

УДК 517.537.72

## REMARKS TO PROPERTIES OF ANALYTIC IN THE UNIT DISK FUNCTIONS $p$ -VALENT ALONG WITH THEIR DERIVATIVES

Myroslav SHEREMETA

*Ivan Franko National University of Lviv,  
Universitetska Str., 1, 79000, Lviv, Ukraine  
e-mail: m.m.sheremeta@gmail.com*

Let  $K = (k_j)$  be an increasing sequence of integers,  $k_0 = 0$ , and  $E(K)$  be a class of analytic in the disk  $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  functions  $f$  such that for some  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  all derivatives  $f^{(k_j)}$  are in the middle  $p$ -valent in  $\mathbb{D}$ . It is proved that every function  $f \in E(K)$  is entire if and only if

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \ln k_j - \frac{1}{k_j} \sum_{s=1}^j (k_s - k_{s-1}) \ln (k_s - k_{s-1}) \right\} = +\infty.$$

*Key words:* entire function,  $p$ -valent function.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let the function

$$(1) \quad f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

be an analytic in the disk  $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  and  $n(w)$  be the number of roots of the equation  $f(z) = w$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ . The function is called  $p$ -valent in  $\mathbb{D}$  if  $n(w) \leq p$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $n(w) = p$  for some  $w \in \mathbb{C}$ . As in [1, p. 26, 33] we put

$$p(\varrho) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} n(\varrho e^{i\theta}) d\theta,$$
$$W(R) = \int_0^R p(\varrho) d(\varrho^2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^R n(\varrho e^{i\theta}) \varrho d\varrho d\theta.$$

If  $W(R) \leq pR^2$ ,  $p > 0$ , for all  $R \in (0, +\infty)$  then  $f$  is called in the middle  $p$ -valent in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Clearly, if  $f$  is  $p$ -valent in  $\mathbb{D}$  then  $f$  is in the middle  $p$ -valent in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

S. M. Shah [2] proved that if the analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  function  $f$  and all its derivatives  $f^{(n)}$  are univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$  then  $f$  is an entire function, and conjectured that for an increasing sequence  $(k_j)$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k_j} = +\infty$  a function  $f$  and all its derivatives  $f^{(k_j)}$  are univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$  then  $f$  is an entire function. In [3] this conjecture is disproved and it is proved that the condition

$$(2) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \ln k_j - \frac{1}{k_j} \sum_{s=1}^j (k_s - k_{s-1}) \ln (k_s - k_{s-1}) \right\} = +\infty$$

is necessary and sufficient in order that for each analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  function  $f$  the univalence of  $f^{(n_p)}$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $p_0 = 0$ , implies that  $f$  is an entire function.

In the proposed note will be shown that similar results are correct for functions in the middle  $p$ -valent in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

## 2. FUNCTIONS WITH ALL DERIVATIVES IN THE MIDDLE $p$ -VALENT

The following theorem is true.

**Theorem 1.** *If analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  function  $f$  and all its derivatives  $f^{(n)}$  are in the middle  $p$ -valent in  $\mathbb{D}$  then  $f$  is an entire function of exponential type.*

*Proof.* It is known [1, p. 83] that if function (1) is in the middle  $p$ -valent in  $\mathbb{D}$  then

$$|a_n| < \begin{cases} A_p \mu_p n^{2p-1}, & \text{if } p > 1/4; \\ A_p |a_0| n^{-1/2} \ln(n+1), & \text{if } p = 1/4; \\ A_p |a_0| n^{-1/2} \ln^{-1/2}(n+1), & \text{if } p < 1/4, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mu_p = \max\{|a_\nu| : \nu \leq p\}$  and  $A_p = (p+2)2^{3p-1} \exp\{p\pi^2 + 1/2\}$ . It is clear that the function  $f$  is in the middle  $p_1$ -valent in  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $p_2 \geq p_1$  then  $f$  is in the middle  $p_2$ -valent. Therefore, we can assume that  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and use only the estimate  $|a_n| < A_p \mu_p n^{2p-1}$ .

