

УДК 517.55

## GROWTH ESTIMATES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS IN $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$ HAVING BOUNDED L-INDEX IN JOINT VARIABLES

Andriy BANDURA<sup>1</sup>, Volodymyr TSVIGUN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ivano-Frankivsk National Technical University of Oil and Gas,  
Karpatska Str., 15, 76019, Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine  
e-mail: andriykopantsia@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Ivan Franko National University of Lviv,  
Universytetska Str., 1, 79000, Lviv, Ukraine  
e-mail: 12lvan@ukr.net

We prove some properties of power series expansion of analytic functions in  $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  having bounded **L**-index in joint variables, where  $\mathbf{L}(z, w) = (l_1(z, w), l_2(z, w))$  with  $l_j : \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  ( $j \in \{1, 2\}$ ) are positive continuous functions and  $l_1(z, w) > \beta/(1 - |z|)$  for all  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  and some  $\beta > 1$ . Moreover, we provide growth estimates of these function class. They describe the behavior of logarithm of maximum modulus of analytic function on a skeleton in a bidisc by behavior of the function **L**. These estimates are sharp in a general case. The presented results are based on bidisc exhaustion of the Cartesian product of the unit disc and complex plane.

*Key words:* analytic function, bounded index in joint variables, unit disc, complex plane, Cartesian product, growth estimates.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

We need some standard notation. Denote  $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, +\infty)$ ,  $\mathbf{0} = (0, 0)$ ,  $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0)$ ,  $\mathbf{e}_2 = (0, 1)$ .  $R = (r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ ,  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$ . For  $A = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $B = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  we will use formal notations without violation of the existence of these expressions  $AB = (a_1b_1, a_2b_2)$ ,  $A/B = (a_1/b_1, a_2/b_2)$ ,  $A^B = a_1^{b_1}a_2^{b_2}$ . The notation  $A < B$  means that  $a_j < b_j$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ ; the relation  $A \leqslant B$  is defined similarly. For  $K = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$  denote  $\|K\| = k_1 + k_2$ ,  $K! = k_1! \cdot k_2!$ .

For  $z \in \mathbb{C}^2$  and  $w \in \mathbb{C}^2$  we define

$$\langle z, w \rangle = z_1\bar{w}_1 + z_2\bar{w}_2,$$

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D60, 32A10, 32A40  
© Bandura, A., Tsvigun, V., 2019

where  $\bar{w}_k$  is the complex conjugate of  $w_k$ .

For  $(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  we denote

$$\mathbb{D}^2((z_0, w_0), R) := \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}: |z - z_0| < r_1, |w - w_0| < r_2\}$$

the bidisc, its skeleton

$$\mathbb{T}^2((z_0, w_0), R) := \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}: |z - z_0| = r_1, |w - w_0| = r_2\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{D}^2[(z_0, w_0), R] := \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}: |z - z_0| \leq r_1, |w - w_0| \leq r_2\}$$

the closed bidisc,  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: |z| < 1\}$ . For  $K = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ ,  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  and the partial derivatives of function  $F(z) = F(z, w)$  we use the notation

$$F^{(K)}(z, w) = \frac{\partial^{\|K\|} F(z, w)}{\partial z^{k_1} \partial w^{k_2}} = \frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2} F(z, w)}{\partial z^{k_1} \partial w^{k_2}}.$$

Let  $\mathbf{L}(z, w) = (l_1(z, w), l_2(z, w))$ , where  $l_j(z): \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  are continuous functions ( $j \in \{1, 2\}$ ).

An analytic function  $F: \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called ([1–3]) a function of *bounded L-index (in joint variables)*, if there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that for all  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  and for all  $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$

$$(1) \quad \frac{|F^{(J)}(z, w)|}{J! \mathbf{L}^J(z, w)} \leqslant \max_{\|K\| \leqslant n_0} \frac{|F^{(K)}(z, w)|}{K! \mathbf{L}^K(z, w)}.$$

The least such integer  $n_0$  is called the *L-index in joint variables of the function F* and is denoted by  $N(F, \mathbf{L}, \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}) = n_0$ . It is an analog of the definition of an analytic function of bounded L-index in joint variables (see definitions for various classes of analytic functions in [5, 7–9, 14, 15, 17]).

By  $Q(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C})$  we denote the class of functions  $\mathbf{L}$  which satisfy the conditions

$$(2) \quad (\forall (z, w) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}): l_1(z, w) > \beta/(1 - |z|),$$

$$(3) \quad (\forall r_1 \in [0, \beta], \forall r_2 \in (0, +\infty)): \\ 0 < \lambda_{1,j}(R) \leqslant \lambda_{2,j}(R) < +\infty,$$

where  $\beta > 1$  is some constant, and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{1,j}((z_0, w_0), R) &= \inf_{(z, w) \in \mathbb{D}^2[(z_0, w_0), R / \mathbf{L}(z_0, w_0)]} l_j(z, w) / l_j(z_0, w_0) \\ \lambda_{2,j}((z_0, w_0), R) &= \sup_{(z, w) \in \mathbb{D}^2[(z_0, w_0), R / \mathbf{L}(z_0, w_0)]} l_j(z, w) / l_j(z_0, w_0), \\ \lambda_{1,j}(R) &= \inf_{(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}} \lambda_{1,j}((z_0, w_0), R), \\ \lambda_{2,j}(R) &= \sup_{(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}} \lambda_{2,j}((z_0, w_0), R), \quad j \in \{1, 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

A similar condition was used for other classes of analytic functions of bounded index as one so several variables [13, 18, 19].

For an analytic function  $F(z)$  we put

$$M(R, z^0, F) = \max\{|F(z)|: z \in \mathbb{T}^2(z^0, R)\}.$$

The following theorems were obtained in [3].

**Theorem 1** ([3]). *Let  $\mathbf{L} \in Q(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C})$ . If an analytic function  $F : \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has bounded  $\mathbf{L}$ -index in joint variables then for any  $R', R'' \in \mathcal{B}^2$ ,  $R' < R''$ , there exists  $p_1 = p_1(R', R'') \geq 1$  such that for each  $z^0 \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$*

$$(4) \quad M(R''/\mathbf{L}(z^0), z^0, F) \leq p_1 M(R'/\mathbf{L}(z^0), z^0, F).$$

**Theorem 2** ([3]). *Let  $\mathbf{L} \in Q(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C})$ . An analytic function  $F : \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has bounded  $\mathbf{L}$ -index in joint variables if and only if there exist  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that for each  $z \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  the inequality*

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \max \left\{ \frac{|F^{(j_1, j_2)}(z)|}{l_1^{j_1}(z) l_2^{j_2}(z)} : j_1 + j_2 = p + 1 \right\} \leq \\ & \leq c \max \left\{ \frac{|F^{(k_1, k_2)}(z)|}{l_1^{k_1}(z) l_2^{k_2}(z)} : k_1 + k_2 \leq p \right\} \end{aligned}$$

holds.

In view of Theorems 1 and 2 the authors wrote the following sentence: “Analogs of Theorems 1 and 2 were used [6, 16] to obtain growth estimates of analytic functions in the unit ball of bounded  $\mathbf{L}$ -index in joint variables and to deduce sufficient conditions of index boundedness for analytic solutions in the unit bidisc of some system of partial differential equations. It is natural to pose similar questions for functions analytic in the domain  $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$ .”

