lemma 2 of [8] imply that there exists a positive integer k such that

$$(3) \quad (i, j, [0])\epsilon = (ki, kj, [0]),$$

for all $i, j \in \omega$.

By Lemma 1 the restriction $\epsilon|_{B_\omega^{\{[1]\}} B_\omega^{\{[1]\}} \rightarrow B_\omega^\mathcal{F}}$ of the endomorphism ϵ is an injective mapping, and by Lemma 2 we have that $(B_\omega^{\{[1]\}})\epsilon \subseteq B_\omega^{\{[0]\}}$. By Proposition 1.4.21(6) of [9] a homomorphism of inverse semigroups preserves the natural partial order, and hence the following inequalities

$$(1, 1, [0]) \preceq (0, 0, [1]) \preceq (0, 0, [0]),$$

Lemma 2, and Propositions 2 of [5] imply that

$$\begin{aligned} (k, k, [0]) &= (1, 1, [0])\epsilon \preceq \\ &\preceq (s, s, [0]) = \\ &= (0, 0, [1])\epsilon \preceq \\ &\preceq (0, 0, [0]) = \\ &= (0, 0, [0])\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for some $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$. Again by Proposition 1.4.21(6) of [9] and by Lemma 2 we get that

$$(1, 1, [1])\epsilon = (s + p, s + p, [0])$$

for some non-negative integer p . If $p = 0$ then $(1, 1, [1])\epsilon = (0, 0, [1])\epsilon$. By Lemma 1 the endomorphism ϵ is annihilating. Hence we assume that p is a positive integer.

Let $(0, 1, [1])\mathbf{e} = (x, y, [0])$ for some $x, y \in \omega$. By Proposition 1.4.21(1) of [9] and Lemma 4 of [4] we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (1, 0, [1])\mathbf{e} &= ((0, 1, [1])^{-1})\mathbf{e} = \\ &= ((0, 1, [1])\mathbf{e})^{-1} = \\ &= (x, y, [0])^{-1} = \\ &= (y, x, [0]). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(0, 1, [1]) \cdot (1, 0, [1]) = (0, 0, [1]) \quad \text{and} \quad (1, 0, [1]) \cdot (0, 1, [1]) = (1, 1, [1]),$$

the equalities $(0, 0, [1])\mathbf{e} = (s, s, [0])$ and $(1, 1, [1])\mathbf{e} = (s + p, s + p, [0])$ imply that

$$\begin{aligned} (s, s, [0]) &= (0, 0, [1])\mathbf{e} = \\ &= ((0, 1, [1]) \cdot (1, 0, [1]))\mathbf{e} = \\ &= (0, 1, [1])\mathbf{e} \cdot (1, 0, [1])\mathbf{e} = \\ &= (x, y, [0]) \cdot (y, x, [0]) = \\ &= (x, x, [0]) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (s + p, s + p, [0]) &= (1, 1, [1])\mathbf{e} = \\ &= ((1, 0, [1]) \cdot (0, 1, [1]))\mathbf{e} = \\ &= (1, 0, [1])\mathbf{e} \cdot (0, 1, [1])\mathbf{e} = \\ &= (y, x, [0]) \cdot (x, y, [0]) = \\ &= (y, y, [0]). \end{aligned}$$

This and the definition of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ imply that

$$(0, 1, [1])\mathbf{e} = (s, s + p, [0]) \quad \text{and} \quad (1, 0, [1])\mathbf{e} = (s + p, s, [0]).$$

Then for any positive integers n_1 and n_2 by usual calculations we get that

$$\begin{aligned} (0, n_1, [1])\mathbf{e} &= \underbrace{((0, 1, [1]) \cdot \dots \cdot (0, 1, [1]))}_{n_1\text{-times}}\mathbf{e} = \\ &= \underbrace{(0, 1, [1])\mathbf{e} \cdot \dots \cdot (0, 1, [1])\mathbf{e}}_{n_1\text{-times}} = \\ &= (s, s + p, [0])^{n_1} = \\ &= (s, s + n_1 p, [0]) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (n_2, 0, [1])\mathbf{e} &= \underbrace{((1, 0, [1]) \cdot \dots \cdot (1, 0, [1]))}_{n_2\text{-times}}\mathbf{e} = \\ &= \underbrace{(1, 0, [1])\mathbf{e} \cdot \dots \cdot (1, 0, [1])\mathbf{e}}_{n_2\text{-times}} = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (s + p, s, [0])^{n_2} = \\ &= (s + n_2p, s, [0]), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(4) \quad (n_1, n_2, [1])\epsilon = (s + n_1p, s + n_2p, [0]).$$