Since the derivative  $f^{(k)}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+k)!}{n!} a_{n+k} z^n$  is in the middle  $p_1$ -valent in  $\mathbb{D}$ , we have

$$\frac{(n+k)!}{n!} |a_{n+k}| \leq A_p n^{2p-1} \max \left\{ \frac{(\nu+k)!}{\nu!} |a_{\nu+k}| : \nu \leq p \right\},$$

whence for  $n = p+1$  we get

$$|a_{k+p+1}| \leq A_p (p+1)^{2p-1} (p+1)! \times \\ \times \max \left\{ \frac{|a_{k+p}|}{k+p+1}, \frac{|a_{k+p-1}|}{(k+p+1)(k+p)}, \dots, \frac{|a_{k+1}|}{(k+p+1) \dots (k+2)}, \frac{|a_k|}{(k+p+1) \dots (k+1)} \right\}.$$

Therefore, for all  $n \geq p$

$$|a_{n+1}| \leq \frac{B_p}{n+1} \max \left\{ |a_n|, \frac{|a_{n-1}|}{n}, \dots, \frac{|a_{n-p+1}|}{n \dots (n-p+2)}, \frac{|a_{n-p}|}{n \dots (n-p+1)} \right\}.$$

where  $B_p = A_p(p+1)^{2p-1}(p+1)!$ . Using this inequality, we get by induction

$$\begin{aligned} & |a_{n+1}| \leq \\ & \leq \frac{B_p}{n+1} \max \left\{ \frac{B_p}{n} \max \left\{ |a_{n-1}|, \frac{|a_{n-2}|}{n-1}, \dots, \frac{|a_{n-p}|}{(n-1)\dots(n-p+1)}, \frac{|a_{n-1-p}|}{(n-1)\dots(n-p)} \right\}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{|a_{n-1}|}{n}, \dots, \frac{|a_{n-p+1}|}{n\dots(n-p+2)}, \frac{|a_{n-p}|}{n\dots(n-p+1)} \right\} = \\ & = \frac{B_p^2}{(n+1)n} \max \left\{ |a_{n-1}|, \frac{|a_{n-2}|}{n-1}, \dots, \frac{|a_{n-p}|}{(n-1)\dots(n-p+1)}, \frac{|a_{n-1-p}|}{(n-1)\dots(n-p)} \right\} \leq \dots \leq \\ & \leq \frac{B_p^{n-p-1}}{(n+1)n\dots(p+1)} \max \left\{ |a_p|, \frac{|a_{p-1}|}{p}, \dots, \frac{|a_1|}{p!}, \frac{|a_0|}{p!} \right\} = C_p \frac{B_p^n}{(n+1)!}, \quad C_p = \text{const} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that  $f$  is an entire function of exponential type  $\sigma \leq B_p$ . □

### 3. FUNCTIONS WITH A SEQUENCE OF DERIVATIVES IN THE MIDDLE $p$ -VALENT

Now suppose that there exists an increasing sequence  $(k_j)$  such that all derivatives  $f^{(k_j)}$  are in the middle  $p$ -valent in  $\mathbb{D}$ . We can consider that  $k_0 = 0$ . Then as above for  $n \geq 1$  and  $j \geq 0$  we have

$$|a_{k_j+n}| \leq A_p \frac{n^{2p-1}n!}{(k_j+n)!} \max \left\{ \frac{(k_j+\nu)!}{\nu!} |a_{k_j+\nu}| : \nu \leq p \right\}.$$

In particular,

$$(3) \quad |a_{k_j+\nu}| = |a_{k_{j-1}+k_j-k_{j-1}+\nu}| \leq A_p \frac{(k_j-k_{j-1}+\nu)^{2p-1}(k_j-k_{j-1}+\nu)!}{(k_j+\nu)!} \max_{0 \leq \mu \leq p} \left\{ \frac{(k_{j-1}+\mu)!}{\mu!} |a_{k_{j-1}+\mu}| \right\}.$$

