

УДК 512.534.5

THE MONOID OF ORDER ISOMORPHISMS BETWEEN PRINCIPAL FILTERS OF $\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$

Taras MOKRYTSKYI

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv,
Universytetska Str., 1, Lviv, 79000, Ukraine
e-mail: tmokrytskyi@gmail.com

Consider the following generalization of the bicyclic monoid. Let κ be any infinite cardinal and let $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ be the semigroup of all order isomorphisms between principal filters of the set $\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$ with the product order. We shall study algebraic properties of the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$, show that it is bisimple, E -unitary, F -inverse semigroup, describe Green's relations on $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$, describe the group of units $H(\mathbb{I})$ of the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ and describe its maximal subgroups. We prove that the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ is isomorphic to the semidirect product $\mathcal{S}_\kappa \ltimes \sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa$ of the semigroup $\sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa$ by the group \mathcal{S}_κ , show that every non-identity congruence \mathcal{C} on the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ is a group congruence and describe the least group congruence on $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$.

Key words: Semigroup, inverse semigroup, partial map, permutation group, least group congruence, bicyclic monoid, semidirect product

1. Introduction and preliminaries

In this paper, we shall denote the set of integers by \mathbb{Z} , the set of positive integers by \mathbb{N} , the set of all maps from cardinal κ to the set X by X^κ and the symmetric group of degree κ by \mathcal{S}_κ , i.e., \mathcal{S}_κ is the group of all bijections of the set κ . For set X , by id_X we denote the identity map $id_X: X \rightarrow X$, $id_X: x \mapsto x$ for any $x \in X$. For map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and for subset $A \subset X$ we denote $(A)f = \{(x)f \mid x \in A\}$.

Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set (a poset). For an arbitrary $x \in X$ we denote

$$\uparrow x = \{y \in X: x \leq y\} \quad \text{and} \quad \downarrow x = \{y \in X: y \leq x\}.$$

The sets $\uparrow x$ and $\downarrow x$ are called the *principal filter* and the *principal ideal*, respectively, generated by the element $x \in X$. A map $\alpha: (X, \leq) \rightarrow (Y, \leq)$ from poset (X, \leq) into a poset (Y, \leq) is called *monotone* or *order preserving* if $x \leq y$ in (X, \leq) implies that

$x\alpha \leq y\alpha$ in (Y, \leq) . A monotone map $\alpha: (X, \leq) \rightarrow (Y, \leq)$ is said to be *order isomorphism* if it is bijective and its converse $\alpha^{-1}: (Y, \leq) \rightarrow (X, \leq)$ is monotone.

An semigroup S is called *inverse* if for any element $x \in S$ there exists a unique $x^{-1} \in S$ such that $xx^{-1}x = x$ and $x^{-1}xx^{-1} = x^{-1}$. The element x^{-1} is called the *inverse of $x \in S$* . If S is an inverse semigroup, then the function $\text{inv}: S \rightarrow S$ which assigns to every element x of S its inverse element x^{-1} is called the *inversion*.

If S is a semigroup, then we shall denote the subset of all idempotents in S by $E(S)$. If S is an inverse semigroup, then $E(S)$ is closed under multiplication. The semigroup operation on S determines the following partial order \preceq on $E(S)$: $e \preceq f$ if and only if $ef = fe = e$. This order is called the *natural partial order* on $E(S)$. A *semilattice* is a commutative semigroup of idempotents.

If S is a semigroup, then we shall denote the Green relations on S by \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{J} , \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{H} (see [9]). A semigroup S is called *simple* if S does not contain proper two-sided ideals and *bisimple* if S has only one \mathcal{D} -class.

Hereafter we shall assume that λ is an infinite cardinal. If $\alpha: \lambda \rightarrow \lambda$ is a partial map, then we shall denote the domain and the range of α by $\text{dom } \alpha$ and $\text{ran } \alpha$, respectively.

Let \mathcal{S}_λ be the set of all partial one-to-one transformations of a cardinal λ together with the following semigroup operation:

$$x(\alpha\beta) = (x\alpha)\beta \quad \text{if } x \in \text{dom}(\alpha\beta) = \{y \in \text{dom } \alpha \mid y\alpha \in \text{dom } \beta\}, \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda.$$

The semigroup \mathcal{S}_λ is called the *symmetric inverse semigroup* over the cardinal λ (see [9, Section 1.9]). The symmetric inverse semigroup was introduced by Wagner [29] and it plays a major role in the theory of semigroups.

The *bicyclic semigroup* (or the *bicyclic monoid*) $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ is the semigroup with the identity 1 generated by elements p and q subject only to the condition $pq = 1$.

The bicyclic semigroup plays an important role in the algebraic theory of semigroups and the theory of topological semigroups. For instance, a well-known Andersen's result [1] states that a (0-)simple semigroup with an idempotent is completely (0-)simple if and only if it does not contain an isomorphic copy of the bicyclic semigroup.

The bicyclic monoid admits only the discrete semigroup topology. Bertman and West in [7] extended this result for the case of semitopological semigroups. Stable and Γ -compact topological semigroups do not contain the bicyclic monoid [2, 22]. The problem of an embedding of the bicyclic monoid into compact-like topological semigroups was studied in [3, 4, 19]. The study of various generalizations of the bicyclic monoid, their algebraic and topological properties, like topologizations, shift-continuous topologizations and embedding into compact-like topological semigroups was conducted in several publications, including [5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 21, 25, 18].

Remark 1. We observe that the bicyclic semigroup is isomorphic to the semigroup $\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}(\alpha, \beta)$ which is generated by partial transformations α and β of the set of positive integers \mathbb{N} , defined as follows: $(n)\alpha = n + 1$ if $n \geq 1$ and $(n)\beta = n - 1$ if $n > 1$ (see Exercise IV.1.11(ii) in [27]).

Taking into account this remark, we shall consider the following generalization of the bicyclic semigroup. For an arbitrary positive integer $n \geq 2$ by (\mathbb{N}^n, \leq) we denote the n -th power of the set of positive integers \mathbb{N} with the product order:

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq (y_1, \dots, y_n) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad x_i \leq y_i \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

It is obvious that the set of all order isomorphisms between principal filters of the poset (\mathbb{N}^n, \leq) with the operation of the composition of partial maps forms a semigroup. Denote this semigroup by $\mathcal{IPF}(\mathbb{N}^n)$. The structure of the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\mathbb{N}^n)$ was introduced and studied in [15]. There was shown that $\mathcal{IPF}(\mathbb{N}^n)$ is a bisimple, E -unitary, F -inverse monoid, described Green's relations on $\mathcal{IPF}(\mathbb{N}^n)$ and its maximal subgroups. It was proved that $\mathcal{IPF}(\mathbb{N}^n)$ is isomorphic to the semidirect product of the direct n -th power of the bicyclic monoid $\mathcal{C}^n(p, q)$ by the group of permutation \mathcal{S}_n , every non-identity congruence on $\mathcal{IPF}(\mathbb{N}^n)$ is group and was described the least group congruence on $\mathcal{IPF}(\mathbb{N}^n)$. It was shown that every shift-continuous topology on $\mathcal{IPF}(\mathbb{N}^n)$ is discrete and discussed embedding of the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\mathbb{N}^n)$ into compact-like topological semigroups. In [25] it was proved that a Hausdorff locally compact semitopological semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\mathbb{N}^n)$ with an adjoined zero is either compact or discrete. In this paper we shall extend this generalization from \mathbb{N}^n to $\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$ for any infinite cardinal κ .

For any infinite cardinal κ consider the subset $\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$ of \mathbb{N}^κ which contains all maps a such that the set $\{x \in \kappa \mid (x)a \neq 1\}$ is finite, i.e.,

$$\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa = \{a \in \mathbb{N}^\kappa \mid \{x \in \kappa \mid (x)a \neq 1\} \text{ is finite}\}.$$

Similarly define $\sigma\mathbb{Z}^\kappa$ as the subset of \mathbb{Z}^κ which contains all maps a such that the set $\{x \in \kappa \mid (x)a \neq 0\}$ is finite.

By $\mathbf{1}$ we shall denote the element of the \mathbb{N}^κ such that $(x)\mathbf{1} = 1$ for any $x \in \kappa$.

On the set \mathbb{Z}^κ consider the product order \leq :

$$a \leq b \quad \text{if and only if} \quad (x)a \leq (x)b \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in \kappa.$$

Also, consider the pointwise operations $+$, $-$, \max and \min on the set \mathbb{Z}^κ . For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^\kappa$ define

$$\begin{aligned} (x)(a + b) &= (x)a + (x)b, \\ (x)(a - b) &= (x)a - (x)b, \\ (x)(\max\{a, b\}) &= \max\{(x)a, (x)b\}, \\ (x)(\min\{a, b\}) &= \min\{(x)a, (x)b\} \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in \kappa$. It is obvious that the set $\sigma\mathbb{Z}^\kappa$ is closed under these operations. The set $\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$ is also closed under the operation \max and \min but not for $+$ and $-$. Moreover

$$a + b, a - b \notin \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa \quad \text{for any} \quad a, b \in \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa.$$

But

$$a + b - \mathbf{1} \in \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa \quad \text{for any} \quad a, b \in \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa,$$

and

$$a - b + \mathbf{1} \in \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa \quad \text{for any} \quad a \in \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa \quad \text{and} \quad b \in \downarrow a.$$

Let κ be any infinite cardinal. Define the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ as the set of all order isomorphisms between principal filters of the poset $(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa, \leq)$ with the operation of the composition of partial maps, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa) = (\{\alpha: \uparrow a \rightarrow \uparrow b \mid a, b \in \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa \text{ and } \alpha \text{ is an order isomorphism}\}, \circ).$$

Consider the following notation. For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ by d_α and r_α we denote the elements of $\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$ such that $\text{dom } \alpha = \uparrow d_\alpha$ and $\text{ran } \alpha = \uparrow r_\alpha$

Also we define the maps $\lambda_\alpha, \rho_\alpha \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom } \rho_\alpha &= \text{dom } \alpha = \uparrow d_\alpha, & \text{ran } \rho_\alpha &= \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa, & (a) \rho_\alpha &= a - d_\alpha + \mathbf{1} & \text{ for } a \in \text{dom } \rho_\alpha; \\ \text{ran } \lambda_\alpha &= \text{ran } \alpha = \uparrow r_\alpha, & \text{dom } \lambda_\alpha &= \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa, & (a) \lambda_\alpha &= a + r_\alpha - \mathbf{1} & \text{ for } a \in \text{dom } \lambda_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a + r_\alpha - \mathbf{1} \in \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$ for any $a \in \text{dom } \lambda_\alpha$ we have that λ_α is well-defined. Similarly, $a - d_\alpha + \mathbf{1} \in \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$ for any $a \in \text{dom } \rho_\alpha$, so ρ_α is well-defined too. We note that the definition of $\lambda_\alpha, \rho_\alpha$ implies that $\lambda_{\lambda_\alpha} = \lambda_\alpha$ and $\rho_{\rho_\alpha} = \rho_\alpha$.