**Problem 1.** *What are growth estimates analytic functions in  $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  of bounded  $\mathbf{L}$ -index in joint variables?*

A complete answer to the question is our main goal in the paper. But in order to achieve this goal we will also study property of power series expansion of functions which are analytic in  $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$ .

## 2. PROPERTIES OF POWER SERIES EXPANSION OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS IN $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$ . We develop an analytic function  $F : \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  in the power series written in a diagonal form

$$(6) \quad F(z, w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(z - z_0, w - w_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_1+j_2=k} b_{j_1, j_2} (z - z_0)^{j_1} (w - w_0)^{j_2},$$

where  $p_k$  are homogeneous polynomials of  $k$ -th degree,  $b_J = b_{j_1, j_2} = \frac{F^{(J)}(z_0, w_0)}{J!}$ . A polynomial  $p_{k_0}$ ,  $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , is called a dominating polynomial in the power series expansion (6) on  $\mathbb{T}^2((z_0, w_0), R)$  if for every  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2((z_0, w_0), R)$  the inequality

$$\left| \sum_{k \neq k_0} p_k(z - z_0, w - w_0) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max \{ |b_{j_1, j_2}| r_1^{j_1} r_2^{j_2} : j_1 + j_2 = k_0 \}$$

holds. Recently, there were obtained propositions on properties of the main polynomial for entire functions ([10]), for analytic function in a bidisc ([12]) and for analytic functions in the unit ball [6]. Below we will deduce analogs of these propositions for analytic functions in the Cartesian product of unit disc and complex plane.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\mathbf{L} \in Q(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C})$ . If an analytic function  $F: \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has bounded  $\mathbf{L}$ -index in joint variables then there exists  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  that for all  $d \in (0; \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{2}}]$  there exists  $\eta(d) \in (0; d)$  such that for each  $(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  and some  $r = r(d, (z_0, w_0)) \in (\eta(d), d)$ ,  $k^0 = k^0(d, (z_0, w_0)) \leq p$  the polynomial  $p_{k^0}$  is a dominating polynomial in series (6) on  $\mathbb{T}^2((z_0, w_0), \frac{r\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{L}(z_0, w_0)})$ .*

*Proof.* Our proof is similar to the proof of the mentioned proposition from [6, 10, 12]. We repeat considerations from those papers with some modifications. Let  $F$  be an analytic function of bounded  $\mathbf{L}$ -index in joint variables with  $N = N(F, \mathbf{L}, \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}) < +\infty$  and  $n_0$  be the  $\mathbf{L}$ -index in joint variables at a point  $(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$ , i.e.  $n_0$  is the least number, for which inequality (1) holds at the point  $(z_0, w_0)$ . Then for each  $(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$   $n_0 \leq N$ .

We put

$$a_J^* = \frac{|b_J|}{\mathbf{L}^J(z_0, w_0)} = \frac{|F^{(J)}(z_0, w_0)|}{J! \mathbf{L}^J(z_0, w_0)},$$

$$a_k = \max\{a_J^*: \|J\| = k\}, \quad c = 2\{(N+3)!3! + (N+1)C_{N+1}^N\}.$$

Let  $d \in (0; \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{2}}]$  be an arbitrary number. We also denote  $r_m = \frac{d}{(d+1)c^m}$ ,  $\mu_m = \max\{a_k r_m^k: k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ ,  $s_m = \min\{k: a_k r_m^k = \mu_m\}$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

Since  $(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  is a fixed point the inequality  $a_K^* \leq \max\{a_J^*: \|J\| \leq n_0\}$  is valid for all  $K \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ . Then  $a_k \leq a_{n_0}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Hence, for all  $k > n_0$ , in view of  $r_0 < 1$ , we have  $a_k r_0^k < a_{n_0} r_0^{n_0}$ . This implies  $s_0 \leq n_0$ . Since  $c r_m = r_{m-1}$ , we obtain that for each  $k > s_{m-1}$  ( $r_{m-1} < 1$ )

$$(7) \quad a_{s_{m-1}} r_m^{s_{m-1}} = a_{s_{m-1}} r_{m-1}^{s_{m-1}} c^{-s_{m-1}} \geq a_k r_{m-1}^k c^{-s_{m-1}} = a_k r_m^k c^{k-s_{m-1}} \geq c a_k r_m^k.$$

It yields that  $s_m \leq s_{m-1}$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, we can rewrite

$$\mu_0 = \max\{a_k r_0^k: k \leq n_0\}, \quad \mu_m = \max\{a_k r_m^k: k \leq s_{m-1}\}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let us introduce additional notations for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mu_0^* = \max\{a_k r_0^k: s_0 \neq k \leq n_0\}, \quad s_0^* = \min\{k: k \neq s_0, a_k r_0^k = \mu_0^*\},$$

$$\mu_m^* = \max\{a_k r_m^k: s_m \neq k \leq s_{m-1}\}, \quad s_m^* = \min\{k: k \neq s_m, a_k r_m^k = \mu_m^*\}.$$

We will show that there exists  $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that

$$(8) \quad \frac{\mu_{m_0}^*}{\mu_{m_0}} \leq \frac{1}{c}.$$

Suppose that for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  the inequality

$$(9) \quad \frac{\mu_m^*}{\mu_m} > \frac{1}{c}$$

holds. If  $s_m^* < s_m$  ( $s_m^* \neq s_m$  in view of definition) then we have

$$a_{s_m^*} r_{m+1}^{s_m^*} = \frac{a_{s_m^*} r_m^{s_m^*}}{c^{s_m^*}} = \frac{\mu_m^*}{c^{s_m^*}} > \frac{\mu_m}{c^{s_m^*+1}} = \frac{a_{s_m} r_m^{s_m}}{c^{s_m^*+1}} = \frac{a_{s_m} r_{m+1}^{s_m}}{c^{s_m^*+1-s_m}} \geq a_{s_m} r_{m+1}^{s_m}.$$

Besides, for every  $k > s_m^*$ ,  $k \neq s_m$ , (i. e.,  $k - 1 \geq s_m^*$ ) it can be deduced similarly that

$$a_{s_m^*} r_{m+1}^{s_m^*} = \frac{a_{s_m^*} r_m^{s_m^*}}{c^{s_m^*}} \geq \frac{a_k r_m^k}{c^{s_m^*}} \geq \frac{a_k r_m^k}{c^{k-1}} = c a_k r_{m+1}^k.$$

Hence,  $a_{s_m^*} r_{m+1}^{s_m^*} > a_k r_{m+1}^k$  for all  $k > s_m^*$ . Then

$$(10) \quad s_{m+1} \leq s_m^* \leq s_m - 1.$$

On the contrary, if  $s_m < s_m^* \leq s_{m-1}$ , then the equality  $s_{m+1} = s_m$  may hold. Indeed, by definition  $s_{m+1} \leq s_m$ . It means that the specified equality is possible. But if  $s_{m+1} < s_m$  then  $s_{m+1} \leq s_m - 1$  (they are natural numbers!). Hence, we obtain (10).

