

УДК 512.53

EXTENSION OF SEMIGROUPS BY SYMMETRIC INVERSE SEMIGROUPS OF A BOUNDED FINITE RANK

Oleg GUTIK, Oleksandra SOBOL

*Ivan Franko National University of Lviv,
Universitetska Str., 1, 79000, Lviv, Ukraine
e-mail: oleg.gutik@lnu.edu.ua, ovgutik@yahoo.com,
o.yu.sobol@gmail.com*

We study the semigroup extension $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ of a semigroup S by symmetric inverse semigroup of a bounded finite rank n . We describe idempotents and regular elements of the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ and show that the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ is regular, orthodox, inverse or stable if and only if so is S . Green's relations are described on the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ for an arbitrary monoid S . We introduce the conception of a semigroup with strongly tight ideal series, and prove that for any infinite cardinal λ and any positive integer n the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ has a strongly tight ideal series provided so has S . Finally, we show that for every compact Hausdorff semitopological monoid (S, τ_S) there exists its unique compact topological extension $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c)$ in the class of Hausdorff semitopological semigroups.

Key words: inverse semigroup, symmetric inverse semigroup of finite transformations, Green's relations, semigroup has a tight ideal series, semitopologica, semigroup, compact semigroup.

1. INTRODUCTION, MOTIVATION AND MAIN DEFINITIONS

In this paper we follow the terminology of [11, 31].

If S is a semigroup, then by $E(S)$ we denote the subset of all idempotents of S . On the set of idempotents $E(S)$ there exists the natural partial order: $e \leq f$ if and only if $ef = fe = e$.

A semigroup S is called:

- *regular*, if for every $a \in S$ there exists an element b in S such that $a = aba$;
- *orthodox*, if S is regular and $E(S)$ is a subsemigroup of S ;

- *inverse* if every a in S possesses a unique inverse, i.e. if there exists a unique element a^{-1} in S such that

$$aa^{-1}a = a \quad \text{and} \quad a^{-1}aa^{-1} = a^{-1}.$$

It is obvious that every inverse semigroup is orthodox and every orthodox semigroup is regular. A map which associates to any element of an inverse semigroup its inverse is called the *inversion*.

Let λ be an arbitrary non-zero cardinal. A map α from a subset D of λ into λ is called a *partial transformation* of X . In this case the set D is called the *domain* of α and is denoted by $\text{dom } \alpha$. Also, the set $\{x \in \lambda: y\alpha = x \text{ for some } y \in \lambda\}$ is called the *range* of α and is denoted by $\text{ran } \alpha$. The cardinality of $\text{ran } \alpha$ is called the *rank* of α and denoted by $\text{rank } \alpha$. For convenience we denote by \emptyset the empty transformation, that is a partial mapping with $\text{dom } \emptyset = \text{ran } \emptyset = \emptyset$.

Let \mathcal{I}_λ denote the set of all partial one-to-one transformations of λ together with the following semigroup operation:

$$x(\alpha\beta) = (x\alpha)\beta \quad \text{if} \quad x \in \text{dom}(\alpha\beta) = \{y \in \text{dom } \alpha: y\alpha \in \text{dom } \beta\}, \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda.$$

The semigroup \mathcal{I}_λ is called the *symmetric inverse semigroup* over the cardinal λ (see [11]). The symmetric inverse semigroup was introduced by V. V. Wagner [33] and it plays a major role in the theory of semigroups.

Put

$$\mathcal{I}_\lambda^\infty = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda: \text{rank } \alpha \text{ is finite}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda: \text{rank } \alpha \leq n\},$$

for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Obviously, $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^\infty$ and \mathcal{I}_λ^n ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) are inverse semigroups, $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^\infty$ is an ideal of \mathcal{I}_λ , and \mathcal{I}_λ^n is an ideal of $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^\infty$, for each $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Further, we shall call the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^\infty$ the *symmetric inverse semigroup of finite transformations* and \mathcal{I}_λ^n the *symmetric inverse semigroup of finite transformations of the rank $\leq n$* . The elements of semigroups $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^\infty$ and \mathcal{I}_λ^n are called *finite one-to-one transformations (partial bijections)* of the cardinal λ . By

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_n \\ y_1 & \dots & y_n \end{pmatrix}$$

we denote a partial one-to-one transformation which maps x_1 onto y_1, \dots, x_n onto y_n , and by 0 the empty transformation. Obviously, in such case we have $x_i \neq x_j$ and $y_i \neq y_j$ for $i \neq j$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, n$). The empty partial map $\emptyset: \lambda \rightarrow \lambda$ is denoted by 0 . It is obvious that 0 is zero of the semigroup \mathcal{I}_λ^n .

Let λ be a non-zero cardinal. On the set $B_\lambda = (\lambda \times \lambda) \cup \{0\}$, where $0 \notin \lambda \times \lambda$, we define the semigroup operation “ \cdot ” as follows

$$(a, b) \cdot (c, d) = \begin{cases} (a, d), & \text{if } b = c; \\ 0, & \text{if } b \neq c, \end{cases}$$

and $(a, b) \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot (a, b) = 0 \cdot 0 = 0$ for $a, b, c, d \in \lambda$. The semigroup B_λ is called the *semigroup of $\lambda \times \lambda$ -matrix units* (see [11]). Obviously, for any cardinal $\lambda > 0$, the semigroup of $\lambda \times \lambda$ -matrix units B_λ is isomorphic to \mathcal{I}_λ^1 .