For any infinite cardinal κ and for any bijection $g \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa$ define the selfmap $\mathcal{F}_g: \mathbb{Z}^\kappa \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\kappa$ by formula:

$$(x)(a) \mathcal{F}_g = ((x)g^{-1})a, \quad a \in \mathbb{Z}^\kappa, \quad x \in \kappa.$$

2. Algebraic properties of the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$

Proposition 1. *For any infinite cardinal κ the following statements hold:*

- (i) $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ is an inverse semigroup;
- (ii) the semilattice $E(\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa))$ is isomorphic to the semilattice $(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa, \max)$ by the mapping $\varepsilon \mapsto d_\varepsilon$;
- (iii) $\alpha \mathcal{L} \beta$ in $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ if and only if $\text{dom } \alpha = \text{dom } \beta$;
- (iv) $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$ in $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ if and only if $\text{ran } \alpha = \text{ran } \beta$;
- (v) $\alpha \mathcal{H} \beta$ in $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ if and only if $\text{dom } \alpha = \text{dom } \beta$ and $\text{ran } \alpha = \text{ran } \beta$;
- (vi) for any idempotents $\varepsilon, \iota \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ there exist elements $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ such that $\alpha\beta = \varepsilon$ and $\beta\alpha = \iota$, hence $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ is bisimple which implies that it is simple.

Proof. (i) The definition of the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ implies that $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ is an inverse subsemigroup of the symmetric inverse monoid $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa}$ over the set $\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$.

(ii) implies from statement (i).

(iii)–(v) follow from statement (i) and Proposition 3.2.11(1)–(3) of [23].

(vi) Fix arbitrary idempotents $\varepsilon, \iota \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$. Define a partial map $\alpha: \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa \rightarrow \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$ in the following way:

$$\text{dom } \alpha = \text{dom } \varepsilon, \quad \text{ran } \alpha = \text{dom } \iota \quad \text{and} \quad (z)\alpha = z - d_\varepsilon + d_\iota, \quad \text{for any } z \in \text{dom } \alpha.$$

Since $\varepsilon, \iota \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$, the partial map α is well-defined and $\alpha \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$. Then $\alpha\alpha^{-1} = \varepsilon$ and $\alpha^{-1}\alpha = \iota$ and hence we put $\beta = \alpha^{-1}$. Lemma 1.1 from [26] implies that $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ is bisimple and hence simple. \square

For any positive integer $k \geq 2$ and for any $x \in \kappa$, consider the map $k_x: \kappa \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined by

$$(t)k_x = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } t = x, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 1. *For any infinite cardinal κ and for any bijection $g \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa$, the following statements hold:*

- (i) The selfmap \mathcal{F}_g is an order automorphism of the poset $(\mathbb{Z}^\kappa, \leq)$, and $(\mathcal{F}_g)^{-1} = \mathcal{F}_{g^{-1}}$.
- (ii) $(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)\mathcal{F}_g = \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$.
- (iii) $(\sigma\mathbb{Z}^\kappa)\mathcal{F}_g = \sigma\mathbb{Z}^\kappa$.

- (iv) $\mathcal{F}_{gh} = \mathcal{F}_g \mathcal{F}_h$ for any $h \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa$.
(v) For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any $x \in \kappa$: $(k_x) \mathcal{F}_g = k_{(x)g}$.
(vi) $(1) \mathcal{F}_g = \mathbf{1}$.
(vii) For any $h \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa$: $g \neq h \implies \mathcal{F}_g \neq \mathcal{F}_h$.
(viii) For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^\kappa$: $(a + b) \mathcal{F}_g = (a) \mathcal{F}_g + (b) \mathcal{F}_g$.
(ix) For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^\kappa$: $(a - b) \mathcal{F}_g = (a) \mathcal{F}_g - (b) \mathcal{F}_g$.
(x) For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^\kappa$: $(\max\{a, b\}) \mathcal{F}_g = \max\{(a) \mathcal{F}_g, (b) \mathcal{F}_g\}$.
(xi) For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^\kappa$: $(\min\{a, b\}) \mathcal{F}_g = \min\{(a) \mathcal{F}_g, (b) \mathcal{F}_g\}$.

Proof. (i) Show that \mathcal{F}_g is an order isomorphism. Fix distinct $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^\kappa$. Then there exists $x \in \kappa$ such that $(x)a \neq (x)b$. For $y = (x)g$, we have that $x = (y)g^{-1}$, then $((y)g^{-1})a \neq ((y)g^{-1})b$ implies that $(a) \mathcal{F}_g \neq (b) \mathcal{F}_g$, so \mathcal{F}_g is injective.

For any $a \in \mathbb{Z}^\kappa$, consider the map b : $(x)b = ((x)g)a$ for any $x \in \kappa$, then

$$(x)(b) \mathcal{F}_g = ((x)g^{-1})b = (((x)g^{-1})g)a = (x)a$$

for any $x \in \kappa$, so \mathcal{F}_g is surjective and moreover its converse $(\mathcal{F}_g)^{-1}$ is equal to the $\mathcal{F}_{g^{-1}}$.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^\kappa$ and $a \leq b$. For any $x \in \kappa$ we have that $((x)g^{-1})a \leq ((x)g^{-1})b$ which implies that $(x)(a) \mathcal{F}_g \leq (x)(b) \mathcal{F}_g$, i.e., $(a) \mathcal{F}_g \leq (b) \mathcal{F}_g$, so \mathcal{F}_g is monotone and such is \mathcal{F}_g^{-1} , therefore \mathcal{F}_g is an order isomorphism.

(ii) Fix an element $a \in \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$. Since $(x)(a) \mathcal{F}_g = ((x)g^{-1})a \in \mathbb{N}$ for any $x \in \kappa$ we have that $(a) \mathcal{F}_g \in \mathbb{N}^\kappa$. Consider the set $A = \{x \in \kappa \mid (x)a \neq 1\}$ and suppose that $(x)(a) \mathcal{F}_g \neq 1$ for some $x \in \kappa$, then $((x)g^{-1})a \neq 1$ and therefore $(x)g^{-1} \in A$, so $x \in (A)g$. Since the set A is finite and g is a bijection, we have that the set $(A)g$ is finite as well. So $(a) \mathcal{F}_g \in \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$, therefore $(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa) \mathcal{F}_g \subset \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$. By proved above, we have that $(a) \mathcal{F}_{g^{-1}} \in \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$, then $((a) \mathcal{F}_{g^{-1}}) \mathcal{F}_g = a$ implies that $\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa \subset (\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa) \mathcal{F}_g$.

(iii) The proof is similar to the proof of (ii).

(iv) For any $h \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}^\kappa$ and $x \in \kappa$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (x)(a) \mathcal{F}_{gh} &= ((x)(gh)^{-1})a = \\ &= ((x)(h^{-1}g^{-1}))a = \\ &= (((x)h^{-1})g^{-1})a = \\ &= ((x)h^{-1})(a) \mathcal{F}_g = \\ &= (x)((a) \mathcal{F}_g) \mathcal{F}_h = \\ &= (x)(a)(\mathcal{F}_g \mathcal{F}_h). \end{aligned}$$

(v) Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \kappa$. Then for any $t \in \kappa$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (t)(k_x) \mathcal{F}_g &= ((t)g^{-1})k_x = \\ &= \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } (t)g^{-1} = x \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \\ &= \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } t = (x)g \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \\ &= (t)k_{(x)g}. \end{aligned}$$

(vi) For any $t \in \kappa$ we have that $(t) \mathbf{1} \mathcal{F}_g = ((t) g^{-1}) \mathbf{1} = 1$.