Thus, the inequalities  $s_{m+1}^* \leq s_m$  and  $s_m^* \neq s_{m+1}$  imply that  $s_{m+1}^* < s_{m+1}$ . As above instead of (10) we have

$$s_{m+2} \leq s_{m+1}^* \leq s_{m+1} - 1 = s_m - 1.$$

Therefore, if for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  (9) holds, then for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  either  $s_{m+2} \leq s_{m+1} \leq s_m - 1$  or  $s_{m+2} \leq s_m - 1$  holds, that is  $s_{m+2} \leq s_m - 1$ , because  $s_{m+2} \leq s_{m+1}$ . It follows that

$$s_m \leq s_{m-2} - 1 \leq \dots \leq s_{m-2[m/2]} - [m/2] \leq s_0 - [m/2] \leq n_0 - [m/2] \leq N - [m/2].$$

In other words,  $s_m < 0$  for  $m > 2N + 1$ , which is impossible. Therefore, there exists  $m_0 \leq 2N + 1$  such that (8) holds. We put  $r = r_{m_0}$ ,  $\eta(d) = \frac{d}{(d+1)c^2(N+1)}$ ,  $p = N$  and  $k_0 = s_{m_0}$ . Then for all  $\|J\| \neq k_0 = s_{m_0}$  in  $\mathbb{T}^2((z_0, w_0), \frac{r_1}{L(z_0, w_0)})$ , in view (7) and (8) we obtain

$$|b_J| |(z - z_0)^{j_1} (w - w_0)^{j_2}| = a_J^* r^{\|J\|} \leq a_{\|J\|} r^{\|J\|} \leq \frac{1}{c} a_{s_{m_0}} r_{m_0}^{s_{m_0}} = \frac{1}{c} a_{k_0} r^{k_0}.$$

Thus, for  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2((z_0, w_0), \frac{r_1}{L(z_0, w_0)})$

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{\|J\| \neq k_0} b_J (z - z_0)^{j_1} (w - w_0)^{j_2} \right| &\leq \sum_{\|J\| \neq k_0} a_j^* r^{\|J\|} \leq \sum_{\substack{k=0, \\ k \neq k_0}}^{\infty} a_k C_{k+1}^k r^k = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k=0, \\ k \neq s_{m_0}}}^{s_{m_0}-1} a_k C_{k+1}^k r^k + \sum_{k=s_{m_0}-1+1}^{\infty} a_k C_{k+1}^k r^k. \end{aligned}$$

We will estimate two sums in (11). From (8) it follows that  $\mu_{m_0}^* \leq \frac{1}{c} \mu_{m_0}$  or

$$\max\{a_k r_{m_0}^k : k \neq s_{m_0}, k \leq s_{m_0-1}\} \leq \frac{1}{c} \max\{a_k r_{m_0}^k : k \neq s_{m_0}, k \leq s_{m_0-1}\},$$

i. e.  $a_k r^k \leq \frac{1}{c} a_{k_0} r^{k_0}$ . Taking into account (10), it can be deduced that

$$(12) \quad \sum_{\substack{k=0, \\ k \neq s_{m_0}}}^{s_{m_0}-1} a_k C_{k+1}^k r^k \leq \frac{a_{k_0} r^{k_0}}{c} \sum_{k=0}^N C_{k+1}^k \leq \frac{a_{k_0} r^{k_0}}{c} (N+1) C_{N+1}^N.$$

For all  $k \geq s_{m_0-1} + 1$   $a_k r_{m_0-1}^k \leq \mu_{m_0-1}$  holds. Then  $a_k r_{m_0}^k = \frac{a_k r_{m_0-1}^k}{c^k} \leq \frac{\mu_{m_0-1}}{c^k}$ . In view of (8) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=s_{m_0-1}+1}^{\infty} a_k C_{k+1}^k r^k \leq \mu_{m_0-1} \sum_{k=s_{m_0-1}+1}^{\infty} C_{k+1}^k \frac{1}{c^k} \leq \\ & \leq a_{s_{m_0-1}} r_{m_0}^{s_{m_0}-1} c^{s_{m_0}-1} \sum_{k=s_{m_0-1}+1}^{\infty} (k+1)(k+2) \frac{1}{c^k} \leq \\ & \leq \frac{a_{s_{m_0}} r^{s_{m_0}}}{c} c^{s_{m_0}-1} \left( \sum_{k=s_{m_0-1}+1}^{\infty} x^{k+2} \right)^{(2)} \Big|_{x=\frac{1}{c}} = \frac{a_{k_0} r^{k_0}}{c} c^{s_{m_0}-1} \left\{ \frac{x^{s_{m_0-1}+3}}{1-x} \right\}^{(2)} \Big|_{x=\frac{1}{c}} = \\ & = \frac{a_{k_0} r^{k_0}}{c} c^{s_{m_0}-1} \sum_{j=0}^n C_2^j (2-j)! (s_{m_0-1}+3) \dots (s_{m_0-1}-j+4) \times \\ & \times \frac{x^{s_{m_0-1}+3-j}}{(1-x)^{3-j}} \Big|_{x=\frac{1}{c}} \leq \frac{a_{k_0} r^{k_0}}{c} c^{s_{m_0}-1} 2! (N+3)! \sum_{j=0}^2 \frac{(1/c)^{s_{m_0-1}+3-j}}{(1-1/c)^{3-j}} = \\ (13) \quad & = 2! (N+3)! \frac{a_{k_0} r^{k_0}}{c} \sum_{j=0}^2 \frac{1}{(c-1)^{3-j}} \leq 3! (N+3)! \frac{a_{k_0} r^{k_0}}{c}, \end{aligned}$$

because  $c \geq 2$ . Hence, from (11)–(13) it follows that

$$\left| \sum_{\|J\| \neq k_0} b_J (z - z_0)^{j_1} (w - w_0)^{j_2} \right| \leq \frac{((N+1)C_{1+N}^N + 3!(N+3)!)a_{k_0} r^{k_0}}{c} \leq \frac{1}{2} a_{k_0} r^{k_0}.$$

It means that the polynomial  $P_{k_0}$  is a dominating polynomial in series (6) on the skeleton  $\mathbb{T}^2((z_0, w_0), \frac{r\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{L}(z_0, w_0)})$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\mathbf{L} \in Q(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C})$ . If there exist  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $d \in (0; 1]$ ,  $\eta \in (0; d)$  such that for each  $(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  and some  $R = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$  with  $r_j = r_j(d, (z_0, w_0)) \in (\eta, d)$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ , and certain  $k^0 = k^0(d, (z_0, w_0)) \leq p$  the polynomial  $p_{k_0}$  is a dominating polynomial in series (6) on  $\mathbb{T}^2((z_0, w_0), R/\mathbf{L}(z_0, w_0))$  then the analytic in  $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  function  $F$  has bounded  $\mathbf{L}$ -index in joint variables.

*Proof.* Suppose that there exist  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $d \leq 1$  and  $\eta \in (0; d)$  such that for each  $(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  and some  $R = (r_1, r_2)$  with  $r_j = r_j(d, (z_0, w_0)) \in (\eta, d)$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ , and  $k_0 = k_0(1, (z_0, w_0)) \leq p$  the polynomial  $P_{k_0}$  is a dominating polynomial in series (6)

on  $\mathbb{T}^2((z_0, w_0), \frac{R}{\mathbf{L}(z_0, w_0)})$ . Let us denote  $r_0 = \max\{r_1, r_2\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{j_1+j_2 \neq k_0} b_{j_1,j_2}(z-z_0)^{j_1}(w-w_0)^{j_2} \right| &= \left| F(z) - \sum_{j_1+j_2=k_0} b_{j_1,j_2}(z-z_0)^{j_1}(w-w_0)^{j_2} \right| \leqslant \\ &\leqslant \frac{a_{k_0} r_0^{k_0}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Cauchy's inequality we have

$$|b_{j_1,j_2}(z-z_0)^{j_1}(w-w_0)^{j_2}| = a_j^* R^J \leqslant \frac{a_{k_0} r_0^{k_0}}{2}$$