Let S be a semigroup with zero and λ be a non-zero cardinal. We define the semi-group operation on the set $B_\lambda(S) = (\lambda \times S \times \lambda) \cup \{0\}$ as follows:

$$(\alpha, a, \beta) \cdot (\gamma, b, \delta) = \begin{cases} (\alpha, ab, \delta), & \text{if } \beta = \gamma; \\ 0, & \text{if } \beta \neq \gamma, \end{cases}$$

and $(\alpha, a, \beta) \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot (\alpha, a, \beta) = 0 \cdot 0 = 0$, for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \lambda$ and $a, b \in S$. If $S = S^1$ then the semigroup $B_\lambda(S)$ is called the *Brandt λ -extension of the semigroup S* [15, 19]. Obviously, if S has zero then $\mathcal{J} = \{0\} \cup \{(\alpha, 0_S, \beta) : 0_S \text{ is the zero of } S\}$ is an ideal of $B_\lambda(S)$. We put $B_\lambda^0(S) = B_\lambda(S)/\mathcal{J}$ and the semigroup $B_\lambda^0(S)$ is called the *Brandt λ^0 -extension of the semigroup S with zero* [22].

A *semitopological semigroup* is a Hausdorff topological space together with a separately continuous semigroup operation.

The Brandt λ -extension $B_\lambda(S)$ (or the Brandt λ^0 -extension $B_\lambda^0(S)$) of a semigroup S can be considered as some semigroup extension of the semigroup S by the semigroup of $\lambda \times \lambda$ -matrix units B_λ . An analogue of such extension gives the following construction.

2. THE CONSTRUCTION OF OF THE SEMIGROUP EXTENSION $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$

In this paper using the semigroup \mathcal{S}_λ^n we propose the following semigroup extension.

Construction 1. Let S be a semigroup, λ be a non-zero cardinal, n and k be a positive integers such that $k \leq n \leq \lambda$. We identify every element $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n$ with its graph $\text{Gr}(\alpha) \subset \lambda \times \lambda$ and put

$$\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) = \{\alpha_S : \text{Gr}(\alpha) \rightarrow S : \alpha \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n\}$$

and every map from the empty map 0 into S is identified with the empty map $\emptyset : \lambda \times \lambda \rightarrow S$ and denote it by 0 . An arbitrary element $0 \neq \text{rank } \alpha \leq n$ is denoted by

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_k \\ s_1 & \dots & s_k \\ y_1 & \dots & y_k \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_k \\ y_1 & \dots & y_k \end{pmatrix}$, and $((x_1, y_1)) \alpha = s_1, \dots, ((x_k, y_k)) \alpha = s_k$. Also for $\alpha_S \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ such that

$$\alpha_S = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_k \\ s_1 & \dots & s_k \\ y_1 & \dots & y_k \end{pmatrix}$$

we denote $\mathbf{d}(\alpha_S) = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ and $\mathbf{r}(\alpha_S) = \{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$.

Now, we define a binary operation “ \cdot ” on the set $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ in the following way:

- (i) $\alpha_S \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot \alpha_S = 0 \cdot 0 = 0$ for every $\alpha_S \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$;
- (ii) if $\alpha \cdot \beta = 0$ in \mathcal{S}_λ^n then $\alpha_S \cdot \beta_S = 0$ for any $\alpha_S, \beta_S \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$;
- (iii) if $\alpha_S = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix}$, $\beta_S = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_j \\ t_1 & \dots & t_j \\ d_1 & \dots & d_j \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$\alpha \cdot \beta = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_j \\ d_1 & \dots & d_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1} & \dots & a_{i_m} \\ d_{j_1} & \dots & d_{j_m} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n,$$

$$\text{then } \alpha_S \cdot \beta_S = \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1} & \dots & a_{i_m} \\ s_{i_1} t_{j_1} & \dots & s_{i_m} t_{j_m} \\ d_{j_1} & \dots & d_{j_m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Simple verifications show that the defined binary operation on $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ is associative and hence $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ is a semigroup. It is obvious that $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^1(S)$ is isomorphic to the Brandt λ -extension $B_\lambda(S)$ of the semigroup S .

We remark that if the semigroup S contains zero 0_S then

$$\mathcal{J}_0 = \{0\} \cup \left\{ \alpha_S = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ 0_S & \dots & 0_S \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} : 0_S \text{ is the zero of } S \right\}$$

is an ideal of $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$.