(vii) Let $h \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa$ and $g \neq h$. Then there exists $x \in \kappa$ such that $(x) g^{-1} \neq (x) h^{-1}$. Consider the image of $2_{(x)g^{-1}}$ under the maps \mathcal{F}_g and \mathcal{F}_h . Statement (v) and the inequality $(x) g^{-1} \neq (x) h^{-1}$ imply that:

$$(2_{(x)g^{-1}}) \mathcal{F}_g = 2_x \neq 2_{((x)g^{-1})h} = (2_{(x)g^{-1}}) \mathcal{F}_h.$$

(viii) For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^\kappa$ and for any $x \in \kappa$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (x) (a + b) \mathcal{F}_g &= ((x) g^{-1}) (a + b) = \\ &= ((x) g^{-1}) a + ((x) g^{-1}) b = \\ &= (x) (a) \mathcal{F}_g + (x) (b) \mathcal{F}_g. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of statements (ix) and (xi) are similar to the proof of (viii). □

For any infinite cardinal κ and for any bijection $g \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa$ define the map $\mathcal{F}_g^\circ: \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa \rightarrow \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$ as the restriction of the map \mathcal{F}_g to the set $\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$. By statement (ii) of Lemma 1, the map \mathcal{F}_g° is well-defined and \mathcal{F}_g° is a bijection. This and statement (i) of Lemma 1 imply that the map \mathcal{F}_g° is an order isomorphism of the poset $(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa, \leq)$. Similarly, define the map $\mathcal{F}_g^\circ: \sigma\mathbb{Z}^\kappa \rightarrow \sigma\mathbb{Z}^\kappa$ as the restriction of the map \mathcal{F}_g to the set $\sigma\mathbb{Z}^\kappa$. And similarly, statement (iii) of Lemma 1 implies that the map \mathcal{F}_g° is well-defined and \mathcal{F}_g° is a bijection.

The proof to the next lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 1.

Lemma 2. *For any infinite cardinal κ and for any bijection $g \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa$ statements (iv)–(xi) of Lemma 1 also hold for \mathcal{F}_g° and \mathcal{F}_g° .*

We shall denote by \mathbb{I} the identity map of $\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$. It is obvious that \mathbb{I} is the unit element of the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$. Also by $H(\mathbb{I})$ we shall denote the group of units of $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$. It is clear that $\alpha \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ is an element of $H(\mathbb{I})$ if and only if it is an order isomorphism of the poset $(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa, \leq)$.

Lemma 3. *Let κ be any infinite cardinal and $\alpha \in H(\mathbb{I})$. Then $(\mathbf{1}) \alpha = \mathbf{1}$ and for any $x \in \kappa$ there exists $y \in \kappa$ such that $(k_x) \alpha = k_y$ for any positive integer $k \geq 2$.*

Proof. Consider $(\mathbf{1}) \alpha$. Statement $\mathbf{1} \leq (\mathbf{1}) \alpha$ implies that $(\mathbf{1}) \alpha^{-1} \leq ((\mathbf{1}) \alpha)^{-1} = \mathbf{1}$, so $(\mathbf{1}) \alpha = \mathbf{1}$.

Now, consider any $x \in \kappa$ and consider $(2_x) \alpha$. Since $\mathbf{1} = (\mathbf{1}) \alpha \neq (2_x) \alpha$, there exists $y \in \kappa$ such that $2_y \leq (2_x) \alpha$, and the inequality $(2_y) \alpha^{-1} \leq 2_x$ implies that $(2_x) \alpha = 2_y$.

Let $k \geq 2$ be a positive integer, suppose that for any positive integer $n \leq k$ the statement of the lemma holds.

For any $x \in \kappa$ consider the image $((k+1)_x) \alpha$. There exists $z \in \kappa$ such that $(k+1)_z \leq ((k+1)_x) \alpha$. Suppose the contrary that $(k+1)_z \not\leq ((k+1)_x) \alpha$ for any $z \in \kappa$. Since

$$((k+1)_x) \alpha \notin \{\mathbf{1}, 2_z, 3_z, \dots, k_z \mid z \in \kappa\},$$

there exist two distinct elements $z_1, z_2 \in \kappa$ such that

$$1 < (z_1) ((k+1)_x) \alpha < k+1 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 < (z_2) ((k+1)_x) \alpha < k+1.$$

Hence we have that

$$2_{z_1} \leq ((k+1)_x) \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad 2_{z_2} \leq ((k+1)_x) \alpha,$$

and then

$$(2_{z_1})\alpha^{-1} \leq (k+1)_x \quad \text{and} \quad (2_{z_2})\alpha^{-1} \leq (k+1)_x.$$

Since $(2_{z_1})\alpha^{-1} = 2_{z'_1}$ and $(2_{z_2})\alpha^{-1} = 2_{z'_2}$ for some z'_1, z'_2 we have that $z'_1 = z'_2$. Then $2_{z_1} = 2_{z_2}$ and hence $z_1 = z_2$, which contradicts $z_1 \neq z_2$. Thus, $((k+1)_z)\alpha^{-1} \leq (k+1)_x$. Since $((k+1)_z)\alpha^{-1} \notin \{1, 2_x, 3_x, \dots, k_x\}$, we have that $((k+1)_z)\alpha^{-1} = (k+1)_x$, and hence $((k+1)_x)\alpha = (k+1)_z$. We shall prove that $x = y$. The relation $2_x < (k+1)_x$ implies that $(2_x)\alpha < ((k+1)_x)\alpha$. Since $(2_x)\alpha = 2_y$ and $((k+1)_x)\alpha = (k+1)_z$ we have that $2_y < (k+1)_z$, so $z = y$. \square

For any $x \in \kappa$, consider the map $\pi_x: \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa \rightarrow \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$ defined by the formula:

$$(t)(a)\pi_x = \begin{cases} (t)a, & \text{if } t = x; \\ 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for any $a \in \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$ and $t \in \kappa$.

Lemma 4. *Let κ be any infinite cardinal and $\alpha \in H(\mathbb{I})$ such that the equality $(2_x)\alpha = 2_x$ holds for any $x \in \kappa$. Then α is the identity map.*

Proof. Let $a \in \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$. Since the inequality $(a)\pi_x \leq a$ holds for any $x \in \kappa$ and α is an order isomorphism, it follows that $((a)\pi_x)\alpha \leq (a)\alpha$. By Lemma 3 and by the lemma assumption we have that $((a)\pi_x)\alpha = (a)\pi_x$, so $(a)\pi_x \leq (a)\alpha$ for any $x \in \kappa$ and therefore $a \leq (a)\alpha$.

So, we have that $a \leq (a)\alpha$ for any $a \in \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$ and for any α that satisfies the lemma assumption. Applying this result to the element $(a)\alpha$ and the map α^{-1} we have that $(a)\alpha \leq ((a)\alpha)\alpha^{-1} = a$.

The inequalities $a \leq (a)\alpha$ and $(a)\alpha \leq a$ imply that $(a)\alpha = a$. \square

Theorem 1. *For any infinite cardinal κ , the group of units $H(\mathbb{I})$ of the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ is isomorphic to the group \mathcal{S}_κ of all bijections of the cardinal κ . Moreover $\alpha \in H(\mathbb{I})$ if and only if $\alpha = \mathcal{F}_g^\circ$ for some $g \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa$.*

Proof. Define the map $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{S}_\kappa \rightarrow H(\mathbb{I})$ in the following way:

$$\forall g \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa \quad (g)\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_g^\circ,$$

Since \mathcal{F}_g° is an order automorphism of the poset $(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa, \leq)$ we have that the map \mathcal{F}_g° is an element of the group of units $H(\mathbb{I})$, so \mathcal{F} is well-defined. Next, we shall show that the map \mathcal{F} is an isomorphism.

Statement (iv) of Lemma 1 implies that the map \mathcal{F} is a homomorphism and statement (vii) of Lemma 1 implies that \mathcal{F} is injective.

We shall show that \mathcal{F} is surjective. Let $\alpha \in H(\mathbb{I})$. Lemma 3 implies that for any $x \in \kappa$ there exists $y \in \kappa$ such that $(2_x)\alpha = 2_y$. We define the map $g: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ in the following way: $(x)g = y$. Since α is a bijection so is g .

Now consider the composition $\alpha \circ \mathcal{F}_{g^{-1}}^\circ$. Let $x \in \kappa$. The definition of the map g implies that

$$(2_x)\left(\alpha \circ \mathcal{F}_{g^{-1}}^\circ\right) = (2_{(x)g})\mathcal{F}_{g^{-1}}^\circ$$

and statement (v) of Lemma 1 implies that $(2_{(x)g})\mathcal{F}_{g^{-1}}^\circ = 2_x$, so $(2_x)(\alpha \circ \mathcal{F}_{g^{-1}}^\circ) = 2_x$.
 By Lemma 4, $\alpha \circ \mathcal{F}_{g^{-1}}^\circ$ is identity map, therefore $\alpha = (\mathcal{F}_{g^{-1}}^\circ)^{-1} = \mathcal{F}_g^\circ$. \square

Theorems 2.3 and 2.20 from [9] and Theorem 1 imply the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *For any infinite cardinal κ every maximal subgroup of the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ is isomorphic to the group \mathcal{S}_κ of all bijections of the cardinal κ .*

Proposition 2. *For any infinite cardinal κ and for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ there exists a unique bijection $g_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa$ such that $\alpha = \rho_\alpha \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ \lambda_\alpha$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$. For the element $\rho_\alpha^{-1} \alpha \lambda_\alpha^{-1}$ we have that

$$\rho_\alpha \rho_\alpha^{-1} \alpha \lambda_\alpha^{-1} \lambda_\alpha = \varepsilon \alpha \iota,$$

where ε and ι are idempotents with $\text{dom } \varepsilon = \text{dom } \alpha$ and $\text{dom } \iota = \text{ran } \alpha$, so $\varepsilon \alpha \iota = \alpha$. Since

$$\text{dom}(\rho_\alpha^{-1} \alpha \lambda_\alpha^{-1}) = \text{ran}(\rho_\alpha^{-1} \alpha \lambda_\alpha^{-1}) = \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa,$$

we have that $\rho_\alpha^{-1} \alpha \lambda_\alpha^{-1} \in H(\mathbb{I})$. By Theorem 1, for $\rho_\alpha^{-1} \alpha \lambda_\alpha^{-1}$ there exists a bijection $g_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa$ such that $\rho_\alpha^{-1} \alpha \lambda_\alpha^{-1} = \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ$.