Let  $1 \leq m \leq k_{j+1} - k_j$ . Then from (2) and (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{k_j+m}| & \leq A_p \frac{m^{2p-1}m!}{(k_j+m)!} \max_{0 \leq \nu \leq p} \left\{ \frac{(k_j+\nu)!}{\nu!} A_p \frac{(k_j-k_{j-1}+\nu)^{2p-1}(k_j-k_{j-1}+\nu)!}{(k_j+\nu)!} \right\} \times \\ & \quad \times \max_{0 \leq \mu \leq p} \left\{ \frac{(k_{j-1}+\mu)!}{\mu!} |a_{k_{j-1}+\mu}| \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and, since  $\frac{(m+\nu)!}{\nu!} \leq \frac{(m+p)!}{p!}$  for all  $m > 1$  and  $0 \leq \mu \leq p$ , we have

$$|a_{k_j+m}| \leq \frac{A_p^2 m^{2p-1}m!(k_j-k_{j-1}+p)^{2p-1}(k_j-k_{j-1}+p)!}{p!(k_j+m)!} \max_{0 \leq \nu \leq p} \left\{ \frac{(k_{j-1}+\nu)!}{\nu!} |a_{k_{j-1}+\nu}| \right\}.$$

Applying to  $|a_{k_{j-1}+\nu}|$  inequality (3), from here as above we obtain

$$|a_{k_j+m}| \leq \frac{A_p^3}{(p!)^2} \times \frac{m^{2p-1} m! (k_j - k_{j-1} + p)^{2p-1} (k_j - k_{j-1} + p)! (k_{j-1} - k_{j-2} + p)^{2p-1} (k_{j-1} - k_{j-2} + p)!}{(k_j + m)!} \times \max_{0 \leq \nu \leq p} \left\{ \frac{(k_{j-2} + \nu)!}{\nu!} |a_{k_{j-2}+\nu}| \right\}.$$

Continuing this process we come to the inequality

$$(4) \quad |a_{k_j+m}| \leq B_p \left( \frac{A_p}{p!} \right)^j \frac{m^{2p-1} m!}{(k_j + m)!} \prod_{s=1}^j (k_s - k_{s-1} + p)^{2p-1} \prod_{s=1}^j (k_s - k_{s-1} + p)!,$$

which is correct for all  $j \geq 0$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq k_{j+1} - k_j$  and some constant  $B_p > 0$ . Using (4) we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *If an increasing sequence  $(k_j)$  satisfies condition (2),  $k_0 = 0$ , and all  $f^{(k_j)}$  are in the middle  $p$ -valent in  $\mathbb{D}$  then  $f$  is an entire function.*

*Proof.* From (4) for  $j \geq 0$  and  $1 \leq m \leq k_{j+1} - k_j$  we obtain

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{k_j + m} \ln \frac{1}{|a_{k_j+m}|} \geq - \frac{\ln B_p + j(\ln A_p - \ln p!) + (2p - 1) \ln m}{k_j + m} + \frac{\ln(k_j + m)!}{k_j + m} - \frac{m!}{k_j + m} - \frac{2p - 1}{k_j + m} \sum_{s=1}^j \ln(k_s - k_{s-1} + p) - \frac{1}{k_j + m} \sum_{s=1}^j \ln(k_s - k_{s-1} + p)!.$$

Clearly, the first term in the left hand side of (5) is a bounded value. If we use Stirling formula

$$n! = n^n e^{-n} \sqrt{2\pi n} \exp\{-\theta_n/(12n)\}, \quad 0 < \theta_n < 1,$$

we get

$$\frac{\ln(k_j + m)!}{k_j + m} = \ln(k_j + m) + O(1),$$

$$\frac{\ln m!}{k_j + m} = \frac{m \ln m}{k_j + m} + O(1)$$

and

$$\ln(k_s - k_{s-1} + p)! \geq (k_s - k_{s-1} + p) \ln(k_s - k_{s-1} + p) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(k_s - k_{s-1} + p) + O(1) = (k_s - k_{s-1}) \ln(k_s - k_{s-1}) + \frac{2p + 1}{2} \ln(k_s - k_{s-1} + p) + O(1)$$

as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  and, since  $\sum_{s=1}^j \ln(k_s - k_{s-1} + p) \leq k_j + jp$ , from (5) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{k_j + m} \ln \frac{1}{|a_{k_j+m}|} \geq \frac{1}{k_j + m} \{(k_j + m) \ln(k_j + m) - m \ln m - Q_j\} + O(1), \quad j \rightarrow \infty,$$

for all  $1 \leq m \leq k_{j+1} - k_j$ , where

$$Q_j = \sum_{s=1}^j (k_s - k_{s-1}) \ln(k_s - k_{s-1}).$$

Now we consider the function

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{k_j + x} \{(k_j + x) \ln(k_j + x) - x \ln x - Q_j\}, \quad 1 \leq x \leq k_{j+1} - k_j.$$