Also, we define a binary relation \equiv_0 on the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ in the following way. For $\alpha_S, \beta_S \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ we put $\alpha_S \equiv_0 \beta_S$ if and only if at least one of the following conditions holds:

- (1) $\alpha_S = \beta_S$;
- (2) $\alpha_S, \beta_S \in \mathcal{J}_0$;
- (3) $\alpha_S, \beta_S \notin \mathcal{J}_0$ and each of the conditions
 - (i) $(x, y)\alpha_S$ is determined and $(x, y)\alpha_S \neq 0_S$; and
 - (ii) $(x, y)\beta_S$ is determined and $(x, y)\beta_S \neq 0_S$
 implies the equality $(x, y)\alpha_S = (x, y)\beta_S$.

It is obvious that \equiv_0 is an equivalence relation on the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$.

The following proposition can be proved by immediate verifications.

Proposition 1. *The relation \equiv_0 is a congruence on the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$.*

We define $\overline{\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)} = \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S) / \equiv_0$.

In this paper we study algebraic properties of the semigroups $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)}$. We describe idempotents and regular elements of the semigroups $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)}$, show that the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ ($\overline{\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)}$) is regular, orthodox, inverse or stable if and only if so is S . Green's relations are described in the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ for an arbitrary monoid S . We introduce the conception of a semigroup with strongly tight ideal series, and proved that for any infinite cardinal λ and any positive integer n the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ has a strongly tight ideal series provides so has S . Finally, we show that for every compact Hausdorff semitopological monoid (S, τ_S) there exists its unique compact topological extension $(\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)})$ in the class of Hausdorff semitopological semigroups.

3. ALGEBRAIC PROPERTIES OF THE SEMIGROUP EXTENSIONS $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ AND $\overline{\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)}$

The following proposition describes the subset of idempotents of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$.

Proposition 2. *For every positive integer $i \leq n$ a non-zero element $\alpha_S = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix}$ of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ is an idempotent if and only if $a_1 = b_1, \dots, a_i = b_i$ and $s_1, \dots, s_i \in E(S)$.*

Proof. The implication (\Leftarrow) is trivial.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose that $\alpha_S \cdot \alpha_S = \alpha_S$. Then the definition of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ implies that the symbols a_1, \dots, a_i are distinct. Similarly we obtain that the symbols b_1, \dots, b_i are distinct, too. The above arguments and the equality $\alpha_S \cdot \alpha_S = \alpha_S$ imply that $\{a_1, \dots, a_i\} = \{b_1, \dots, b_i\}$. Assume that $a_k \neq b_k = a_l$ for some integers $k, l \in \{1, \dots, i\}$,

$k \neq l$. Then we have that $a_l \neq b_l \neq b_k$, which contradicts the equality $\alpha_S \cdot \alpha_S = \alpha_S$. The obtained contradiction implies the equalities $a_1 = b_1, \dots, a_i = b_i$. Now, we get that

$$\alpha_S \cdot \alpha_S = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ a_1 & \dots & a_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ a_1 & \dots & a_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 s_1 & \dots & s_i s_i \\ a_1 & \dots & a_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ a_1 & \dots & a_i \end{pmatrix} = \alpha_S,$$

and hence $s_1 s_1 = s_1, \dots, s_i s_i = s_i$. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Proposition 3. For every positive integer $i \leq n$ a non-zero element $\alpha_S = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix}$ of the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ is regular if and only if so are s_1, \dots, s_i in S .

Proof. The implication (\Leftarrow) is trivial. Indeed, $\alpha_S = \alpha_S \beta_S \alpha_S$ for $\beta_S = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_i \\ t_1 & \dots & t_i \\ a_1 & \dots & a_i \end{pmatrix}$, where elements t_1, \dots, t_i of the semigroup S are such that $s_1 = s_1 t_1 s_1, \dots, s_i = s_i t_i s_i$.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose that α_S is a regular element of the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$. Then there exists an element $\beta_S = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_k \\ t_1 & \dots & t_k \\ d_1 & \dots & d_k \end{pmatrix}$ of the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$, $0 < k \leq n$, such that $\alpha_S = \alpha_S \cdot \beta_S \cdot \alpha_S$. Now, this implies that $\{b_1, \dots, b_i\} \subseteq \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$ and hence $k \geq i$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $b_1 = c_1, \dots, b_i = c_i$. Then the equality $\alpha_S = \alpha_S \cdot \beta_S \cdot \alpha_S$ and the semigroup operation of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ imply that $d_1 = a_1, \dots, d_i = a_i$ and hence we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_S &= \alpha_S \cdot \beta_S \cdot \alpha_S = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_k \\ t_1 & \dots & t_k \\ d_1 & \dots & d_k \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_i & c_{i+1} & \dots & c_k \\ t_1 & \dots & t_i & t_{i+1} & \dots & t_k \\ a_1 & \dots & a_i & d_{i+1} & \dots & d_k \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 t_1 s_1 & \dots & s_i t_i s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the equalities $s_1 = s_1 t_1 s_1, \dots, s_i = s_i t_i s_i$ hold in S , which completes the proof of our proposition. \square

Two elements a and b of a semigroup S are said to be *inverses* of each other if

$$aba = a \quad \text{and} \quad bab = b.$$

The definition of the semigroup operation in $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ implies the following proposition.