for all  $(j_1, j_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ ,  $j_1 + j_2 \neq k_0$ , that is for all  $j_1 + j_2 = k \neq k_0$

$$(14) \quad a_k r_1^{j_1} r_2^{j_2} \leqslant \frac{a_{k_0} r_0^{k_0}}{2}.$$

Suppose that  $F$  is not a function of bounded  $\mathbf{L}$ -index in joint variables. Then in view of Theorem 2 for all  $p_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $c \geqslant 1$  there exists  $(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  such that the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \frac{|F^{(j_1, j_2)}(z_0, w_0)|}{l_1^{j_1}(z_0, w_0) l_2^{j_2}(z_0, w_0)} : j_1 + j_2 = p_1 + 1 \right\} > \\ > c \max \left\{ \frac{|F^{(k_1, k_2)}(z_0, w_0)|}{l_1^{k_1}(z_0, w_0) l_2^{k_2}(z_0, w_0)} : k_1 + k_2 \leqslant p_1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

holds. We put  $p_1 = p$  and  $c = \left(\frac{(p+1)!}{\eta^{p+1}}\right)^2$ . Then for these  $z_0(p_1, c)$  and  $w_0(p_1, c)$

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \frac{|F^{(j_1, j_2)}(z_0, w_0)|}{j_1! j_2! l_1^{j_1}(z_0, w_0) l_2^{j_2}(z_0, w_0)} : j_1 + j_2 = p + 1 \right\} > \\ > \frac{1}{\eta^{p+1}} \max \left\{ \frac{|F^{(k_1, k_2)}(z_0, w_0)|}{k_1! k_2! l_1^{k_1}(z_0, w_0) l_2^{k_2}(z_0, w_0)} : k_1 + k_2 \leqslant p \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

that is  $a_{p+1} > \frac{a_{k_0}}{\eta^{p+1}}$ . Hence,  $a_{p+1} r_0^{p+1} > \frac{a_{k_0} r_0^{p+1}}{\eta^{p+1}} \geqslant a_{k_0} r^{k_0}$ . The last inequality contradicts (14). Therefore,  $F$  is of bounded  $\mathbf{L}$ -index in joint variables.  $\square$

### 3. ESTIMATES OF GROWTH OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS IN BALL

At first we prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** If  $\mathbf{L} \in Q(\mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{C})$  then for every fixed  $(z^*, w^*) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  one has  $l_1(z^* + z, w^*) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|z^* + z| \rightarrow 1 - 0$  and  $|w| l_2(z^*, w^* + w) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|w^* + w| \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* In view of (2) we have  $l_1(z^* + z, w^*) \geqslant \frac{\beta}{1 - |z^* + z|} \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $|z^* + z| \rightarrow 1 - 0$ .

The first part of the statement is proved.

Below we prove the second part, i.e.  $|w|l_2(z^*, w^* + w) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|w^* + w| \rightarrow \infty$ . On the contrary, if there exist a number  $C > 0$  and a sequence  $w_m$  such that  $|w_m|l_2(z^*, w^* + w_m) = k_m \leq C$ , i.e.  $|w_m| = \frac{k_m}{l_2(z^*, w^* + w_m)}$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{l_2(z^*, w^* + w_m)} l_2\left(z^*, w^* + w_m - \frac{k_m e^{i \arg w_m}}{l_2(z^*, w^* + w_m)}\right) = \frac{|w_m|}{k_m} l_2(z^*, w^*) \rightarrow +\infty,$$

as  $w_m \rightarrow \infty$ , because  $k_m$  is bounded and  $l_2(z^*, w^*)$  is finite. It means that  $\lambda_{2,2}(0, C) = +\infty$  and  $\mathbf{L} \notin Q(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C})$ .  $\square$

Denote

$$[0, 2\pi]^2 = [0, 2\pi] \times [0, 2\pi].$$

For  $R = (r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ ,  $\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in [0, 2\pi]^2$ ,  $A = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  we write

$$Re^{i\Theta} = (r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, r_2 e^{i\theta_2}), \quad \arg A = (\arg a_1, \arg a_2).$$

By  $K(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C})$  we denote the class of positive continuous functions  $\mathbf{L} = (l_1, l_2)$ , where  $l_j: \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  satisfy (2) and there exists  $c \geq 1$  such that for every  $R \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$  with  $|R| < 1$  and  $j \in \{1, 2\}$  one has

$$\max_{\Theta_1, \Theta_2 \in [0, 2\pi]^2} \frac{l_j(Re^{i\Theta_2})}{l_j(Re^{i\Theta_1})} \leq c.$$

If  $\mathbf{L}(z) = (l_1(|z_1|, |z_2|), l_2(|z_1|, |z_2|))$  then  $\mathbf{L} \in K(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C})$ . It is easy to prove that  $\frac{|e^z| + 1}{1 - |z|} \in Q(\mathbb{D}) \setminus K(\mathbb{D})$ , but  $\frac{e^{|z|}}{1 - |z|} \in K(\mathbb{D}) \setminus Q(\mathbb{D})$ . Similarly, the function  $|e^z| + 1 \in Q(\mathbb{C}) \setminus K(\mathbb{C})$ , but  $e^{|z|} \in K(\mathbb{C}) \setminus Q(\mathbb{C})$ . Therefore, the vector-function  $(\frac{|e^z| + 1}{1 - |z|}, |e^w| + 1)$  belongs to the class  $Q(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C})$ , but another vector-function  $(\frac{e^{|z|}}{1 - |z|}, e^{|w|})$  belongs to the class  $K(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}) \setminus Q(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C})$ .

Besides, if  $\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_2 \in K(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C})$  then  $\mathbf{L}_1 + \mathbf{L}_2 \in K(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathbf{L}_1 \mathbf{L}_2 \in K(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C})$ . For simplicity, let us write  $M(F, R) = \max\{|F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2(\mathbf{0}, R)\}$ , where  $r_1 \in [0, 1)$  and  $r_2 \geq 0$ . Denote  $\beta = (\frac{\beta}{c}, 2)$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let  $\mathbf{L} \in Q(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}) \cap K(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C})$ ,  $\beta > c$ . If an analytic function  $F: \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has bounded  $\mathbf{L}$ -index in joint variables, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \ln \max\{|F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2(\mathbf{0}, R)\} = \\ & = O\left(\min_{\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^2} \left( \int_0^{r_1} l_1(te^{i\theta_1}, r_2 e^{i\theta_2}) dt + \int_0^{r_2} l_2(r_1^0, t) dt \right); \right. \\ & \left. \min_{\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^2} \left( \int_0^{r_1} l_1(te^{i\theta_1}, r_2 e^{i\theta_2}) dt + \int_0^{r_2} l_2(r_1^0, t) dt \right) \right\} \quad \text{as } r_1 \rightarrow 1 - 0, r_2 \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $R^0 = (r_1^0, r_2^0)$  is a fixed radius,  $r_1 \in (0, 1)$ ,  $r_2^0 > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $R > 0$  with  $r_1 \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^2$  and the point  $(z^*, w^*) \in \mathbb{T}^2(\mathbf{0}, R + \frac{\beta}{\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})})$  be such that

$$|F(z^*, w^*)| = \max \left\{ |F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \left( \mathbf{0}, R + \frac{\beta}{\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})} \right) \right\}.$$