Suppose that there exists $h \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa$ such that $\alpha = \rho_\alpha \mathcal{F}_h^\circ \lambda_\alpha$. Then the equality

$$\rho_\alpha \mathcal{F}_h^\circ \lambda_\alpha = \rho_\alpha \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ \lambda_\alpha$$

implies that

$$(\rho_\alpha^{-1} \rho_\alpha) \mathcal{F}_h^\circ (\lambda_\alpha \lambda_\alpha^{-1}) = (\rho_\alpha^{-1} \rho_\alpha) \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ (\lambda_\alpha \lambda_\alpha^{-1}).$$

The definition of $\lambda_\alpha, \rho_\alpha$ implies that

$$\rho_\alpha^{-1} \rho_\alpha = \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\alpha^{-1} = \mathbb{I},$$

so $\mathcal{F}_h^\circ = \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ$. Statement (v) of Lemma 1 implies that $h = g_\alpha$. \square

The following corollary states that every order isomorphism α in the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ can be uniquely represented as a composition of three basic transformations: shifting to the origin of coordinates, an order isomorphism of entire $\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$, and then shifting to the range of α .

Corollary 2. *For any infinite cardinal κ and for any element $\alpha \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ the representation $\alpha = \rho_\alpha \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ \lambda_\alpha$ is unique.*

For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ we shall use this notation g_α to denote the element of \mathcal{S}_κ that implements this representation $\alpha = \rho_\alpha \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ \lambda_\alpha$.

Lemma 5. *Let κ be any infinite cardinal and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\alpha\beta} &= (\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\} - r_\alpha) \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^{-1} + d_\alpha; \\ r_{\alpha\beta} &= (\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\} - d_\beta) \mathcal{F}_{g_\beta} + r_\beta; \\ \mathcal{F}_{g_{\alpha\beta}}^\circ &= \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ \mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the definition of the composition of the partial maps:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{dom}(\alpha\beta) &= (\text{ran } \alpha \cap \text{dom } \beta) \alpha^{-1} = \\ &= (\uparrow r_\alpha \cap \uparrow d_\beta) \alpha^{-1} = \\ &= (\uparrow \max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\}) \alpha^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Since α is an order isomorphism we get that

$$(\uparrow \max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\}) \alpha^{-1} = \uparrow [(\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\}) \alpha^{-1}],$$

and then, by Corollary 2 and by Lemma 1[(vi), (viii)],

$$\begin{aligned}\text{dom}(\alpha\beta) &= \uparrow [(\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\}) \alpha^{-1}] = \\ &= \uparrow \left([\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\}] \lambda_\alpha^{-1} (\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ)^{-1} \rho_\alpha^{-1} \right) = \\ &= \uparrow \left([\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\} - r_\alpha + \mathbf{1}] (\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ)^{-1} \rho_\alpha^{-1} \right) = \\ &= \uparrow \left([(\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\} - r_\alpha) \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^{-1} + \mathbf{1}] \rho_\alpha^{-1} \right) = \\ &= \uparrow [(\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\} - r_\alpha) \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^{-1} + d_\alpha].\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by the definition of the range of the composition of the partial maps:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ran}(\alpha\beta) &= (\text{ran } \alpha \cap \text{dom } \beta) \beta = \\ &= (\uparrow r_\alpha \cap \uparrow d_\beta) \beta = \\ &= (\uparrow \max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\}) \beta.\end{aligned}$$

Since β is an order isomorphism we get that

$$(\uparrow \max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\}) \beta = \uparrow [(\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\}) \beta],$$

and then, by Corollary 2 and by Lemma 1[(vi), (viii)],

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ran}(\alpha\beta) &= \uparrow [(\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\}) \beta] = \\ &= \uparrow \left([\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\}] \lambda_\beta \mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ \rho_\beta \right) = \\ &= \uparrow \left([\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\} - d_\beta + \mathbf{1}] \mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ \rho_\beta \right) = \\ &= \uparrow \left([(\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\} - d_\beta) \mathcal{F}_{g_\beta} + \mathbf{1}] \rho_\beta \right) = \\ &= \uparrow [(\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\} - d_\beta) \mathcal{F}_{g_\beta} + r_\beta].\end{aligned}$$

We shall prove that

$$\alpha\beta = \rho_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ \mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ \lambda_{\alpha\beta}.$$

The definition of the maps $\rho_{\alpha\beta}$, $\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ$, $\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ$, $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$ and the definition of the composition of the partial maps imply that

$$\text{dom} \left(\rho_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ \mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ \lambda_{\alpha\beta} \right) = \text{dom}(\alpha\beta)$$

and

$$\text{ran} \left(\rho_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ \mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ \lambda_{\alpha\beta} \right) = \text{ran}(\alpha\beta).$$

Now consider any $a \in \text{dom}(\alpha\beta)$ and the representation $a = d_{\alpha\beta} + a - d_{\alpha\beta}$. Denote $a - d_{\alpha\beta}$ by b , then a has the representation $a = d_{\alpha\beta} + b$. And consider the images of a under the maps $\alpha\beta$ and $\rho_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (a)\alpha\beta &= (d_{\alpha\beta} + b)\alpha\beta = \\ &= ([\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\} - r_\alpha]\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^{-1} + d_\alpha + b)\alpha\beta = \\ &= ([\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\} - r_\alpha]\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^{-1} + d_\alpha + b)\rho_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ\lambda_{\alpha\beta}\rho_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ\lambda_{\alpha\beta} = \\ &= ([\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\} - r_\alpha]\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^{-1} + \mathbf{1} + b)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ\lambda_{\alpha\beta}\rho_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ\lambda_{\alpha\beta} = \\ &= (\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\} - r_\alpha + \mathbf{1} + (b)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha})\lambda_{\alpha\beta}\rho_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ\lambda_{\alpha\beta} = \\ &= (\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\} + (b)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha})\rho_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ\lambda_{\alpha\beta} = \\ &= (\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\} - d_\beta + \mathbf{1} + (b)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha})\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ\lambda_{\alpha\beta} = \\ &= ([\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\} - d_\beta]\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta} + \mathbf{1} + (b)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta})\lambda_{\alpha\beta} = \\ &= [\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\} - d_\beta]\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta} + (b)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta} + r_\beta = \\ &= r_{\alpha\beta} + (b)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}; \\ (a)\rho_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ\lambda_{\alpha\beta} &= (d_{\alpha\beta} + b)\rho_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ\lambda_{\alpha\beta} = \\ &= (b + \mathbf{1})\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ\lambda_{\alpha\beta} = \\ &= ((b)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta} + \mathbf{1})\lambda_{\alpha\beta} = \\ &= (b)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta} + r_{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

We have that $\alpha\beta = \rho_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$, so by Corollary 2 $\mathcal{F}_{g_{\alpha\beta}}^\circ = \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ$. □

Corollary 3. For any infinite cardinal κ and for any elements $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ the bijection $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is equals to $g_\alpha g_\beta$.

Corollary 4. Let κ be any infinite cardinal and ε be the idempotent of the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$, then $g_\varepsilon = id_\kappa$, $\mathcal{F}_{g_\varepsilon}^\circ = \mathbb{I}$.

Remark 2. In the bicyclic semigroup $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ the semigroup operation is determined in the following way:

$$p^i q^j \cdot p^k q^l = \begin{cases} p^i q^{j-k+l}, & \text{if } j > k; \\ p^i q^l, & \text{if } j = k; \\ p^{i-j+k} q^l, & \text{if } j < k, \end{cases}$$

which is equivalent to the following formula:

$$p^i q^j \cdot p^k q^l = p^{i+\max\{j,k\}-j} q^{l+\max\{j,k\}-k}.$$

We note that the bicyclic semigroup $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ is isomorphic to the semigroup $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, *)$ which is defined on the square $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ of the set of all positive integers with the following multiplication:

$$(1) \quad (i, j) * (k, l) = (i + \max\{j, k\} - j, l + \max\{j, k\} - k).$$

To see this, it is sufficiently to check that the map

$$f: \mathcal{C}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : p^i q^j \xrightarrow{f} (i + 1, j + 1)$$

is an isomorphism between semigroups $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ and $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, *)$.

In this paper we shall use the semigroup $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, *)$ as a representation of the bicyclic semigroup $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ and we shall denote the semigroup $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, *)$ by \mathbb{B} .

For any infinite cardinal κ , define the semigroup $\sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa$ as the set $\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa \times \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$ with the multiplications $*_\kappa$ which is similar to (1):

$$(2) \quad (a, b) *_\kappa (c, d) = (a + \max\{b, c\} - b, d + \max\{b, c\} - c), \text{ where } a, b, c, d \in \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa.$$

We can observe that the semigroup $\sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa$, as defined by the multiplication operation $*_\kappa$ in (2), is indeed isomorphic to the σ -product of κ many copies of the bicyclic monoid.

For any $g \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa$ consider a map $\Phi_g: \sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa \rightarrow \sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa$ defined in the following way: for any $(a, b) \in \sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa$ define

$$((a, b)) \Phi_g = ((a) \mathcal{F}_g^\circ, (b) \mathcal{F}_g^\circ).$$

Statements (i) and (ii) of Lemma 1 imply that the map Φ_g is well-defined and Φ_g is a bijection.