Proposition 4. Let λ be a non-zero cardinal, n and i be any positive integers such that $i \leq n \leq \lambda$. Let S be a semigroup and $a_1, \dots, a_i, b_1, \dots, b_i \in \lambda$. If the elements s_1 and t_1, \dots, s_i and t_i are pairwise inverses of each other in S then the elements

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_i \\ t_1 & \dots & t_i \\ a_1 & \dots & a_i \end{pmatrix}$$

are pairwise inverses of each other in the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$.

For arbitrary semigroup S , every positive integer $i \leq n$, any collection non-empty subsets A_1, \dots, A_i of S , and any two collections of distinct elements $\{a_1, \dots, a_i\}$ and $\{b_1, \dots, b_i\}$ of the cardinal λ we define a subset

$$[A_1, \dots, A_i]_{(b_1, \dots, b_i)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_i)} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} : s_1 \in A_1, \dots, s_i \in A_i \right\}$$

of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$. In the case when $A_1 = \dots = A_i = A$ in S we put

$$[A]_{(b_1, \dots, b_i)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_i)} = [A_1, \dots, A_i]_{(b_1, \dots, b_i)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_i)}.$$

It is obvious that for every subset A of the semigroup S and any permutation $\sigma: \{1, \dots, i\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, i\}$ we have that

$$[A]_{(b_{(1)\sigma}, \dots, b_{(i)\sigma})}^{(a_{(1)\sigma}, \dots, a_{(i)\sigma})} = [A]_{(b_1, \dots, b_i)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_i)}.$$

Proposition 5. *Let λ be a non-zero cardinal and n be any positive integer $\leq \lambda$. Then for arbitrary semigroup S , every positive integer $i \leq n$ and any collection of distinct elements $\{a_1, \dots, a_i\}$ of λ the direct power S^i is isomorphic to a subsemigroup $S_{(a_1, \dots, a_i)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_i)}$ of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$.*

Proof. The semigroup operation of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ implies that $S_{a_1, \dots, a_i}^{a_1, \dots, a_i}$ is a subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ for any collection of distinct elements $\{a_1, \dots, a_i\}$ of λ . We define an isomorphism $\mathfrak{h}: S^i \rightarrow S_{(a_1, \dots, a_i)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_i)}$ by the formula $(s_1, \dots, s_i)\mathfrak{h} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ a_1 & \dots & a_i \end{pmatrix}$. \square

Proposition 6. *For every semigroup S , any non-zero cardinal λ and any positive integer $n \leq \lambda$ the following statements hold:*

- (i) $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ is regular if and only if so is S ;
- (ii) $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ is orthodox if and only if so is S ;
- (iii) $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ is inverse if and only if so is S .

Proof. Statement (i) follows from Proposition 3.

(ii) (\Leftarrow) Suppose that S is an orthodox semigroup. Then statement (i) implies that the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ is regular. By Proposition 2 every non-zero idempotent of the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ has the form $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ e_1 & \dots & e_i \\ a_1 & \dots & a_i \end{pmatrix}$, where $0 < i \leq n$ and e_1, \dots, e_i are idempotents of S . This implies that the product of two idempotents of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ is again an idempotent, and hence the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ is orthodox.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose that $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ is an orthodox semigroup. By Proposition 5, $S_{(a)}^{(a)}$ is a subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ for every $a \in \lambda$ and hence $S_{(a)}^{(a)}$ is orthodox. Then Proposition 5 implies the semigroup S is orthodox, too.

(iii) (\Leftarrow) Suppose that S is an inverse semigroup. By statement (i) the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ is regular. Then using Proposition 2 we get that idempotents commute in $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ and hence by Theorem 1.17 of [11], $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ is an inverse semigroup.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose that $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ is an inverse semigroup. By Proposition 5, $S_{(a)}^{(a)}$ is a subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ for every $a \in \lambda$, and by Proposition 4 it is an inverse subsemigroup. Hence by Proposition 5, S is an inverse semigroup. \square

Since any homomorphic image of a regular (resp., orthodox, inverse) semigroup is a regular (resp., orthodox, inverse) semigroup (see [11, Section 7.4] and [29, Lemma 2.2]), Proposition 6 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *For every semigroup S , any non-zero cardinal λ and any positive integer $n \leq \lambda$ the following statements hold:*

- (i) $\overline{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}$ is regular if and only if so is S ;

- (ii) $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda^n(S)$ is orthodox if and only if so is S ;
- (iii) $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda^n(S)$ is inverse if and only if so is S .

If S is a semigroup, then we shall denote by \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{J} , \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{H} the Green relations on S (see [13] or [11, Section 2.1]):

$$\begin{aligned} a\mathcal{R}b & \quad \text{if and only if} & \quad aS^1 = bS^1; \\ a\mathcal{L}b & \quad \text{if and only if} & \quad S^1a = S^1b; \\ a\mathcal{J}b & \quad \text{if and only if} & \quad S^1aS^1 = S^1bS^1; \\ \mathcal{D} & = \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}; \\ \mathcal{H} & = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. It is obvious that for non-zero elements $\alpha_S = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix}$ and $\beta_S = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_k \\ t_1 & \dots & t_k \\ d_1 & \dots & d_k \end{pmatrix}$ of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ any of conditions $\alpha_S\mathcal{R}\beta_S$, $\alpha_S\mathcal{L}\beta_S$, $\alpha_S\mathcal{D}\beta_S$, $\alpha_S\mathcal{J}\beta_S$, or $\alpha_S\mathcal{H}\beta_S$ implies the equality $i = k$.