Denote  $z_0 = \frac{z^* r_1}{r_1 + \beta/(cl_1(Re^{i\Theta}))}$ ,  $w_0 = \frac{w^* r_2}{r_2 + 2/l_2(Re^{i\Theta})}$ . Then

$$|z_0 - z^*| = \left| \frac{z^* r_1}{r_1 + \beta/(cl_1(Re^{i\Theta}))} - z^* \right| = \left| \frac{z^* \beta/(cl_1(Re^{i\Theta}))}{r_1 + \frac{\beta}{cl_1(Re^{i\Theta})}} \right| = \frac{\beta}{cl_1(Re^{i\Theta})},$$

$$|w_0 - w^*| = \left| \frac{w^* r_2}{r_2 + \frac{2}{l_2(Re^{i\Theta})}} - w^* \right| = \left| \frac{2w^*/(l_2(Re^{i\Theta}))}{r_2 + \frac{2}{l_2(Re^{i\Theta})}} \right| = \frac{2}{l_2(Re^{i\Theta})},$$

$$\mathbf{L}(z_0, w_0) = \mathbf{L} \left( \frac{(z^*, w^*)R}{R + \beta/\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})} \right) = \mathbf{L} \left( \frac{(R + \beta/\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta}))e^{i \arg(z^*, w^*)}R}{R + \beta/\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})} \right) = \mathbf{L}(Re^{i \arg z^*}).$$

Since  $\mathbf{L} \in K(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C})$ , we have that

$$c\mathbf{L}(z_0, w_0) = c\mathbf{L}(Re^{i \arg(z^*, w^*)}) \geq \mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta}) \geq \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{L}(z^0).$$

We consider two skeletons  $\mathbb{T}^2((z_0, w_0), \frac{1}{\mathbf{L}(z_0, w_0)})$  and  $\mathbb{T}^2((z^0, w_0), \frac{\beta}{\mathbf{L}(z_0, w_0)})$ . By Theorem 1 there exists  $p_1 = p_1(\frac{1}{c}, c\beta) \geq 1$  such that (4) holds with  $R' = \frac{1}{c}$ ,  $R'' = c\beta$ , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max \left\{ |F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \left( \mathbf{0}, R + \frac{\beta}{\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})} \right) \right\} &= |F(z^*, w^*)| \leq \\
 &\leq \max \left\{ |F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \left( (z_0, w_0), \frac{\beta}{\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})} \right) \right\} \leq \\
 &\leq \max \left\{ |F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \left( (z_0, w_0), \frac{c\beta}{\mathbf{L}(z^0)} \right) \right\} \leq \\
 &\leq p_1 \max \left\{ |F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \left( (z_0, w_0), \frac{1}{c\mathbf{L}(z^0)} \right) \right\} \leq \\
 (15) \quad &\leq p_1 \max \left\{ |F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \left( \mathbf{0}, R + \frac{1}{\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})} \right) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The function  $\ln^+ \max\{|F(z, w)| : z \in \mathbb{T}^2(\mathbf{0}, R)\}$  is a convex function of the variables  $\ln r_1$ ,  $\ln r_2$  (see [20, p. 84]). Hence, the function admits a representation

$$\begin{aligned}
 (16) \quad &\ln^+ \max\{|F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2(\mathbf{0}, R)\} - \\
 &- \ln^+ \max\{|F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2(\mathbf{0}, (r_1^0, r_2))\} = \int_{r_1^0}^{r_1} \frac{A_1(t, r_2)}{t} dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (17) \quad &\ln^+ \max\{|F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2(\mathbf{0}, R)\} - \\
 &- \ln^+ \max\{|F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2(\mathbf{0}, (r_1, r_2^0))\} = \int_{r_2^0}^{r_2} \frac{A_2(r_1, t)}{t} dt
 \end{aligned}$$

for arbitrary  $0 < r_j^0 \leqslant r_j$ , where the functions  $A_1(t, r_2)$ ,  $A_2(r_1, t)$  are positive non-decreasing in variable  $t$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ .

Using (15) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ln p_1 &\geq \ln \max \left\{ |F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \left( \mathbf{0}, R + \frac{\beta}{\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})} \right) \right\} - \\
 &\quad - \ln \max \left\{ |F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \left( \mathbf{0}, R + \frac{1}{\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})} \right) \right\} = \\
 &= \ln \max \left\{ |F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \left( \mathbf{0}, R + \frac{\beta}{\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})} \right) \right\} - \\
 &\quad - \ln \max \left\{ |F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \left( \mathbf{0}, R + \frac{(\beta/c, 1)}{\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})} \right) \right\} + \\
 &\quad + \ln \max \left\{ |F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \left( \mathbf{0}, R + \frac{(\beta/c, 1)}{\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})} \right) \right\} - \\
 &\quad - \ln \max \left\{ |F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \left( \mathbf{0}, R + \frac{1}{\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})} \right) \right\} = \\
 &= \int_{r_1+1/l_1(Re^{i\Theta})}^{r_1+\beta/(cl_1(Re^{i\Theta}))} \frac{1}{t} A_1 \left( t, r_2 + \frac{1}{l_2(Re^{i\Theta})} \right) dt + \\
 &\quad + \int_{r_2+1/l_2(Re^{i\Theta})}^{r_2+2/(l_2(Re^{i\Theta}))} \frac{1}{t} A_2 \left( r_1 + \frac{\beta/c}{l_1(Re^{i\Theta})}, t \right) dt \geqslant \\
 &\geqslant \ln \left( 1 + \frac{\beta/c - 1}{r_1 l_1(Re^{i\Theta}) + 1} \right) A_1 \left( r_1, r_2 + \frac{1}{l_2(Re^{i\Theta})} \right) + \\
 &\quad + \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{r_2 l_2(Re^{i\Theta}) + 1} \right) A_2 \left( r_1 + \frac{\beta/c}{l_1(Re^{i\Theta})}, r_2 \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

By Lemma 1 the function  $r_1 l_1(Re^{i\Theta}) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $r_1 \rightarrow 1 - 0$  and  $r_2 l_2(Re^{i\Theta}) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $r_2 \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, for  $r_j \geqslant r_j^0$

$$\ln \left( 1 + \frac{\frac{\beta}{c} - 1}{r_1 l_1(Re^{i\Theta}) + 1} \right) \sim \frac{\frac{\beta}{c} - 1}{r_1 l_1(Re^{i\Theta}) + 1} \geqslant \frac{\frac{\beta}{c} - 1}{2r_1 l_1(Re^{i\Theta})}, \quad r_1 \rightarrow 1 - 0,$$