The definition of the natural partial order on the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ (see Proposition 4 of [5]) imply that for any positive integer m we have that

$$(m + 1, m + 1, [0]) \preceq (m, m, [1]) \preceq (m, m, [0]).$$

Then by equalities (3), (4), and Proposition 1.4.21(6) of [9] we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (k(m + 1), k(m + 1), [0]) &= (m + 1, m + 1, [0])\epsilon \preceq \\ &\preceq (s + pm, s + pm, [0]) = \\ &= (m, m, [1])\epsilon \preceq \\ &\preceq (m, m, [0])\epsilon = \\ &= (km, km, [0]). \end{aligned}$$

The above inequalities and the definition of the natural partial order on the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ (see Proposition 4 of [5]) imply that $km \leq s + pm \leq k(m + 1)$ for any positive integer m . This implies that

$$k \leq \frac{s}{m} + p \leq k + \frac{1}{m},$$

and since p is a positive integer we get that $p = k$. Hence by (4) we get that

$$(5) \quad (n_1, n_2, [1])\epsilon = (s + n_1k, s + n_2k, [0]),$$

for all $n_1, n_2 \in \omega$.

It is obvious that if $s \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$ then ϵ is an injective monoid endomorphism of the semigroup. Hence we have that either $s = 0$ or $s = k$. Simple verifications show that

$$\epsilon = \begin{cases} \gamma_k, & \text{if } s = 0; \\ \delta_k, & \text{if } s = k. \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 2. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1]\}$. Then for all positive integers k_1 and k_2 the following conditions hold:

- (1) $\gamma_{k_1}\gamma_{k_2} = \gamma_{k_1k_2}$;
- (2) $\gamma_{k_1}\delta_{k_2} = \gamma_{k_1k_2}$;
- (3) $\delta_{k_1}\gamma_{k_2} = \delta_{k_1k_2}$;
- (4) $\delta_{k_1}\delta_{k_2} = \delta_{k_1k_2}$.

Proof. (1) For any $i, j \in \omega$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j, [0])\gamma_{k_1}\gamma_{k_2} &= (k_1i, k_1j, [0])\gamma_{k_2} = \\ &= (k_1k_2i, k_1k_2j, [0]), \end{aligned}$$

and $(i, j, [1])\gamma_{k_1} = (i, j, [0])\gamma_{k_1}$. This implies that $\gamma_{k_1}\gamma_{k_2} = \gamma_{k_1k_2}$.

(2) Since

$$\begin{aligned}(i, j, [0])\gamma_{k_1}\delta_{k_2} &= (k_1i, k_1j, [0])\delta_{k_2} = \\ &= (k_1k_2i, k_1k_2j, [0]),\end{aligned}$$

and $(i, j, [1])\gamma_{k_1} = (i, j, [0])\gamma_{k_1}$ for all $i, j \in \omega$, we get that $\gamma_{k_1}\delta_{k_2} = \gamma_{k_1k_2}$.

(3) For any $i, j \in \omega$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}(i, j, [0])\delta_{k_1}\gamma_{k_2} &= (k_1i, k_1j, [0])\gamma_{k_2} = \\ &= (k_1k_2i, k_1k_2j, [0]),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(i, j, [1])\delta_{k_1}\gamma_{k_2} &= (k_1(i+1), k_1(j+1), [0])\gamma_{k_2} = \\ &= (k_1k_2(i+1), k_1k_2(j+1), [0]),\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\delta_{k_1}\gamma_{k_2} = \delta_{k_1k_2}$.

(4) For any $i, j \in \omega$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}(i, j, [0])\delta_{k_1}\delta_{k_2} &= (k_1i, k_1j, [0])\delta_{k_2} = \\ &= (k_1k_2i, k_1k_2j, [0]),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(i, j, [1])\delta_{k_1}\delta_{k_2} &= (k_1(i+1), k_1(j+1), [0])\delta_{k_2} = \\ &= (k_1k_2(i+1), k_1k_2(j+1), [0]),\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\delta_{k_1}\delta_{k_2} = \delta_{k_1k_2}$. □

By \mathbf{e}_0 we denote the annihilating monoid endomorphism of the monoid $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ for the family $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1]\}$, i.e., $(i, j, [p])\mathbf{e}_0 = (0, 0, [0])$ for all $i, j \in \omega$ and $p = 0, 1$. We put $\mathbf{End}^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}) = \mathbf{End}_0^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}) \setminus \{\mathbf{e}_0\}$. Theorem 2 implies that $\mathbf{End}^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ is a subsemigroup of $\mathbf{End}_0^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$.