The following proposition describes the Green relations on the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$.

Proposition 7. *Let S be a monoid, λ be any non-zero cardinal and $n \leq \lambda$. Let $\alpha_S = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix}$ and $\beta_S = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_i \\ t_1 & \dots & t_i \\ d_1 & \dots & d_i \end{pmatrix}$ be non-zero elements of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$. Then the following conditions hold:*

- (i) $\alpha_S\mathcal{R}\beta_S$ in $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ if and only if there exists a permutation $\sigma: \{1, \dots, i\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, i\}$ such that $a_1 = c_{(1)\sigma}, \dots, a_i = c_{(i)\sigma}$ and $s_1\mathcal{R}t_{(1)\sigma}, \dots, s_i\mathcal{R}t_{(i)\sigma}$ in S ;
- (ii) $\alpha_S\mathcal{L}\beta_S$ in $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ if and only if there exists a permutation $\sigma: \{1, \dots, i\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, i\}$ such that $b_1 = d_{(1)\sigma}, \dots, b_i = d_{(i)\sigma}$ and $s_1\mathcal{L}t_{(1)\sigma}, \dots, s_i\mathcal{L}t_{(i)\sigma}$ in S ;
- (iii) $\alpha_S\mathcal{D}\beta_S$ in $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ if and only if there exists a permutation $\sigma: \{1, \dots, i\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, i\}$ such that $s_1\mathcal{D}t_{(1)\sigma}, \dots, s_i\mathcal{D}t_{(i)\sigma}$ in S ;
- (iv) $\alpha_S\mathcal{H}\beta_S$ in $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ if and only if there exist permutations $\sigma, \rho: \{1, \dots, i\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, i\}$ such that $s_1\mathcal{R}t_{(1)\sigma}, \dots, s_i\mathcal{R}t_{(i)\sigma}$ and $s_1\mathcal{L}t_{(1)\rho}, \dots, s_i\mathcal{L}t_{(i)\rho}$ in S ;
- (v) $\alpha_S\mathcal{J}\beta_S$ in $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ if and only if there exists a permutation $\pi: \{1, \dots, i\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, i\}$ such that $s_1\mathcal{J}t_{(1)\pi}, \dots, s_i\mathcal{J}t_{(i)\pi}$ in S .

Proof. (i) (\Rightarrow) Suppose that $\alpha_S\mathcal{R}\beta_S$ in $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$. Then there exist non-zero elements $\gamma_S = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & \dots & g_j \\ u_1 & \dots & u_k \\ f_1 & \dots & f_k \end{pmatrix}$ and $\delta_S = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & \dots & g_j \\ v_1 & \dots & v_j \\ h_1 & \dots & h_j \end{pmatrix}$ of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ such that $\alpha_S = \beta_S\gamma_S$, $\beta_S = \alpha_S\delta_S$, $i \leq j \leq n$ and $i \leq k \leq n$. Also, the definition of the semigroup operation of $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ implies that without loss of generality we may assume that $j = k = i$. Then the equalities $\alpha_S = \beta_S\gamma_S$ and $\beta_S = \alpha_S\delta_S$ imply that $\{a_1, \dots, a_i\} = \{c_1, \dots, c_i\}$, $\{b_1, \dots, b_i\} = \{g_1, \dots, g_i\}$ and $\{d_1, \dots, d_i\} = \{e_1, \dots, e_i\}$. Now, the semigroup operation of $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ implies that there exist permutations $\sigma, \rho, \zeta: \{1, \dots, i\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, i\}$ such that $a_1 = c_{(1)\sigma}, \dots, a_i = c_{(i)\sigma}$, $d_1 = e_{(1)\rho}, \dots, d_i = e_{(i)\rho}$, and $b_1 = g_{(1)\zeta}, \dots, b_i = g_{(i)\zeta}$, and hence we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} & = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_i \\ t_1 & \dots & t_i \\ d_1 & \dots & d_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & \dots & e_i \\ u_1 & \dots & u_i \\ f_1 & \dots & f_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_i \\ t_1 & \dots & t_i \\ d_1 & \dots & d_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & \dots & d_i \\ u_{(1)\rho} & \dots & u_{(i)\rho} \\ f_{(1)\rho} & \dots & f_{(i)\rho} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_i \\ t_1 u_{(1)\rho} & \dots & t_i u_{(i)\rho} \\ f_{(1)\rho} & \dots & f_{(i)\rho} \end{pmatrix} = \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ t_{(1)\sigma} u_{((1)\rho)\sigma} & \dots & t_{(i)\sigma} u_{((i)\rho)\sigma} \\ f_{((1)\rho)\sigma} & \dots & f_{((i)\rho)\sigma} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_i \\ t_1 & \dots & t_i \\ d_1 & \dots & d_i \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & \dots & g_i \\ v_1 & \dots & v_i \\ h_1 & \dots & h_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_i \\ v_{(1)\zeta} & \dots & v_{(i)\zeta} \\ h_{(1)\zeta} & \dots & h_{(i)\zeta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 v_{(1)\zeta} & \dots & s_i v_{(i)\zeta} \\ h_{(1)\zeta} & \dots & h_{(i)\zeta} \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_i \\ s_{(1)\sigma^{-1}v_{((1)\zeta)\sigma^{-1}}} & \dots & s_{(i)\sigma^{-1}v_{((i)\zeta)\sigma^{-1}}} \\ h_{((1)\zeta)\sigma^{-1}} & \dots & h_{((i)\zeta)\sigma^{-1}} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get that