Check that the map Φ_g is an automorphism of $\sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa$. For any $(a, b), (c, d) \in \sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa$, by statements (xiii) – (x) of Lemma 1:

$$\begin{aligned} ((a, b) *_\kappa (c, d)) \Phi_g &= ((a + \max\{b, c\} - b, d + \max\{b, c\} - c) \Phi_g = \\ &= ((a + \max\{b, c\} - b) \mathcal{F}_g^\circ, (d + \max\{b, c\} - c) \mathcal{F}_g^\circ) = \\ &= ((a) \mathcal{F}_g + \max\{(b) \mathcal{F}_g, (c) \mathcal{F}_g\} - (b) \mathcal{F}_g, (d) \mathcal{F}_g + \max\{(b) \mathcal{F}_g, (c) \mathcal{F}_g\} - (c) \mathcal{F}_g) = \\ &= ((a) \mathcal{F}_g, (b) \mathcal{F}_g) *_\kappa ((c) \mathcal{F}_g, (d) \mathcal{F}_g) = ((a) \mathcal{F}_g^\circ, (b) \mathcal{F}_g^\circ) *_\kappa ((c) \mathcal{F}_g^\circ, (d) \mathcal{F}_g^\circ) = \\ &= (a, b) \Phi_g *_\kappa (c, d) \Phi_g. \end{aligned}$$

Let κ be any infinite cardinal and $\mathbf{Aut}(\sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa)$ be the group of automorphisms of the semigroup $\sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa$. Consider the map $\Phi: \mathcal{S}_\kappa \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}(\sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa)$ for any $g \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa$ define $(g) \Phi = \Phi_g$. Statement (vii) of Lemma 1 implies that Φ is injective. Next, we show that the map Φ is a homomorphism. For any $g, h \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa$ consider the image of their composition: for any $[a, b] \in \sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa$

$$\begin{aligned} ([a, b]) (gh) \Phi &= ([a, b]) \Phi_{gh} = \\ &= [(a) \mathcal{F}_{gh}^\circ, (b) \mathcal{F}_{gh}^\circ]. \end{aligned}$$

Statement (iv) of Lemma 1 implies that

$$[(a) \mathcal{F}_{gh}^\circ, (b) \mathcal{F}_{gh}^\circ] = [(a) \mathcal{F}_g^\circ \mathcal{F}_h^\circ, (b) \mathcal{F}_g^\circ \mathcal{F}_h^\circ],$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned} [(a) \mathcal{F}_g^\circ \mathcal{F}_h^\circ, (b) \mathcal{F}_g^\circ \mathcal{F}_h^\circ] &= ([a, b]) \Phi_g \Phi_h = \\ &= ([a, b]) \Phi_g \Phi_h = \\ &= ([a, b]) (g) \Phi (h) \Phi, \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$([a, b]) (gh) \Phi = ([a, b]) (g) \Phi (h) \Phi,$$

i.e., Φ is a homomorphism.

For any infinite cardinal κ consider the semidirect product $\mathcal{S}_\kappa \rtimes_\Phi \sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa$ of the semigroup $\sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa$ by the group \mathcal{S}_κ as the set $\mathcal{S}_\kappa \times \sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa$ with the operation:

$$(g, [a, b]) (h, [c, d]) = (gh, ([a, b]) \Phi_h *_\kappa [c, d]) \quad \text{for } (g, [a, b]), (h, [c, d]) \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa \times \sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa.$$

Define the map $\Psi: \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\kappa \ltimes_{\Phi} \sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa$ by the formula:

$$(\alpha)\Psi = (g_\alpha, [(d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ, r_\alpha]).$$

The definition of $d_\alpha, r_\alpha, g_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ$ implies that the map Ψ is well-defined.

Theorem 2. *For any infinite cardinal κ the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ is isomorphic to the semidirect product $\mathcal{S}_\kappa \ltimes_{\Phi} \sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa$ of the semigroup $\sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa$ by the group \mathcal{S}_κ .*

Proof. Consider the map Ψ . Corollary 2 implies that Ψ is a bijection. We shall prove that Ψ is also a homomorphism.

For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ we have that $(\alpha\beta)\Psi = (g_{\alpha\beta}, [(d_{\alpha\beta})\mathcal{F}_{g_{\alpha\beta}}^\circ, r_{\alpha\beta}])$. Corollary 3 and Lemma 5 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & (g_{\alpha\beta}, [(d_{\alpha\beta})\mathcal{F}_{g_{\alpha\beta}}^\circ, r_{\alpha\beta}]) = \\ & = (g_\alpha g_\beta, [((\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\} - r_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^{-1} + d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ \mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ, (\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\} - d_\beta)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta} + r_\beta]). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1, the definition of the operation $*_\kappa$, and the definition of the map Φ imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & (g_\alpha g_\beta, [((\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\} - r_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^{-1} + d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ \mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ, (\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\} - d_\beta)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta} + r_\beta]) = \\ & = (g_\alpha g_\beta, [\max\{(r_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}, (d_\beta)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}\} - (r_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta} + (d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}, \max\{(r_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}, \\ & \quad (d_\beta)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}\} - (d_\beta)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta} + r_\beta]) = \\ & = (g_\alpha g_\beta, [(d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}, (r_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}] *_\kappa [(d_\beta)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}, r_\beta]) = \\ & = (g_\alpha g_\beta, [(d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ \mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ, (r_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ] *_\kappa [(d_\beta)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ, r_\beta]) = \\ & = (g_\alpha g_\beta, [(d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ, r_\alpha]) \Phi_{g_\beta} *_\kappa [(d_\beta)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ, r_\beta]) = \\ & = (g_\alpha, [(d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ, r_\alpha]) (g_\beta, [(d_\beta)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ, r_\beta]) \\ & = (\alpha)\Psi (\beta)\Psi. \end{aligned}$$

□

For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$, let $(g_\alpha, [(d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ, r_\alpha]) = (\alpha)\Psi$ be the image of the element α by the isomorphism $\Psi: \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\kappa \ltimes_{\Phi} \sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa$ which is defined above the proof of Theorem 2.

Every inverse semigroup S admits the *least group congruence* \mathfrak{C}_{mg} (see [27, Section III]):

$s\mathfrak{C}_{\text{mg}}t$ if and only if there exists an idempotent $e \in S$ such that $se = te$.

Proposition 3. *For any infinite cardinal κ , any element $\alpha \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ and for any idempotent $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha\varepsilon)\Psi &= (g_\alpha, [(d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ, r_\alpha]) (id_\kappa, [d_\varepsilon, d_\varepsilon]) = \\ &= (g_\alpha, [\max\{r_\alpha, d_\varepsilon\} - r_\alpha + (d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ, \max\{r_\alpha, d_\varepsilon\}]); \\ (\varepsilon\alpha)\Psi &= (id_\kappa, [d_\varepsilon, d_\varepsilon]) (g_\alpha, [(d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ, r_\alpha]) = \\ &= (g_\alpha, [(\max\{d_\varepsilon, d_\alpha\})\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ, (\max\{d_\varepsilon, d_\alpha\})\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ - (d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ + r_\alpha]). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Corollary 4, g_ε is the identity permutation, i.e., $g_\varepsilon = id_\kappa$ and $\mathcal{F}_{g_\varepsilon}^\circ = \mathbb{I}$. Since $\text{dom } \varepsilon = \text{ran } \varepsilon$ we have that $d_\varepsilon = r_\varepsilon$ and then $(d_\varepsilon) \mathcal{F}_{g_\varepsilon}^\circ = d_\varepsilon = r_\varepsilon$, so

$$(g_\varepsilon, [(d_\varepsilon) \mathcal{F}_{g_\varepsilon}^\circ, r_\varepsilon]) = (id_\kappa, [d_\varepsilon, d_\varepsilon]).$$

Then the definition of the multiplication in $\mathcal{S}_\kappa \rtimes_\Phi \sigma \mathbb{B}^\kappa$ completes the proof of the proposition. \square

The following theorem describes the least group congruence on the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma \mathbb{N}^\kappa)$.

Theorem 3. *Let κ be any infinite cardinal. Then $\alpha \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}} \beta$ in the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma \mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ if and only if*

$$g_\alpha = g_\beta \quad \text{and} \quad (d_\alpha) \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ - r_\alpha = (d_\beta) \mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ - r_\beta.$$

Proof. Fix an idempotent ε in $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma \mathbb{N}^\kappa)$. By Proposition 3,

$$\begin{aligned} (g_\alpha, [(d_\alpha) \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ, r_\alpha]) (id_\kappa, [d_\varepsilon, d_\varepsilon]) &= (g_\alpha, [\max\{r_\alpha, d_\varepsilon\} - r_\alpha + (d_\alpha) \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ, \max\{r_\alpha, d_\varepsilon\}]), \\ (g_\beta, [(d_\beta) \mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ, r_\beta]) (id_\kappa, [d_\varepsilon, d_\varepsilon]) &= (g_\beta, [\max\{r_\beta, d_\varepsilon\} - r_\beta + (d_\beta) \mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ, \max\{r_\beta, d_\varepsilon\}]), \end{aligned}$$

so the equality $\alpha \varepsilon = \beta \varepsilon$ holds if and only if

$$g_\alpha = g_\beta \quad \text{and} \quad (d_\alpha) \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ - r_\alpha = (d_\beta) \mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ - r_\beta. \quad \square$$

For any infinite cardinal κ , by $\sigma \mathbb{Z}_+^\kappa$ we shall denote the group $(\sigma \mathbb{Z}^\kappa, +)$. Let $\mathbf{Aut}(\sigma \mathbb{Z}_+^\kappa)$ be the group of automorphisms of the group $\sigma \mathbb{Z}_+^\kappa$. Consider the map $\Theta: \mathcal{S}_\kappa \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}(\sigma \mathbb{Z}_+^\kappa)$: for any $g \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa$ define $(g) \Theta = \mathcal{F}_g^\circ$.

Statements (i), (iii) and (viii) of Lemma 1 imply that for any $g \in \mathcal{S}$ the map \mathcal{F}_g° is an isomorphism of the group $\sigma \mathbb{Z}_+^\kappa$, so the map Θ is well-defined. Next, statements (iv) and (vii) of Lemma 1 imply that the map Θ is an injective homomorphism.