$$\ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{r_2 l_2(Re^{i\Theta}) + 1} \right) \sim \frac{1}{r_2 l_2(Re^{i\Theta}) + 1} \geqslant \frac{1}{2r_2 l_2(Re^{i\Theta})}, \quad r_2 \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, inequality (18) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_1 \left( r_1, r_2 + \frac{1}{l_2(Re^{i\Theta})} \right) &\leqslant \frac{2 \ln p_1}{\beta/c - 1} r_1 l_1(Re^{i\Theta}), \\
 A_2 \left( r_1 + \frac{\beta/c}{l_1(Re^{i\Theta})}, r_2 \right) &\leqslant 2 \ln p_1 r_2 l_2(Re^{i\Theta}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $R^0 = (r_1^0, r_2^0)$ , where every  $r_j^0$  is chosen above. Applying (16) and (17) we obtain consequently with  $\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0)$ ,  $\mathbf{e}_2 = (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \ln \max\{|F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2(\mathbf{0}, R)\} = \\
 &= \ln \max\{|F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2(\mathbf{0}, R + (r_1^0 - r_1)\mathbf{e}_1)\} + \int_{r_1^0}^{r_1} \frac{A_1(t, r_2)}{t} dt = \\
 &= \ln \max\{|F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2(\mathbf{0}, R + (r_1^0 - r_1)\mathbf{e}_1 + (r_2^0 - r_2)\mathbf{e}_2)\} + \\
 &\quad + \int_{r_1^0}^{r_1} \frac{A_1(t, r_2)}{t} dt + \int_{r_2^0}^{r_2} \frac{A_2(r_1^0, t)}{t} dt = \\
 &= \ln \max\{|F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2(\mathbf{0}, R^0)\} + \int_{r_1^0}^{r_1} \frac{A_1(t, r_2)}{t} dt + \int_{r_2^0}^{r_2} \frac{A_2(r_1^0, t)}{t} dt \leqslant \\
 &\leqslant \ln \max\{|F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2(\mathbf{0}, R^0)\} + \\
 &\quad + \frac{2 \ln p_1}{\beta - 1} \left( \int_{r_1^0}^{r_1} l_1(t, r_2) dt + \int_{r_2^0}^{r_2} l_2(r_1^0, t) dt \right) \leqslant \\
 &\leqslant \ln \max\{|F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2(\mathbf{0}, R^0)\} + \\
 &\quad + \frac{2 \ln p_1}{\beta/c - 1} \left( \int_0^{r_1} l_1(t, r_2) dt + 2 \ln p_1 \int_0^{r_2} l_2(r_1^0, t) dt \right) \leqslant \\
 &\leqslant (1+o(1)) \frac{2 \ln p_1}{\beta - 1} \left( \int_0^{r_1} l_1(t, r_2) dt + \int_0^{r_2} l_2(r_1^0, t) dt \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

The function  $\ln \max\{|F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2(\mathbf{0}, R)\}$  is independent of  $\Theta$ . Thus, the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \ln \max\{|F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2(\mathbf{0}, R)\} = \\
 &= O \left( \min_{\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^2} \left( \int_0^{r_1} l_1(te^{i\theta_1}, r_2 e^{i\theta_2}) dt + \int_0^{r_2} l_2(r_1^0, t) dt \right) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

holds as  $r_1 \rightarrow 1 - 0$  and  $r_2 \rightarrow \infty$ . Obviously, the similar equality can be proved for arbitrary permutation  $\sigma_2$  of the set  $\{1, 2\}$ . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \ln \max\{|F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2(\mathbf{0}, R)\} = \\
 &= O \left( \min_{\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^2} \left( \int_0^{r_2} l_1(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, te^{i\theta_2}) dt + \int_0^{r_2} l_2(te^{i\theta_1}, r_2^0 e^{i\theta_2}) dt \right) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, estimate (5) holds. Theorem 5 is proved.  $\square$

Let us denote  $a^+ = \max\{a, 0\}$ ,  $u_j(t) = u_j(t, R, \Theta) = l_j(\frac{tR}{r^*} e^{i\Theta})$ , where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t \in [0, r^*]$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $r^* = \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2} r_j \neq 0$  and  $R = (r_1, r_2)$  with  $r_1 \in [0, 1]$ ,  $r_2 > 0$ . All the following results are analogs of results from [6, 11], obtained for entire functions for functions which are analytic in the unit ball. We repeat considerations from these papers with another conditions by  $R$ .

**Theorem 6.** Let  $\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})$  be a positive continuously differentiable function in each variable  $r_k$ ,  $k \in \{1, 2\}$ , and  $R = (r_1, r_2)$  with  $r_1 \in [0, 1]$ ,  $r_2 > 0$ ,  $\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^2$ . If the function  $\mathbf{L}$  satisfies (2) and an analytic function  $F : \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has bounded  $\mathbf{L}$ -index  $N = N(F, \mathbf{L})$  in joint variables then for every  $\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^2$  and for every  $R = (r_1, r_2)$  with  $r_1 \in [0, 1]$ ,  $r_2 > 0$ , and for each  $S \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$  one has

$$(19) \quad \ln \max \left\{ \frac{|F^{(S)}(Re^{i\Theta})|}{S! \mathbf{L}^S(Re^{i\Theta})} : \|S\| \leq N \right\} \leq \ln \max \left\{ \frac{|F^{(S)}(\mathbf{0})|}{S! \mathbf{L}^S(\mathbf{0})} : \|S\| \leq N \right\} + \\ + \int_0^{r^*} \left( \max_{\|S\| \leq N} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{r_j}{r^*} (k_j + 1) l_j \left( \frac{\tau}{r^*} Re^{i\Theta} \right) \right\} + \max_{\|S\| \leq N} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{k_j (-u'_j(\tau))^+}{l_j \left( \frac{\tau}{r^*} Re^{i\Theta} \right)} \right\} \right) d\tau.$$

*Proof.* Let  $R \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ ,  $\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^2$ . Denote  $\alpha_j = \frac{r_j}{r^*}$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $A = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ . We consider the function

$$(20) \quad g(t) = \max \left\{ \frac{|F^{(S)}(At e^{i\Theta})|}{S! \mathbf{L}^S(At e^{i\Theta})} : \|S\| \leq N \right\},$$

where  $At = (\alpha_1 t, \alpha_2 t)$ ,  $At e^{i\Theta} = (\alpha_1 t e^{i\theta_1}, \alpha_2 t e^{i\theta_2})$ .

Since the function  $\frac{|F^{(S)}(At e^{i\Theta})|}{K! \mathbf{L}^K(At e^{i\Theta})}$  is continuously differentiable by real  $t \in [0, +\infty)$ , outside the zero set of the function  $|F^{(S)}(At e^{i\Theta})|$ , the function  $g(t)$  is a continuously differentiable function on  $[0, r^*)$ , except, perhaps, for a countable set of points.

Therefore, using the inequality  $\frac{d}{dr}|g(r)| \leq |g'(r)|$  which holds except for the points  $r = t$  such that  $g(t) = 0$ , we deduce

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{|F^{(S)}(At e^{i\Theta})|}{S! \mathbf{L}^S(At e^{i\Theta})} \right) &= \frac{1}{S! \mathbf{L}^S(At e^{i\Theta})} \frac{d}{dt} |F^{(S)}(At e^{i\Theta})| + \\ &+ |F^{(S)}(At e^{i\Theta})| \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{S! \mathbf{L}^S(At e^{i\Theta})} \leq \frac{1}{S! \mathbf{L}^S(At e^{i\Theta})} \left| \sum_{j=1}^2 F^{(S+\mathbf{e}_j)}(At e^{i\Theta}) \alpha_j e^{i\theta_j} \right| - \\ &- \frac{|F^{(S)}(At e^{i\Theta})|}{S! \mathbf{L}^S(At e^{i\Theta})} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{k_j u'_j(t)}{l_j(At e^{i\Theta})} \leq \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{|F^{(S+\mathbf{e}_j)}(At e^{i\Theta})|}{(S+\mathbf{1}_j)! \mathbf{L}^{S+\mathbf{e}_j}(At e^{i\Theta})} \alpha_j (k_j + 1) l_j(At e^{i\Theta}) + \\ &+ \frac{|F^{(S)}(At e^{i\Theta})|}{S! \mathbf{L}^S(At e^{i\Theta})} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{k_j (-u'_j(t))^+}{l_j(At e^{i\Theta})}. \end{aligned}$$