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} s_1 &= t_{(1)\sigma} u_{((1)\rho)\sigma}, \quad \dots, \quad s_i = t_{(i)\sigma} u_{((i)\rho)\sigma}, \\ \text{and} \quad t_1 &= s_{(1)\sigma^{-1}v_{((1)\zeta)\sigma^{-1}}}, \quad \dots, \quad t_i = s_{(i)\sigma^{-1}v_{((i)\zeta)\sigma^{-1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma: \{1, \dots, i\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, i\}$ is a permutation, conditions (1) imply that $s_1 \mathcal{R} t_{(1)\sigma}$, \dots , $s_i \mathcal{R} t_{(i)\sigma}$ in S .

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that for $\alpha_S, \beta_S \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ there exists a permutation $\sigma: \{1, \dots, i\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, i\}$ such that $a_1 = c_{(1)\sigma}$, \dots , $a_i = c_{(i)\sigma}$ and $s_1 \mathcal{R} t_{(1)\sigma}$, \dots , $s_i \mathcal{R} t_{(i)\sigma}$ in S . Then there exist $u_1, \dots, u_i, v_1, \dots, v_i \in S^1$ such that

$$s_1 = t_{(1)\sigma} u_1, \quad \dots, \quad s_i = t_{(i)\sigma} u_i, \quad t_1 = s_{(1)\sigma^{-1}v_1}, \quad \dots, \quad t_i = s_{(i)\sigma^{-1}v_i}.$$

Thus we get that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{(1)\sigma} & \dots & c_{(i)\sigma} \\ t_{(1)\sigma} u_1 & \dots & t_{(i)\sigma} u_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 u_{(1)\sigma^{-1}} & \dots & t_i u_{(i)\sigma^{-1}} \\ b_{(1)\sigma^{-1}} & \dots & b_{(i)\sigma^{-1}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_i \\ t_1 & \dots & t_i \\ d_1 & \dots & d_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & \dots & d_i \\ u_{(1)\sigma^{-1}} & \dots & u_{(i)\sigma^{-1}} \\ b_{(1)\sigma^{-1}} & \dots & b_{(i)\sigma^{-1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_i \\ t_1 & \dots & t_i \\ d_1 & \dots & d_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{(1)\sigma^{-1}} & \dots & a_{(i)\sigma^{-1}} \\ s_{(1)\sigma^{-1}v_1} & \dots & s_{(i)\sigma^{-1}v_i} \\ d_1 & \dots & d_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 v_{(1)\sigma} & \dots & s_i v_{(i)\sigma} \\ d_{(1)\sigma} & \dots & d_{(i)\sigma} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_i \\ v_{(1)\sigma} & \dots & v_{(i)\sigma} \\ d_{(1)\sigma} & \dots & d_{(i)\sigma} \end{pmatrix},$$

and hence $\alpha_S \mathcal{R} \beta_S$ in $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$.

The proof of statement (ii) is similar to the proof of (i).

(iii) (\Rightarrow) Suppose that $\alpha_S \mathcal{D} \beta_S$ in $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$. Then there exists a non-zero element $\gamma_S = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & \dots & e_i \\ u_1 & \dots & u_i \\ f_1 & \dots & f_i \end{pmatrix}$ of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ such that $\alpha_S \mathcal{R} \gamma_S$ and $\gamma_S \mathcal{L} \beta_S$ in $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$. By statement (i) there exists a permutation $\zeta: \{1, \dots, i\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, i\}$ such that $e_1 = a_{(1)\zeta}$, \dots , $e_i = a_{(i)\zeta}$ and $u_1 \mathcal{R} s_{(1)\zeta}$, \dots , $u_i \mathcal{R} s_{(i)\zeta}$ in S and by statement (ii) there exists a permutation $\varsigma: \{1, \dots, i\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, i\}$ such that $f_1 = d_{(1)\varsigma}$, \dots , $f_i = d_{(i)\varsigma}$ and $u_1 \mathcal{L} s_{(1)\varsigma}$, \dots , $u_i \mathcal{L} s_{(i)\varsigma}$ in S . This implies that $s_1 \mathcal{D} t_{(1)\sigma}$, \dots , $s_i \mathcal{D} t_{(i)\sigma}$ in S for the permutation $\sigma = \zeta \circ \varsigma^{-1}$ of $\{1, \dots, i\}$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that there exists a permutation $\sigma: \{1, \dots, i\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, i\}$ such that $s_1 \mathcal{D} t_{(1)\sigma}$, \dots , $s_i \mathcal{D} t_{(i)\sigma}$ in S . Then the definition of the relation \mathcal{D} implies that there exist $u_1, \dots, u_i \in S$ such that $s_1 \mathcal{R} u_1$, \dots , $s_i \mathcal{R} u_i$ and $u_1 \mathcal{L} t_{(1)\sigma}$, \dots , $u_i \mathcal{L} t_{(i)\sigma}$ in S . Now, for the element $\gamma_S = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ u_1 & \dots & u_i \\ d_{(1)\sigma} & \dots & d_{(i)\sigma} \end{pmatrix}$ of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ by statements (i) and (ii) we have that $\alpha_S \mathcal{R} \gamma_S$ and $\gamma_S \mathcal{L} \beta_S$ in $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$.