Consider the semidirect product $\mathcal{S}_\kappa \rtimes_\Theta (\sigma \mathbb{Z}^\kappa, +)$ as the set $\mathcal{S}_\kappa \times \sigma \mathbb{Z}^\kappa$ with the operation

$$(g, m) (h, n) = (gh, (m) \mathcal{F}_h^\circ + n).$$

Theorem 4. *For any infinite cardinal κ the quotient semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma \mathbb{N}^\kappa) / \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}$ is isomorphic to the semidirect product $\mathcal{S}_\kappa \rtimes_\Theta (\sigma \mathbb{Z}^\kappa, +)$ of the group $(\sigma \mathbb{Z}^\kappa, +)$ by the group \mathcal{S}_κ .*

Proof. Define the map $\Upsilon: \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma \mathbb{N}^\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\kappa \rtimes_\Theta (\sigma \mathbb{Z}^\kappa, +)$ in the following way: for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma \mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ we put

$$(\alpha) \Upsilon = (g_\alpha, (d_\alpha) \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ - r_\alpha).$$

Since $a - b \in \sigma \mathbb{Z}^\kappa$ for any $a, b \in \sigma \mathbb{N}^\kappa$ we have that Υ is well-defined.

For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma \mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ by the definition of Υ we have that

$$(\alpha\beta) \Upsilon = (g_{\alpha\beta}, (d_{\alpha\beta}) \mathcal{F}_{g_{\alpha\beta}}^\circ - r_{\alpha\beta}),$$

and by Lemma 5

$$(\alpha\beta) \Upsilon = (g_\alpha g_\beta, ((\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\} - r_\alpha) \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^{-1} + d_\alpha) \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ \mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ - (\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\} - d_\beta) \mathcal{F}_{g_\beta} - r_\beta),$$

then, by statements (viii) and (ix) of Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\alpha\beta)\Upsilon &= (g_\alpha g_\beta, (\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\})\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta} - (r_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta} + (d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta} - (\max\{r_\alpha, d_\beta\})\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta} + \\
 &\quad + (d_\beta)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta} - r_\beta) = \\
 &= (g_\alpha g_\beta, (d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta} - (r_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta} + (d_\beta)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta} - r_\beta) = \\
 &= (g_\alpha, (d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ - r_\alpha) \left(g_\beta, (d_\beta)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ - r_\beta \right) = \\
 &= (\alpha)\Upsilon(\beta)\Upsilon,
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence Υ is a homomorphism.

Show that the map Υ is surjective. For any $(g, z) \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa \times \sigma\mathbb{Z}^\kappa$, consider the maps $a, b: \kappa \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. For any $x \in \kappa$:

$$(x)a = \begin{cases} (x)z, & \text{if } (x)z > 0 \\ 1, & \text{if } (x)z = 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } (x)z < 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad (x)b = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (x)z > 0 \\ 1, & \text{if } (x)z = 0 \\ -(x)z, & \text{if } (x)z < 0. \end{cases}$$

We have that $a, b \in \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$ and $z = a - b$. Now we consider $\alpha \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_\alpha &= g, \\
 d_\alpha &= (a)(\mathcal{F}_g^\circ)^{-1}, \\
 r_\alpha &= b.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\alpha)\Upsilon &= (g_\alpha, (d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ - r_\alpha) = \\
 &= \left(g, \left((a)(\mathcal{F}_g^\circ)^{-1} \right) \mathcal{F}_g^\circ - b \right) = \\
 &= (g, a - b) = \\
 &= (g, z),
 \end{aligned}$$

so Υ is surjective.

Also, Theorem 3 implies that $\alpha\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}\beta$ in $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ if and only if $(\alpha)\Upsilon = (\beta)\Upsilon$. This implies that the homomorphism Υ generates the congruences $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}$ on $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$. \square

Every inverse semigroup S admits a partial order:

$$a \preceq b \quad \text{if and only if there exists} \quad e \in E(S) \quad \text{such that} \quad a = be.$$

So defined order is called *the natural partial order* on S . We observe that $a \preceq b$ in an inverse semigroup S if and only if $a = fb$ for some $f \in E(S)$ (see [23, Lemma 1.4.6]).

This and Proposition 3 imply the following proposition, which describes the natural partial order on the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$.

Proposition 4. *Let κ be any infinite cardinal and let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\alpha \preceq \beta$;
- (ii) $g_\alpha = g_\beta$, $(d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ - r_\alpha = (d_\beta)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ - r_\beta$ and $d_\beta \preceq d_\alpha$ in the poset $(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa, \preceq)$;
- (iii) $g_\alpha = g_\beta$, $(d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ - r_\alpha = (d_\beta)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ - r_\beta$ and $r_\beta \preceq r_\alpha$ in the poset $(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa, \preceq)$.

An inverse semigroup S is said to be E -unitary if $ae \in E(S)$ for some $e \in E(S)$ implies that $a \in E(S)$ [23]. E -unitary inverse semigroups were introduced by Siatō in [28], where they were called “proper ordered inverse semigroups”.

Proposition 5. *For any infinite cardinal κ , the inverse semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ is E -unitary.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$. Suppose that $\alpha\varepsilon$ is an idempotent for some idempotent $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$. Then Proposition 3 and the definition of idempotents imply that $g_\alpha = id_\kappa$ and $d_\alpha = (d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha} = r_\alpha$, so α is an idempotent. \square

An inverse semigroup S is called F -inverse, if the $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}$ -class $s_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}}$ of each element s has the top (biggest) element with the respect to the natural partial order on S [24].

Proposition 6. *For any infinite cardinal κ , the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ is an F -inverse semigroup.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$. Consider an element $\beta \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} g_\beta &= g_\alpha, \\ d_\beta &= d_\alpha - \min\{d_\alpha, (r_\alpha)(\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ)^{-1}\} + \mathbf{1}, \\ r_\beta &= r_\alpha - \min\{(d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ, r_\alpha\} + \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

We have that $\min\{d_\alpha, (r_\alpha)(\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ)^{-1}\} \in \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$ and $\min\{d_\alpha, (r_\alpha)(\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ)^{-1}\} \leq d_\alpha$, so $d_\beta \in \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$. Similar $r_\beta \in \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$, so β is well-defined. Also, we have that $g_\beta = g_\alpha$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (d_\beta)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ - r_\beta &= \left(d_\alpha - \min\{d_\alpha, (r_\alpha)(\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ)^{-1}\} + \mathbf{1}\right)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ - (r_\alpha - \min\{(d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ, r_\alpha\} + \mathbf{1}) = \\ &= (d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ - \min\{(d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ, r_\alpha\} + \mathbf{1} - r_\alpha + \min\{(d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ, r_\alpha\} - \mathbf{1} = \\ &= (d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ - r_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

then Theorem 3 implies that $\beta\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}\alpha$.

Now, for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$, such that $\gamma\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}\alpha$, we consider the idempotent ε with $d_\varepsilon = r_\gamma$ and consider the product $(\beta)\Psi(\varepsilon)\Psi$. By Proposition 3

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta)\Psi(\varepsilon)\Psi &= \left(g_\beta, \left[(d_\beta)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ, r_\beta\right]\right)(id_\kappa, [d_\varepsilon, d_\varepsilon]) = \\ &= \left(g_\beta, \left[\max\{r_\beta, d_\varepsilon\} - r_\beta + (d_\beta)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ, \max\{r_\beta, d_\varepsilon\}\right]\right) = \\ &= \left(g_\beta, \left[\max\{r_\beta, r_\gamma\} - r_\beta + (d_\beta)\mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ, \max\{r_\beta, r_\gamma\}\right]\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}\alpha$, by Theorem 3 we have that $g_\gamma = g_\alpha$ and $r_\gamma - (d_\gamma)\mathcal{F}_{g_\gamma}^\circ = r_\alpha - (d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ$, then for any $x \in \kappa$

$$\begin{aligned} (x)(\max\{r_\beta, r_\gamma\}) &= (x)(\max\{r_\alpha - \min\{(d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ, r_\alpha\} + \mathbf{1}, r_\gamma\}) = \\ &= \begin{cases} \max\{(x)r_\alpha - (x)r_\alpha + (x)\mathbf{1}, (x)r_\gamma\}, & \text{if } (x)(d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ > (x)r_\alpha = \\ \max\{(x)r_\alpha - (x)(d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ + (x)\mathbf{1}, (x)r_\gamma\}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \\ &= \begin{cases} \max\{1, (x)r_\gamma\}, & \text{if } (x)(d_\alpha)\mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ > (x)r_\alpha = \\ \max\{(x)r_\gamma - (x)(d_\gamma)\mathcal{F}_{g_\gamma}^\circ + 1, (x)r_\gamma\}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \\ &= (x)r_\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

so $\max\{r_\beta, r_\gamma\} = r_\gamma$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{r_\beta, r_\gamma\} - r_\beta + (d_\beta) \mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ &= r_\gamma - r_\beta + (d_\beta) \mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ = \\ &= r_\gamma - r_\alpha + (d_\alpha) \mathcal{F}_{g_\alpha}^\circ = \\ &= (d_\gamma) \mathcal{F}_{g_\gamma}^\circ, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\left(g_\beta, \left[\max\{r_\beta, r_\gamma\} - r_\beta + (d_\beta) \mathcal{F}_{g_\beta}^\circ, \max\{r_\beta, r_\gamma\} \right] \right) = \left(g_\gamma, \left[(d_\gamma) \mathcal{F}_{g_\gamma}^\circ, r_\gamma \right] \right) = (\gamma) \Psi.$$

The equality $(\beta) \Psi (\varepsilon) \Psi = (\gamma) \Psi$ implies that $\gamma = \beta\varepsilon$, so $\gamma \preceq \beta$. This means that the element β is the biggest element in the $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}$ -class of the element α in $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$. \square

Lemma 6. *Let κ be any infinite cardinal and let \mathfrak{C} be a congruence on the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ such that $\varepsilon\mathfrak{C}\iota$ for some two distinct idempotents $\varepsilon, \iota \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$. Then $\zeta\mathfrak{C}v$ for all idempotents ζ, v of $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$.*

Proof. We observe that without loss of generality we may assume that $\varepsilon \preceq \iota$ where \preceq is the natural partial order on the semilattice $E(\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa))$. Indeed, if $\varepsilon, \iota \in E(\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa))$ then $\varepsilon\mathfrak{C}\iota$ implies that $\varepsilon = \varepsilon\varepsilon\mathfrak{C}\iota\varepsilon$, and since the idempotents ε and ι are distinct in $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ we have that $\iota\varepsilon \preceq \varepsilon$.