For absolutely continuous functions  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k$  and  $h(x) := \max\{h_j(z) : 1 \leq j \leq k\}$ ,  $h'(x) \leq \max\{h'_j(x) : 1 \leq j \leq k\}$ ,  $x \in [a, b]$  (see [18, Lemma 4.1, p. 81]). The function  $g$  is

absolutely continuous, therefore, from (21) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 g'(t) &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{|F^{(S)}(Ate^{i\Theta})|}{S! \mathbf{L}^S(Ate^{i\Theta})} \right) : \|S\| \leq N \right\} \leq \\
 &\leq \max_{\|S\| \leq N} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{\alpha_j(s_j+1)l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})|F^{(S+\mathbf{e}_j)}(Ate^{i\Theta})|}{(K+\mathbf{1}_j)! \mathbf{L}^{K+\mathbf{e}_j}(Ate^{i\Theta})} + \frac{|F^{(S)}(Ate^{i\Theta})|}{S! \mathbf{L}^S(Ate^{i\Theta})} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{s_j(-u'_j(t))^+}{l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})} \right\} \leq \\
 &\leq g(t) \left( \max_{\|S\| \leq N} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^2 \alpha_j(s_j+1)l_j(Ate^{i\Theta}) \right\} + \max_{\|S\| \leq N} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{s_j(-u'_j(t))^+}{l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})} \right\} \right) = \\
 &= g(t)(\beta(t) + \gamma(t)),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\beta(t) = \max_{\|S\| \leq N} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^2 \alpha_j(s_j+1)l_j(Ate^{i\Theta}) \right\}, \quad \gamma(t) = \max_{\|S\| \leq N} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{s_j(-u'_j(t))^+}{l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})} \right\}.$$

Thus,  $\frac{d}{dt} \ln g(t) \leq \beta(t) + \gamma(t)$  and

$$(22) \quad g(t) \leq g(0) \exp \int_0^t (\beta(\tau) + \gamma(\tau)) d\tau,$$

because  $g(0) \neq 0$ . But  $r^* A = R$ . Substituting  $t = r^*$  in (22) and taking into account (20), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ln \max \left\{ \frac{|F^{(S)}(Re^{i\Theta})|}{S! \mathbf{L}^S(Re^{i\Theta})} : \|S\| \leq N \right\} &\leq \ln \max \left\{ \frac{|F^{(S)}(\mathbf{0})|}{S! \mathbf{L}^S(\mathbf{0})} : \|S\| \leq N \right\} + \\
 &+ \int_0^{r^*} \left( \max_{\|S\| \leq N} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^2 \alpha_j(s_j+1)l_j(A\tau e^{i\Theta}) \right\} + \max_{\|S\| \leq N} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{s_j(-u'_j(\tau))^+}{l_j(A\tau e^{i\Theta})} \right\} \right) d\tau,
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e. (19) is proved.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.** Let  $\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})$  be a positive continuously differentiable function in each variable  $r_k$ ,  $k \in \{1, 2\}$ , and  $R = (r_1, r_2)$  with  $r_1 \in [0, 1]$ ,  $r_2 > 0$ ,  $\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^2$ . If the function  $\mathbf{L}$  satisfies (2) and an analytic function  $F$  in  $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  has bounded  $\mathbf{L}$ -index  $N = N(F, \mathbf{L})$  in joint variables and there exists  $C > 0$  such that the function  $\mathbf{L}$  satisfies inequalities

$$(23) \quad \sup_{|R| < 1} \max_{t \in [0, r^*]} \max_{\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^2} \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2} \frac{(-(u_j(t, R, \Theta)))'_t^+}{\frac{r_j}{r^*} l_j^2(\frac{t}{r^*} Re^{i\Theta})} \leq C,$$

then

$$(24) \quad \overline{\lim}_{r_1 \rightarrow 1^- 0, r_2 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \max \{ |F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2(\mathbf{0}, R) \}}{\max_{\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^w} \int_0^1 \langle R, \mathbf{L}(\tau Re^{i\Theta}) \rangle d\tau} \leq (C+1)N + 1.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 1 if  $\mathbf{L}$  satisfies (2) then

$$(25) \quad \max_{\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^2} \int_0^1 \langle R, \mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta}) \rangle d\tau \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } r_1 \rightarrow 1 - 0 \text{ and } r_2 \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Denote  $\tilde{\beta}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \alpha_j l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})$ . If, in addition, (23) holds then for some  $S^*$ ,  $\|S^*\| \leq N$  and  $\tilde{S}$ ,  $\|\tilde{S}\| \leq N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\gamma(t)}{\tilde{\beta}(t)} &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{s_j^*(-u'_j(t))^+}{l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})}}{\sum_{j=1}^2 \alpha_j l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})} \leq \sum_{j=1}^2 s_j^* \frac{(-u'_j(t))^+}{\alpha_j l_j^2(Ate^{i\Theta})} \leq \sum_{j=1}^2 s_j^* \cdot C \leq NC, \\ \frac{\beta(t)}{\tilde{\beta}(t)} &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^2 \alpha_j (\tilde{s}_j + 1) l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})}{\sum_{j=1}^2 \alpha_j l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})} = 1 + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^2 \alpha_j \tilde{s}_j l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})}{\sum_{j=1}^2 \alpha_j l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})} \leq \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{j=1}^2 \tilde{s}_j \leq 1 + N. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$|F(Ate^{i\Theta})| \leq g(t) \leq g(0) \exp \int_0^t (\beta(\tau) + \gamma(\tau)) d\tau$$

and  $r^* A = R$ . Putting  $t = r^*$  and taking into account (25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\ln \max\{|F(z, w)| : (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2(\mathbf{0}, R)\} = \\ &= \ln \max_{\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^2} |F(Re^{i\Theta})| \leq \ln \max_{\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^2} g(r^*) \leq \\ &\leq \ln g(0) + \max_{\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^2} \int_0^{r^*} (\beta(\tau) + \gamma(\tau)) d\tau \leq \\ &\leq \ln g(0) + (NC + N + 1) \max_{\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^2} \int_0^{r^*} \tilde{\beta}(\tau) d\tau = \\ &= \ln g(0) + (NC + N + 1) \max_{\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^2} \int_0^{r^*} \sum_{j=1}^2 \alpha_j l_j(A\tau e^{i\Theta}) d\tau = \\ &= \ln g(0) + (NC + N + 1) \max_{\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^2} \int_0^{r^*} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{r_j}{r^*} l_j \left( \frac{\tau}{r^*} Re^{i\Theta} \right) d\tau = \\ &= \ln g(0) + (NC + N + 1) \max_{\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^2} \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^2 r_j l_j(\tau Re^{i\Theta}) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we conclude that (24) holds.  $\square$

**Theorem 8.** Let  $\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})$  be a positive continuously differentiable function in each variable  $r_k$ ,  $k \in \{1, 2\}$ , and  $R = (r_1, r_2)$  with  $r_1 \in [0, 1]$ ,  $r_2 > 0$ ,  $\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^2$ . If the function  $\mathbf{L}$  satisfies (2) and an analytic function  $F : \mathbb{D} \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has bounded  $\mathbf{L}$ -index  $N = N(F, \mathbf{L})$  in joint variables and

$$(26) \quad r^*(-(u_j(t, R, \Theta))'_{t=r^*})^+ / (r_j l_j^2(Re^{i\Theta})) \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly in all  $\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^2$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ , as  $r_1 \rightarrow 1 - 0$ ,  $r_2 \rightarrow +\infty$  then

$$(27) \quad \overline{\lim}_{|R| \rightarrow 1-0} \frac{\ln \max\{|F(z, w)|: (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2(\mathbf{0}, R)\}}{\max_{\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^2} \int_0^1 \langle R, \mathbf{L}(\tau Re^{i\Theta}) \rangle d\tau} \leq N + 1.$$

Estimate (27) can be deduced by analogy to the proof of Theorem 7.