Theorem 2 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *If $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1]\}$, then the elements γ_1 and δ_1 are unique idempotents of the semigroup $\mathbf{End}^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$.*

Next, by $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{J}_2$ we denote the left zero semigroup with two elements and by \mathbb{N}_u the multiplicative semigroup of positive integers.

Proposition 2. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1]\}$. Then the semigroup $\mathbf{End}^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ is isomorphic to the direct product $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{J}_2 \times \mathbb{N}_u$.*

Proof. Put $LZ_2 = \{c, d\}$. We define a map $\mathfrak{J}: \mathbf{End}^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{J}_2 \times \mathbb{N}_u$ by the formula

$$(\mathbf{e})\mathfrak{J} = \begin{cases} (c, k), & \text{if } \mathbf{e} = \gamma_k; \\ (d, k), & \text{if } \mathbf{e} = \delta_k. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that such defined map \mathfrak{J} is bijective, and by Theorem 2 it is a homomorphism. □

Theorem 3 describes Green's relations on the semigroup $\mathbf{End}^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$. Later by $\mathbf{End}^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})^1$ we denote the semigroup $\mathbf{End}^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ with adjoined identity element.

Theorem 3. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1]\}$. Then the following statements hold:

- (1) $\gamma_{k_1} \mathcal{R} \gamma_{k_2}$ in $\mathbf{End}^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ if and only if $k_1 = k_2$;
- (2) $\gamma_{k_1} \mathcal{R} \delta_{k_2}$ does not hold in $\mathbf{End}^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ for any $\gamma_{k_1}, \delta_{k_2}$;
- (3) $\delta_{k_1} \mathcal{R} \delta_{k_2}$ in $\mathbf{End}^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ if and only if $k_1 = k_2$;
- (4) $\gamma_{k_1} \mathcal{L} \gamma_{k_2}$ in $\mathbf{End}^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ if and only if $k_1 = k_2$;
- (5) $\gamma_{k_1} \mathcal{L} \delta_{k_2}$ in $\mathbf{End}^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ if and only if $k_1 = k_2$;
- (6) $\delta_{k_1} \mathcal{L} \delta_{k_2}$ in $\mathbf{End}^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ if and only if $k_1 = k_2$;
- (7) \mathcal{H} is the identity relation on $\mathbf{End}^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$;
- (8) $\mathbf{e}_1 \mathcal{D} \mathbf{e}_2$ in $\mathbf{End}^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ if and only if $\mathbf{e}_1 = \mathbf{e}_2$ or there exists a positive integer k such that $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2 \in \{\gamma_k, \delta_k\}$;
- (9) $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}$ in $\mathbf{End}^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$.

Proof. (1) (\Rightarrow) Suppose that $\gamma_{k_1} \mathcal{R} \gamma_{k_2}$ in $\mathbf{End}^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$. Then there exist $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2 \in \mathbf{End}^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})^1$ such that $\gamma_{k_1} = \gamma_{k_2} \mathbf{e}_1$ and $\gamma_{k_2} = \gamma_{k_1} \mathbf{e}_2$. The equality $\gamma_{k_1} = \gamma_{k_2} \mathbf{e}_1$ and Theorem 2 imply that there exists a positive integer p such that either $\mathbf{e}_1 = \gamma_p$ or $\mathbf{e}_1 = \delta_p$. In both above cases by Theorem 2 we have that

$$\gamma_{k_1} = \gamma_{k_2} \mathbf{e}_1 = \gamma_{k_2} \gamma_p = \gamma_{k_2} \delta_p = \gamma_{k_2 p},$$

and hence $k_2 | k_1$. The proof of the statement that $\gamma_{k_2} = \gamma_{k_1} \mathbf{e}_2$ implies that $k_1 | k_2$ is similar. Therefore we get that $k_1 = k_2$.

Implication (\Leftarrow) is trivial.

Statement (2) follows from Theorem 2(2).

The proof of statement (3) is similar to (1).

(4) (\Rightarrow) Suppose that $\gamma_{k_1} \mathcal{L} \gamma_{k_2}$ in $\mathbf{End}^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$. Then there exist $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2 \in \mathbf{End}^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})^1$ such that $\gamma_{k_1} = \mathbf{e}_1 \gamma_{k_2}$ and $\gamma_{k_2} = \mathbf{e}_2 \gamma_{k_1}$. The equality $\gamma_{k_1} = \mathbf{e}_1 \gamma_{k_2}$ and Theorem 2 imply that there exists a positive integer p such that $\mathbf{e}_1 = \gamma_p$. Then we have that

$$\gamma_{k_1} = \mathbf{e}_1 \gamma_{k_2} = \gamma_p \gamma_{k_2} = \gamma_{p k_2},$$

and hence $k_2 | k_1$. The proof of the statement that $\gamma_{k_2} = \mathbf{e}_2 \gamma_{k_1}$ implies that $k_1 | k_2$ is similar. Therefore we get that $k_1 = k_2$.