Since  $\Phi'(x) = (Q_j - k_j \ln x)/(k_j + x)^2$ , this function has on  $[1, +\infty)$  the only point of the extremum  $x = \exp\{Q_j/k_j\}$ , which is a maximum point. Therefore,

$$\min \{\Phi(x) : 1 \leq x \leq k_{j+1} - k_j\} = \min \{\Phi(1), \Phi(k_{j+1} - k_j)\}$$

and for  $1 \leq m \leq k_{j+1} - k_j$  (5) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k_j + m} \ln \frac{1}{|a_{k_j+m}|} &\geq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{k_j + 1} ((k_j + 1) \ln(k_j + 1) - Q_j), \right. \\ &\left. \frac{1}{k_{j+1}} (k_{j+1} \ln(k_{j+1}) - (k_{j+1} - k_j) \ln(k_{j+1} - k_j) - Q_j) \right\} + O(1) \geq \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \ln k_j - \frac{Q_j}{k_j}, \ln k_{j+1} - \frac{Q_{j+1}}{k_{j+1}} \right\} + O(1), \quad j \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2) from the last equation it follows that  $\frac{1}{k} \ln \frac{1}{|a_k|} \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e.,  $f$  is an entire function. Theorem 2 is proved.  $\square$

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Let  $K = (k_j)$  be an increasing sequence of integers,  $k_0 = 0$ , and  $E(K)$  be a class of analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  functions  $f$  such that for some  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  all  $f^{(k_j)}$  are in the middle  $p$ -valent in  $\mathbb{D}$ . From Theorem 2 it follows that if the sequence  $K$  satisfies (2) then  $f$  is an entire function. On the other hand, if (2) not holds then [3] there exists an analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  function  $f$  such that all  $f^{(k_j)}$  are univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$   $f^{(k_j)}$ , but  $f$  is not an entire function. Therefore, the following statement is true: *every function  $f \in E(K)$  is entire if and only if the sequence  $K$  satisfies (2).*

#### REFERENCES

1. W. K. Hayman, *Multivalent functions*, Cambridge University Press, 1958, 180 p.
2. S. M. Shah, *Analytic functions with univalent derivatives and entire function of exponential type*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1972), no. 2, 110–118.  
DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9904-1972-12900-8
3. M. M. Sheremeta, *Disproving of a Shah conjecture concerning univalent functions*, Math. Stud, **2** (1993), 46–48 (in Ukrainian).

Стаття: надійшла до редколегії 07.12.2020  
 доопрацьована 22.05.2021  
 прийнята до друку 07.09.2021

**ЗАУВАЖЕННЯ ДО ВЛАСТИВОСТЕЙ АНАЛІТИЧНИХ В  
ОДИНИЧНОМУ КРУЗІ ФУНКЦІЙ,  $p$ -ЛИСТИХ РАЗОМ ЗІ  
СВОЇМИ ПОХІДНИМИ****Мирослав ШЕРЕМЕТА**

*Львівський національний університет імені Івана Франка,  
вул. Університетська 1, 79000, м. Львів  
e-mail: m.m.sheremeta@gmail.com*

Нехай  $K = (k_j)$  — зростаюча послідовність цілих чисел,  $k_0 = 0$ , а  $E(K)$  — клас таких аналітичних в крузі  $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  функцій  $f$ , що для деякого  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  всі похідні  $f^{(k_j)}$  є в середньому  $p$ -листими в  $\mathbb{D}$ . Доведено, що кожна функція  $f \in E(K)$  є цілою тоді і тільки тоді, коли

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \ln k_j - \frac{1}{k_j} \sum_{s=1}^j (k_s - k_{s-1}) \ln (k_s - k_{s-1}) \right\} = +\infty.$$

*Ключові слова:* ціла функція,  $p$ -листа функція.