Now, the inequality $\varepsilon \preceq \iota$ implies that $\text{dom } \varepsilon \subseteq \text{dom } \iota$. Next, we define partial map $\alpha: \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa \rightarrow \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$ in the following way:

$$\text{dom } \alpha = \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa, \quad \text{ran } \alpha = \text{dom } \iota \quad \text{and} \quad (z)\alpha = z + d_\iota - \mathbf{1}, \quad \text{for any } z \in \text{dom } \alpha.$$

The definition of α implies that $\alpha\iota\alpha^{-1} = \alpha\alpha^{-1} = \mathbb{I}$ and $\alpha^{-1}\alpha = \iota$, and moreover, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha\varepsilon\alpha^{-1})(\alpha\varepsilon\alpha^{-1}) &= \alpha\varepsilon(\alpha^{-1}\alpha)\varepsilon\alpha^{-1} = \\ &= \alpha\varepsilon\iota\varepsilon\alpha^{-1} = \\ &= \alpha\varepsilon\varepsilon\alpha^{-1} = \\ &= \alpha\varepsilon\alpha^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\alpha\varepsilon\alpha^{-1}$ is an idempotent of $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ such that $\alpha\varepsilon\alpha^{-1} \neq \mathbb{I}$.

Thus, it was shown that there exists a non-unit idempotent ε^* in $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ such that $\varepsilon^*\mathfrak{C}\mathbb{I}$. This implies that $\varepsilon_0\mathfrak{C}\mathbb{I}$ for any idempotent ε_0 of $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ such that $\varepsilon^* \preceq \varepsilon_0 \preceq \mathbb{I}$. Since $\varepsilon^* \neq \mathbb{I}$ we have that $d_{\varepsilon^*} \neq \mathbf{1}$, so there exists $x \in \kappa$ such that $(x)d_{\varepsilon^*} \neq \mathbf{1}$, thus $2_x \leq d_{\varepsilon^*}$. Consider an idempotent ε_x in $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ such that $d_{\varepsilon_x} = 2_x$. Then $d_{\varepsilon_x} = 2_x \leq d_{\varepsilon^*}$ implies that $\varepsilon^* \preceq \varepsilon_x$, so $\varepsilon_x\mathfrak{C}\mathbb{I}$.

Fix an arbitrary $y \in \kappa \setminus \{x\}$. Define a bijection on the set κ in the following way:

$$(x)g = y, \quad (y)g = x \quad \text{and} \quad (t)g = t, \quad \text{for } t \in \kappa \setminus \{x, y\}.$$

Next, consider the map \mathcal{F}_g° as an element of $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$. The definition of g implies that $g^{-1} = g$, then, by Lemma 1(i) we have that $(\mathcal{F}_g^\circ)^{-1} = \mathcal{F}_{g^{-1}}^\circ = \mathcal{F}_g^\circ$ and then

$$\mathcal{F}_g^\circ \mathbb{I} \mathcal{F}_g^\circ = \mathcal{F}_g^\circ \mathcal{F}_g^\circ = \mathcal{F}_g^\circ (\mathcal{F}_g^\circ)^{-1} = \mathbb{I}.$$

The calculations

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathcal{F}_g^\circ \varepsilon_x \mathcal{F}_g^\circ) \Psi &= (\mathcal{F}_g^\circ) \Psi (\varepsilon_{d_x}) \Psi (\mathcal{F}_g^\circ) \Psi = \\
 &= (g, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}]) (id_\kappa, [2_x, 2_x]) (g, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}]) = \\
 &= (g, [2_x, 2_x]) (g, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}]) = \\
 &= (gg, [(2_x) \mathcal{F}_g^\circ, (2_x) \mathcal{F}_g^\circ]) = \\
 &= (id_\kappa, [2_{(x)g}, 2_{(x)g}]) = \\
 &= (id_\kappa, [2_y, 2_y]) = \\
 &= (\varepsilon_y) \Psi
 \end{aligned}$$

shows that $\mathcal{F}_g^\circ \varepsilon_x \mathcal{F}_g^\circ = \varepsilon_y$, where ε_y is an idempotent in $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ such that $d_{\varepsilon_y} = 2_y$. Then

$$\varepsilon_y = (\mathcal{F}_g^\circ \varepsilon_x \mathcal{F}_g^\circ) \mathfrak{C} (\mathcal{F}_g^\circ \mathbb{I} \mathcal{F}_g^\circ) = \mathbb{I}$$

implies that $\varepsilon_y \mathfrak{C} \mathbb{I}$.

The above arguments imply that $\varepsilon_x \mathfrak{C} \mathbb{I}$ for every idempotent $\varepsilon_x \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ such that ε_x is the identity map of the principal filter $\uparrow 2_x$ of the poset $(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa, \leq)$, $x \in \kappa$. Now, fix an idempotent ζ in $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ and consider the set $A = \{x \in \kappa \mid (x) d_\zeta \neq 1\}$. Since $d_\zeta \in \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$ the set A is finite, so there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ for some $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in \kappa$. Consider the idempotent $\varepsilon_A = \varepsilon_{x_1} \dots \varepsilon_{x_k}$. Since \mathfrak{C} is congruence, $\varepsilon_{x_i} \mathfrak{C} \mathbb{I}$ for any $x_i \in A$ and A is finite we have that $(\varepsilon_{x_1} \dots \varepsilon_{x_k}) \mathfrak{C} \mathbb{I}$. The definition of ε_A and the semigroup operation of $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ imply that $d_{\varepsilon_A} = 2_A$, where

$$(t) 2_A = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } t \in A \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We define the partial map $\gamma: \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa \rightarrow \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$ in the following way:

$$\text{dom } \gamma = \sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa, \quad \text{ran } \gamma = \uparrow 2_A \quad \text{and} \quad (z) \gamma = z + 2_A - \mathbf{1}, \quad \text{for any } z \in \text{dom } \gamma.$$

The definition of γ implies that $\gamma\gamma^{-1} = \mathbb{I}$ and $\gamma^{-1}\gamma = \varepsilon_A$. For any positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ consider the idempotent

$$(\gamma^{-1})^n \gamma^n = \underbrace{\gamma^{-1} \dots \gamma^{-1}}_{n\text{-times}} \underbrace{\gamma \dots \gamma}_{n\text{-times}}.$$

Since $\varepsilon_A = \gamma^{-1}\gamma \mathfrak{C} \mathbb{I}$ we have that $\gamma^{-1}\gamma^{-1}\gamma\gamma \mathfrak{C} \gamma^{-1}\gamma = \varepsilon_A$ and $\gamma^{-1}\gamma^{-1}\gamma\gamma \mathfrak{C} \mathbb{I}$, so by induction $(\gamma^{-1})^n \gamma^n \mathfrak{C} \mathbb{I}$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, by induction, we have that $d_{(\gamma^{-1})^n \gamma^n} = (n+1)_A$, where

$$(t) (n+1)_A = \begin{cases} n+1 & \text{if } t \in A \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, we have that

$$d_\zeta \leq d_{(\gamma^{-1})^m \gamma^m} = (m+1)_A,$$

where $m = \max\{(x) d_\zeta \mid x \in \kappa\}$, implies that $(\gamma^{-1})^m \gamma^m \preceq \zeta$, so $\zeta \mathfrak{C} \mathbb{I}$. □

Lemma 7. *Let κ be any infinite cardinal and let \mathfrak{C} be a congruence on the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ such that $\alpha \mathfrak{C} \beta$ for some non- \mathcal{H} -equivalent elements $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$. Then $\varepsilon \mathfrak{C} \iota$ for all idempotents ε, ι of $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$.*

Proof. Since α and β are not- \mathcal{H} -equivalent in $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ we have that either $\alpha\alpha^{-1} \neq \beta\beta^{-1}$ or $\alpha^{-1}\alpha \neq \beta^{-1}\beta$ (see [23, p. 82]). Then Proposition 4 from [23, Section 2.3] implies that $\alpha\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{C}\beta\beta^{-1}$ and $\alpha^{-1}\alpha\mathfrak{C}\beta\beta^{-1}$ and hence the assumption of Lemma 6 holds. \square