Implication (\Leftarrow) is trivial.

(5) (\Rightarrow) Suppose that $\gamma_{k_1} \mathcal{L} \delta_{k_2}$ in $\mathbf{End}^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$. Then there exist $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2 \in \mathbf{End}^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})^1$ such that $\gamma_{k_1} = \mathbf{e}_1 \delta_{k_2}$ and $\delta_{k_2} = \mathbf{e}_2 \gamma_{k_1}$. The equality $\gamma_{k_1} = \mathbf{e}_1 \delta_{k_2}$ and Theorem 2 imply that there exists a positive integer p such that $\mathbf{e}_1 = \gamma_p$. Then we have that

$$\gamma_{k_1} = \mathbf{e}_1 \delta_{k_2} = \gamma_p \delta_{k_2} = \gamma_{p k_2},$$

and hence $k_2 | k_1$. The equality $\delta_{k_2} = \mathbf{e}_2 \gamma_{k_1}$ and Theorem 2 imply that there exists a positive integer q such that $\mathbf{e}_2 = \delta_q$. Then we have that

$$\delta_{k_2} = \mathbf{e}_2 \gamma_{k_1} = \delta_q \gamma_{k_1} = \gamma_{q k_1},$$

and hence $k_1 | k_2$. Thus we get that $k_1 = k_2$.

Implication (\Leftarrow) is trivial.

(6) (\Rightarrow) Suppose that $\delta_{k_1} \mathcal{L} \delta_{k_2}$ in $\mathbf{End}^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$. Then there exist $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2 \in \mathbf{End}^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})^1$ such that $\delta_{k_1} = \mathbf{e}_1 \delta_{k_2}$ and $\delta_{k_2} = \mathbf{e}_2 \delta_{k_1}$. The equality $\delta_{k_1} = \mathbf{e}_1 \delta_{k_2}$ and Theorem 2 imply that there exists a positive integer p such that $\mathbf{e}_1 = \delta_p$. Then we have that

$$\delta_{k_1} = \mathbf{e}_1 \delta_{k_2} = \delta_p \delta_{k_2} = \delta_{pk_2},$$

and hence $k_2 | k_1$. The proof of the statement that $\delta_{k_2} = \mathbf{e}_2 \delta_{k_1}$ implies that $k_1 | k_2$ is similar. Hence we get that $k_1 = k_2$.

Implication (\Leftarrow) is trivial.

(7) By statements (1), (2), and (3), \mathcal{R} is the identity relation on the semigroup $\mathbf{End}^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$. Then so is \mathcal{H} , because $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$.

Statement (8) follows from statements (1)–(6).

(9) Suppose to the contrary that $\mathcal{D} \neq \mathcal{J}$ in $\mathbf{End}^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$. Since $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, statement (8) implies that there exist $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2 \in \mathbf{End}^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})^1$ such that $\mathbf{e}_1 \mathcal{J} \mathbf{e}_2$ and $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2 \notin \{\gamma_k, \delta_k\}$ for any positive integer k . Then there exist distinct positive integers k_1 and k_2 such that $\mathbf{e}_1 \in \{\gamma_{k_1}, \delta_{k_1}\}$ and $\mathbf{e}_2 \in \{\gamma_{k_2}, \delta_{k_2}\}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $k_1 < k_2$. Since $\mathbf{e}_1 \mathcal{J} \mathbf{e}_2$ there exist $\mathbf{e}'_1, \mathbf{e}'_2, \mathbf{e}''_1, \mathbf{e}''_2 \in \mathbf{End}^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})^1$ such that $\mathbf{e}_1 = \mathbf{e}'_1 \mathbf{e}_2 \mathbf{e}''_1$ and $\mathbf{e}_2 = \mathbf{e}'_2 \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}''_2$. Since $\mathbf{e}_1 \in \{\gamma_{k_1}, \delta_{k_1}\}$ and $\mathbf{e}_2 \in \{\gamma_{k_2}, \delta_{k_2}\}$, the equality $\mathbf{e}_1 = \mathbf{e}'_1 \mathbf{e}_2 \mathbf{e}''_1$, Theorems 1 and 2 imply that $k_2 | k_1$. This contradicts the inequality $k_1 < k_2$. The obtained contradiction implies the requested statement \square