(iv) follows from statements (i) and (ii).

(v) (\Rightarrow) Suppose that $\alpha_S \mathcal{J} \beta_S$ in $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$. Then there exist non-zero elements $\gamma_S^l = \begin{pmatrix} e_1^l & \dots & e_{k_l}^l \\ u_1^l & \dots & u_{k_l}^l \\ f_1^l & \dots & f_{k_l}^l \end{pmatrix}$, $\gamma_S^r = \begin{pmatrix} e_1^r & \dots & e_{k_r}^r \\ u_1^r & \dots & u_{k_r}^r \\ f_1^r & \dots & f_{k_r}^r \end{pmatrix}$, $\delta_S^l = \begin{pmatrix} g_1^l & \dots & g_{j_l}^l \\ v_1^l & \dots & v_{j_l}^l \\ h_1^l & \dots & h_{j_l}^l \end{pmatrix}$ and $\delta_S^r = \begin{pmatrix} g_1^r & \dots & g_{j_r}^r \\ v_1^r & \dots & v_{j_r}^r \\ h_1^r & \dots & h_{j_r}^r \end{pmatrix}$ of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ such that $\alpha_S = \gamma_S^l \beta_S \gamma_S^r$, $\beta_S = \delta_S^l \alpha_S \delta_S^r$ and $i \leq k_l, k_r, j_l, j_r \leq n$ (see [13] or

[14, Section II.1]). Also, the definition of the semigroup operation of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ implies that without loss of generality we may assume that $k_l = k_r = j_l = j_r = i$. Then the equalities $\alpha_S = \gamma_S^l \beta_S \gamma_S^r$ and $\beta_S = \delta_S^l \alpha_S \delta_S^r$ imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \{a_1, \dots, a_i\} &= \{g_1^l, \dots, g_i^l\} = \{h_1^l, \dots, h_i^l\}, \\ \{b_1, \dots, b_i\} &= \{f_1^r, \dots, f_i^r\} = \{g_1^r, \dots, g_i^r\}, \\ \{c_1, \dots, c_i\} &= \{g_1^l, \dots, g_i^l\} = \{f_1^l, \dots, f_i^l\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\{d_1, \dots, d_i\} = \{e_1^r, \dots, e_i^r\} = \{h_1^r, \dots, h_i^r\}.$$

Now, the semigroup operation of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ implies that there exist permutations

$$\sigma, \rho, \zeta, \varsigma, \nu, \kappa: \{1, \dots, i\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, i\}$$