Lemma 8. *Let κ be any infinite cardinal and let \mathfrak{C} be a congruence on the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ such that $\alpha\mathfrak{C}\beta$ for some two distinct \mathcal{H} -equivalent elements $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$. Then $\varepsilon\mathfrak{C}\iota$ for all idempotents ε, ι of $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 1(vi) the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ is simple and then Theorem 2.3 from [9] implies that there exist $\mu, \xi \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ such that $f: H_\alpha \rightarrow H_\mathbb{I}: \chi \mapsto \mu\chi\xi$ maps α to \mathbb{I} and β to $\gamma \neq \mathbb{I}$, respectively, which implies that $\mathbb{I}\mathfrak{C}\gamma$. Since γ is an element of the group of units of the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$, by Theorem 1, $\gamma = \mathcal{F}_{g_\gamma}^\circ$ and since $\gamma \neq \mathbb{I}$ we have that $g_\gamma \neq id_\kappa$, so there exists $x \in \kappa$ such that $(x)g_\gamma \neq x$. Put ε as the identity map with $d_\varepsilon = 2_x$. Since \mathfrak{C} is a congruence on the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ and $\gamma \in H_\mathbb{I}$ we have that

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon\varepsilon = \varepsilon\mathbb{I}\varepsilon\mathfrak{C}\varepsilon\gamma\varepsilon.$$

Proposition 3 implies that

$$(\varepsilon\gamma\varepsilon)\Psi = \left(g_\gamma, \left[\max\{(2_x)\mathcal{F}_{g_\gamma}^\circ, 2_x\}, \max\{(2_x)\mathcal{F}_{g_\gamma}^\circ, 2_x\} \right] \right).$$

By Lemma 1(v) we have that $(2_x)\mathcal{F}_{g_\gamma}^\circ = 2_{(x)g_\gamma} \neq 2_x$, this and the definition of elements 2_x and $2_{(x)g_\gamma}$ imply that $\max\{(2_x)\mathcal{F}_{g_\gamma}^\circ, 2_x\} \neq 2_x$, so

$$r_{\varepsilon\gamma\varepsilon} = \max\{(2_x)\mathcal{F}_{g_\gamma}^\circ, 2_x\} \neq 2_x = r_\varepsilon,$$

then by Proposition 1(v), $\varepsilon\gamma\varepsilon$ and ε are non- \mathcal{H} -equivalent elements in $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$. Next, we apply Lemma 7. \square

Theorem 5. *For any infinite cardinal κ every non-identity congruence \mathfrak{C} on the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ is group.*

Proof. For every non-identity congruence \mathfrak{C} on $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ there exist two distinct elements $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ such that $\alpha\mathfrak{C}\beta$. If $\alpha\mathcal{H}\beta$ in $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ then by Lemma 7 all idempotents of the semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ are \mathfrak{C} -equivalent, otherwise by Lemma 8 we get the same. Thus, by Lemma II.1.10 of [27] the quotient semigroup $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)/\mathfrak{C}$ has a unique idempotent and hence it is a group. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author acknowledges Oleg Gutik and Alex Ravsky for their useful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. O. Andersen, *Ein Bericht über die Struktur abstrakter Halbgruppen*, PhD Thesis, Hamburg, 1952.
2. L. W. Anderson, R. P. Hunter, and R. J. Koch, *Some results on stability in semigroups*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1965), 521–529. DOI: 10.2307/1994222
3. T. Banakh, S. Dimitrova, and O. Gutik, *The Rees-Suschkewitsch Theorem for simple topological semigroups*, Mat. Stud. **31** (2009), no. 2, 211–218.

4. T. Banakh, S. Dimitrova, and O. Gutik, *Embedding the bicyclic semigroup into countably compact topological semigroups*, Topology Appl. **157** (2010), no. 18, 2803–2814.
DOI: 10.1016/j.topol.2010.08.020
5. S. Bardyla and O. Gutik, *On a semitopological polycyclic monoid*, Algebra Discr. Math. **21** (2016), no. 2, 163–183.
6. S. Bardyla and O. Gutik, *On the lattice of weak topologies on the bicyclic monoid with adjoined zero*, Algebra Discr. Math. **30** (2020), no. 1, 26–43. DOI: 10.12958/adm1459
7. M. O. Bertman and T. T. West, *Conditionally compact bicyclic semitopological semigroups*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sec. A **76** (1976), no. 21–23, 219–226.
8. I. Chuchman and O. Gutik, *Topological monoids of almost monotone injective co-finite partial selfmaps of the set of positive integers*, Carpathian Math. Publ. 2 (2010), no. 1, 119–132.
9. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Vols. I and II, Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys **7**, Providence, R.I., 1961 and 1967.
10. O. Gutik and O. Lysetska, *On the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ which is generated by the family \mathcal{F} of atomic subsets of ω* , Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **92** (2021), 34–50.
DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2021.92.034-050
11. O. Gutik and P. Khylynskyi, *On a locally compact monoid of cofinite partial isometries of \mathbb{N} with adjoined zero*, Topol. Algebra Appl. **10** (2022), no. 1, 233–245.
DOI: 10.1515/taa-2022-0130
12. O. Gutik and O. Krokmalna, *The monoid of monotone injective partial selfmaps of the poset (\mathbb{N}^3, \leq) with cofinite domains and images*, Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **88** (2019), 32–50.
13. O. Gutik and K. Maksymyk, *On semitopological bicyclic extensions of linearly ordered groups*, Mat. Metody Fiz.-Mekh. Polya **59** (2016), no. 4, 31–43; **reprinted version**: J. Math. Sci. **238** (2019), no. 1, 32–45. DOI: 10.1007/s10958-019-04216-x
14. O. Gutik and K. Maksymyk, *On semitopological interassociates of the bicyclic monoid*, Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **82** (2016), 98–108.
15. O. Gutik and T. Mokrytskyi, *The monoid of order isomorphisms between principal filters of \mathbb{N}^n* , Eur. J. Math. **6** (2020), no. 1, 14–36. DOI: 10.1007/s10958-019-04216-x
16. O. Gutik and M. Mykhalenych, *On some generalization of the bicyclic monoid*, Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **90** (2020), 5–19 (in Ukrainian).
DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2020.90.005-019
17. O. V. Gutik and O. B. Popadiuk, *On the semigroup of injective endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_n}$ which is generated by the family \mathcal{F}_n of finite bounded intervals of ω* , Mat. Metody Fiz.-Mekh. Polya **65** (2022), no. 1–2, 42–57.
18. O. Gutik and I. Pozdniakova, *On the group of automorphisms of the semigroup $B_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ with the family \mathcal{F} of inductive nonempty subsets of ω* , Algebra Discr. Math. (to appear) (arXiv:2206.12819).
19. O. Gutik and D. Repovš, *On countably compact 0-simple topological inverse semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **75** (2007), no. 2, 464–469. DOI: 10.1007/s00233-007-0706-x
20. O. Gutik and A. Savchuk, *The semigroup of partial co-finite isometries of positive integers*, Bukovyn. Mat. Zh. **6** (2018), no.1–2, 42–51 (in Ukrainian). DOI: 10.31861/bmj2018.01.042
21. O. Gutik and A. Savchuk, *On the monoid of cofinite partial isometries of \mathbb{N} with the usual metric*, Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **89** (2020) 17–30.
DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2020.89.017-030
22. J. A. Hildebrandt and R. J. Koch, *Swelling actions of Γ -compact semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **33** (1986), no. 1, 65–85. DOI: 10.1007/BF02573183

23. M. Lawson, *Inverse semigroups. The theory of partial symmetries*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1998.
24. R. McFadden and L. O'Carroll, *F-inverse semigroups*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., III Ser. **22** (1971), no. 4, 652–666. DOI: 10.1112/plms/s3-22.4.652
25. T. Mokrytskyi, *On the dichotomy of a locally compact semitopological monoid of order isomorphisms between principal filters of \mathbb{N}^n with adjoined zero*, Visn. Lviv Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **87** (2019), 37–45. DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2019.87.037-045
26. W. D. Munn, *Uniform semilattices and bisimple inverse semigroups*, Q. J. Math., Oxf. II. Ser. **17** (1966), no. 1, 151–159. DOI: 10.1093/qmath/17.1.151
27. M. Petrich, *Inverse semigroups*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984.
28. T. Saitô, *Proper ordered inverse semigroups*, Pacif. J. Math. **15** (1965), no. 2, 649–666. DOI: 10.2140/pjm.1965.15.649
29. V. V. Wagner, *Generalized groups*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **84** (1952), 1119–1122 (in Russian).

*Стаття: надійшла до редколегії 24.01.2022
доопрацьована 07.05.2022
прийнята до друку 22.06.2022*

МОНОЇД ПОРЯДКОВИХ ІЗОМОРФІЗМІВ ГОЛОВНИХ ФІЛЬТРІВ МНОЖИНИ $\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$

Тарас МОКРИЦЬКИЙ

*Львівський національний університет імені Івана Франка,
вул. Університетська, 1, 79000, Львів
e-mail: tmokrytskyi@gmail.com*

Розглянемо таке узагальнення біциклічного моноїда. Для довільного нескінченного кардинала κ розглянемо напівгрупу $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ всіх порядкових ізоморфізмів головних фільтрів множини $\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa$ з порядком добутку. Ми дослідимо алгебричні властивості напівгрупи $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$, доведемо, що вона є біпростою, E -унітарною, F -інверсною напівгрупою, опишемо відношення Гріна на напівгрупі $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$, опишемо групу одиниць $H(\mathbb{I})$ цієї напівгрупи і її максимальні підгрупи. Доведемо, що напівгрупа $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ ізоморфна напівпрямому добутку $\mathcal{S}_\kappa \times \sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa$ напівгрупи $\sigma\mathbb{B}^\kappa$ і групи \mathcal{S}_κ , доведемо що кожна не тотожна конгруенція \mathcal{C} на напівгрупі $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$ є груповою; опишемо найменшу групову конгруенцію на $\mathcal{IPF}(\sigma\mathbb{N}^\kappa)$.

Ключові слова: напівгрупа, інверсна напівгрупа, часткове відображення, група перестановок, найменша групову конгруенція, біциклічна напівгрупа, напівпрямий добуток.