Our main result in this section is the following

**Theorem 9.** Let  $\mathbf{L}(R) = (l_1(R), l_2(R))$ ,  $l_j(R)$  be a positive continuously differentiable non-decreasing function in each variable  $r_2$ ,  $k \in \{1, 2\}$ , and  $R = (r_1, r_2)$  with  $r_1 \in [0, 1]$ ,  $r_2 > 0$ . If the function  $\mathbf{L}$  satisfies (2) and an analytic function  $F : \mathbb{D} \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has bounded  $\mathbf{L}$ -index  $N = N(F, \mathbf{L})$  in joint variables then

$$\overline{\lim}_{r_1 \rightarrow 1-0, r_2 \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \max\{|F(z, w)|: (z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2(\mathbf{0}, R)\}}{\int_0^1 \langle R, \mathbf{L}(\tau R) \rangle d\tau} \leq N + 1.$$

This statement is a direct consequence of Theorem 8, which is obtained for a more general function  $\mathbf{L}$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. A. I. Bandura, O. B. Skaskiv, and V. L. Tsvigun, *Analytic functions in  $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  of bounded index in joint variables*, Prykarpatskyi Visn. Nauk. Tov. Im. Shevchenka. Chyslo. **1(45)** (2018), 9–16 (in Ukrainian).
2. A. I. Bandura, O. B. Skaskiv, and V. L. Tsvigun, *Analytic functions in  $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  of bounded  $\mathbf{L}$ -index in joint variables*, Mat. metody fiz.-mekh. polya. **60** (2017), no. 3, 115–121 (in Ukrainian).
3. A. I. Bandura, O. B. Skaskiv, and V. L. Tsvigun, *Some characteristic properties of analytic functions in  $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  of bounded  $\mathbf{L}$ -index in joint variables*, Bukovyn. Mat. Zh. **6** (2018), no. 1-2, 21–31. DOI: 10.31861/bmj2018.01.021
4. A. Bandura and O. Skaskiv, *Functions analytic in a unit ball of bounded  $\mathbf{L}$ -index in joint variables*, J. Math. Sci. **227** (2017), no. 1, 1–12. DOI: 10.1007/s10958-017-3570-6
5. A. Bandura and O. Skaskiv, *Analytic functions in the unit Ball. Bounded  $L$ -index in joint variables and solutions of systems of PDE's*. LAP Lambert Academic Publishing, Beau-Bassin, 2017.
6. A. I. Bandura and O. B. Skaskiv, *Analytic functions in the unit ball of bounded  $\mathbf{L}$ -index: asymptotic and local properties*, Mat. Stud. **48** (2017), no. 1, 37–73. DOI: 10.15330/ms.48.1.37-73
7. A. I. Bandura, M. T. Bordulyak, and O. B. Skaskiv, *Sufficient conditions of boundedness of  $L$ -index in joint variables*, Mat. Stud. **45** (2016), no. 1, 12–26. DOI: 10.15330/ms.45.1.12-26

8. A. Bandura and O. Skaskiv, *Entire functions of several variables of bounded index*, Lviv: Publisher I. E. Chyzhykov, 2016. <http://chyslo.com.ua>
9. A. I. Bandura, N. V. Petrechnko, and O. B. Skaskiv, *Analytic in a polydisc functions of bounded L-index in joint variables*, Mat. Stud. **46** (2016), no. 1, 72–80.  
DOI: 10.15330/ms.46.1.72-80
10. A. Bandura and N. Petrechnko, *Properties of power series expansion of entire function of bounded L-index in joint variables*, Visn. Lviv Univ. Ser. Mech. Math. Iss. **82** (2016), 27–33 (in Ukrainian).
11. A. Bandura and O. Skaskiv, *Asymptotic estimates of entire functions of bounded L-index in joint variables*, Novi Sad J. Math., **48** (2018), no. 1, 103–116.  
DOI: 10.30755/NSJOM.06997
12. A. I. Bandura and N. V. Petrechnko, *Properties of power series of analytic in a bidisc functions of bounded L-index in joint variables*, Carpathian Math. Publ. **9** (2017), no. 1, 6–12.  
DOI: 10.15330/cmp.9.1.6-12
13. V. O. Kushnir and M. M. Sheremeta, *Analytic functions of bounded l-index*, Mat. Stud. **12** (1999), no. 1, 59–66.
14. B. Lepson, *Differential equations of infinite order, hyperdirichlet series and entire functions of bounded index*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1968), 298–307.
15. F. Nuray and R. F. Patterson, *Multivalence of bivariate functions of bounded index*, Le Matematiche **70** (2015), no. 2, 225–233. DOI: 10.4418/2015.70.2.14
16. N. Petrechnko, *Bounded L-index in joint variables and analytic solutions of some systems of PDE's in bidisc*, Visn. Lviv Univ. Ser. Mech. Math. **83** (2017), 100–108.
17. M. Salmassi, *Functions of bounded indices in several variables*, Indian J. Math. **31** (1989), no. 3, 249–257.
18. M. Sheremeta, *Analytic functions of bounded index*, VNTL Publishers, Lviv, 1999.
19. S. N. Strochyk and M. M. Sheremeta, *Analytic in the unit disc functions of bounded index*, Dopov. Akad. Nauk Ukr. **1** (1993), 19–22 (in Ukrainian).
20. L. I. Ronkin, *Introduction to the theory of entire functions of several variables*, 1 ed. AMS, Providence, 1974.

Стаття: надійшла до редколегії 19.10.2019  
доопрацьована 22.10.2019  
прийнята до друку 13.11.2019

**ОЦІНКИ ЗРОСТАННЯ АНАЛІТИЧНИХ В  $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  ФУНКІЙ  
 ОБМЕЖЕНОГО L-ІНДЕКСУ ЗА СУКУПНІСТЮ ЗМІННИХ**

**Андрій БАНДУРА<sup>1</sup>, Володимир ЦВІГУН<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Івано-Франківський національний технічний університет,  
 вул. Університетська, 15, м. Івано-Франківськ, 76019  
 e-mail: andriykoranytsia@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Львівський національний університет імені Івана Франка,  
 вул. Університетська, 1, 79000, Львів  
 e-mail: 12ivan@ukr.net

Доведено деякі властивості розвинення у степеневий ряд аналітичних в  $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  функцій обмеженого L-індексу за сукупністю змінних, де  $\mathbf{L}(z, w) = (l_1(z, w), l_2(z, w))$  з  $l_j : \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  ( $j \in \{1, 2\}$ ) — додатні неперервні функції та  $l_1(z, w) > \beta/(1 - |z|)$  для всіх  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  і деякого  $\beta > 1$ . Навіть більше, отримано оцінки зростання функцій з цього класу. Вони описують поводження логарифма максимуму модуля аналітичних функцій на кістяку в бікрузі через поводження функції  $\mathbf{L}$ . Ці оцінки точні в загальному випадку. Подані результати ґрунтуються на вичерпанні бікругами декартового добутку одиничного круга та комплексної площини.

*Ключові слова:* аналітична функція, обмежений індекс за сукупністю змінних, одиничний круг, комплексна площа, декартів добуток, оцінки зростання.