Remark 4. Since \mathbf{e}_0 is zero of the semigroup $\mathbf{End}_0^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$, the classes of equivalence of Green's relations of non-zero elements of $\mathbf{End}_0^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ in the semigroup $\mathbf{End}_0^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ coincide with their corresponding classes of equivalence in $\mathbf{End}^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$, and moreover we have that

$$L_{\mathbf{e}_0} = R_{\mathbf{e}_0} = H_{\mathbf{e}_0} = D_{\mathbf{e}_0} = J_{\mathbf{e}_0} = \{\mathbf{e}_0\}$$

in the semigroup $\mathbf{End}_0^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the referee for his/her comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Vol. I., Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, Providence, R.I., 1961.
2. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Vol. II., Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, Providence, R.I., 1967.
3. O. Gutik and O. Lysetska, *On the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ which is generated by the family \mathcal{F} of atomic subsets of ω* , Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **92** (2021) 34–50. DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2021.92.034-050
4. O. Gutik and M. Mykhalenych, *On some generalization of the bicyclic monoid*, Visnyk Lviv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **90** (2020), 5–19 (in Ukrainian). DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2020.90.005-019
5. O. Gutik and M. Mykhalenych, *On group congruences on the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ and its homomorphic retracts in the case when the family \mathcal{F} consists of inductive non-empty subsets of ω* , Visnyk Lviv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **91** (2021), 5–27 (in Ukrainian). DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2021.91.005-027

6. O. Gutik and M. Mykhalenych, *On automorphisms of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ in the case when the family \mathcal{F} consists of nonempty inductive subsets of ω* , Visnyk Lviv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **93** (2022), 54–65. (in Ukrainian). DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2022.93.054-065
7. O. Gutik and I. Pozdniakova, *On the semigroup of injective monoid endomorphisms of the monoid $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ with the two-elements family \mathcal{F} of inductive nonempty subsets of ω* , Visnyk L'viv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **94** (2022), 32–55. DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2022.94.032-055
8. O. Gutik, O. Prokhorenkova, and D. Sekh, *On endomorphisms of the bicyclic semigroup and the extended bicyclic semigroup*, Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **92** (2021) 5–16 (in Ukrainian). DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2022.93.042-053
9. M. Lawson, *Inverse semigroups. The theory of partial symmetries*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1998.
10. O. Lysetska, *On feebly compact topologies on the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^1}$* , Visnyk Lviv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **90** (2020), 48–56. DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2020.90.048-056
11. M. Petrich, *Inverse semigroups*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984.
12. V. V. Wagner, *Generalized groups*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **84** (1952), 1119–1122 (in Russian).

*Стаття: надійшла до редколегії 15.10.2022
доопрацьована 22.02.2023
прийнята до друку 10.05.2023*

ПРО НАПІВГРУПУ НЕІН'ЕКТИВНИХ МОНОЇДАЛЬНИХ ЕНДОМОРФІЗМІВ НАПІВГРУПИ $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ З ДВОЕЛЕМЕНТНОЮ СІМ'ЄЮ \mathcal{F} ІНДУКТИВНИХ НЕПОРОЖНІХ ПІДМНОЖИН \mathcal{U}_ω

Олег ГУТІК, Інна ПОЗДНЯКОВА

*Львівський національний університет імені Івана Франка,
вул. Університетська 1, 79000, м. Львів
e-mails: oleg.gutik@lnu.edu.ua, pozdnyakova.inna@gmail.com*

Вивчено напівгрупу неін'єктивних моноїдальних ендоморфізмів напівгрупи $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ з двоелементною сім'єю \mathcal{F} індуктивних непорожніх підмножин у ω . Описано елементи напівгрупи $\mathbf{End}_0^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ усіх неін'єктивних моноїдальних ендоморфізмів напівгрупи $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$. Зокрема, доведено, що її піднапівгрупа $\mathbf{End}^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ усіх неін'єктивних неанулюючих моноїдальних ендоморфізмів напівгрупи $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ ізоморфна прямому добутку двоелементної напівгрупи з лівим нульовим множенням і мультиплікативної напівгрупи натуральних чисел. Також описано відношення Гріна на напівгрупі $\mathbf{End}^*(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$.

Ключові слова: біциклічний моноїд, інверсна напівгрупа, біциклічне розширення, моноїдальний ендоморфізм, неін'єктивний, відношення Гріна, напівгрупа лівих нулів, прямий добуток.