such that $a_1 = e_{(1)\sigma}^l, \dots, a_i = e_{(i)\sigma}^l, c_1 = f_{(1)\rho}^l, \dots, c_i = f_{(i)\rho}^l, d_1 = e_{(1)\zeta}^r, \dots, d_i = e_{(i)\zeta}^r, c_1 = g_{(1)\varsigma}^l, \dots, c_i = g_{(i)\varsigma}^l, a_1 = h_{(1)\nu}^l, \dots, a_i = h_{(i)\nu}^l$ and $b_1 = g_{(1)\kappa}^r, \dots, b_i = g_{(i)\kappa}^r$, and hence we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} e_1^l & \dots & e_{k_l}^l \\ u_1^l & \dots & u_{k_l}^l \\ f_1^l & \dots & f_{k_l}^l \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_i \\ t_1 & \dots & t_i \\ d_1 & \dots & d_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} e_{k_r}^r & \dots & e_{k_r}^r \\ u_{k_r}^r & \dots & u_{k_r}^r \\ f_{k_r}^r & \dots & f_{k_r}^r \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e_{(1)\rho}^l & \dots & e_{(i)\rho}^l \\ u_{(1)\rho}^l & \dots & u_{(i)\rho}^l \\ c_1 & \dots & c_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_i \\ t_1 & \dots & t_i \\ d_1 & \dots & d_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & \dots & d_i \\ u_{(1)\zeta}^r & \dots & u_{(i)\zeta}^r \\ f_{(1)\zeta}^r & \dots & f_{(i)\zeta}^r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_{(1)\rho}^l & \dots & e_{(i)\rho}^l \\ u_{(1)\rho}^l t_1 u_{(1)\zeta}^r & \dots & u_{(i)\rho}^l t_i u_{(i)\zeta}^r \\ f_{(1)\zeta}^r & \dots & f_{(i)\zeta}^r \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e_1^l & \dots & e_i^l \\ u_1^l t_{(1)\rho-1} u_{(1)\zeta\rho-1}^r & \dots & u_1^l t_{(i)\rho-1} u_{(i)\zeta\rho-1}^r \\ f_{(1)\zeta\rho-1}^r & \dots & f_{(i)\zeta\rho-1}^r \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ u_{((1)\rho-1)\sigma}^l u_{((1)\zeta\rho-1)\sigma}^r & \dots & u_{((i)\rho-1)\sigma}^l u_{((i)\zeta\rho-1)\sigma}^r \\ f_{((1)\zeta\rho-1)\sigma}^r & \dots & f_{((i)\zeta\rho-1)\sigma}^r \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_i \\ t_1 & \dots & t_i \\ d_1 & \dots & d_i \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} g_1^l & \dots & g_i^l \\ v_1^l & \dots & v_i^l \\ h_1^l & \dots & h_i^l \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} g_1^r & \dots & g_i^r \\ v_1^r & \dots & v_i^r \\ h_1^r & \dots & h_i^r \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} g_1^l & \dots & g_i^l \\ v_1^l & \dots & v_i^l \\ h_1^l & \dots & h_i^l \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_i \\ v_{(1)\kappa}^r & \dots & v_{(i)\kappa}^r \\ h_{(1)\kappa}^r & \dots & h_{(i)\kappa}^r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{(1)\nu}^l & \dots & g_{(i)\nu}^l \\ v_{(1)\nu}^l s_1 v_{(1)\kappa}^r & \dots & v_{(i)\nu}^l s_i v_{(i)\kappa}^r \\ h_{(1)\kappa}^r & \dots & h_{(i)\kappa}^r \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} g_1^l & \dots & g_i^l \\ v_1^l s_{(1)\nu-1} v_{((1)\kappa)\nu-1}^r & \dots & v_i^l s_{(i)\nu-1} v_{((i)\kappa)\nu-1}^r \\ h_{((1)\kappa)\nu-1}^r & \dots & h_{((i)\kappa)\nu-1}^r \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_i \\ v_{(1)\zeta}^l s_{((1)\nu-1)\varsigma} v_{((1)\kappa)\nu-1}^r & \dots & v_{(i)\zeta}^l s_{((i)\nu-1)\varsigma} v_{((i)\kappa)\nu-1}^r \\ h_{((1)\kappa)\nu-1}^r & \dots & h_{((i)\kappa)\nu-1}^r \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the definition of the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ implies the equalities

$$d_1 = h_{((1)\kappa)\nu-1}^r, \quad \dots, \quad d_i = h_{((i)\kappa)\nu-1}^r.$$

Now, by the equality $\alpha_S = \gamma_S^l \beta_S \gamma_S^r$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} e_1^l & \dots & e_{k_l}^l \\ u_1^l & \dots & u_{k_l}^l \\ f_1^l & \dots & f_{k_l}^l \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_i \\ t_1 & \dots & t_i \\ d_1 & \dots & d_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} e_1^r & \dots & e_{k_r}^r \\ u_1^r & \dots & u_{k_r}^r \\ f_1^r & \dots & f_{k_r}^r \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e_1^l & \dots & e_{k_l}^l \\ u_1^l & \dots & u_{k_l}^l \\ f_1^l & \dots & f_{k_l}^l \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & & \dots & & c_i \\ v_{(1)\zeta}^l s_{((1)\nu^{-1})\zeta} v_{(((1)\kappa)\nu^{-1})\zeta}^r & & & & v_{(i)\zeta}^l s_{((1)\nu^{-1})\zeta} v_{(((i)\kappa)\nu^{-1})\zeta}^r \\ & d_1 & & & d_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} e_1^r & \dots & e_{k_r}^r \\ u_1^r & \dots & u_{k_r}^r \\ f_1^r & \dots & f_{k_r}^r \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e_{(1)\rho}^l & \dots & e_{(i)\rho}^l \\ u_{(1)\rho}^l & \dots & u_{(i)\rho}^l \\ c_1 & \dots & c_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & & \dots & & c_i \\ v_{(1)\zeta}^l s_{((1)\nu^{-1})\zeta} v_{(((1)\kappa)\nu^{-1})\zeta}^r & & & & v_{(i)\zeta}^l s_{((1)\nu^{-1})\zeta} v_{(((i)\kappa)\nu^{-1})\zeta}^r \\ & d_1 & & & d_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & \dots & d_i \\ u_{(1)\zeta}^r & \dots & u_{(i)\zeta}^r \\ f_{(1)\zeta}^r & \dots & f_{(i)\zeta}^r \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e_{(1)\rho}^l & & \dots & & e_{(i)\rho}^l \\ u_{(1)\rho}^l v_{(1)\zeta}^l s_{((1)\nu^{-1})\zeta} v_{(((1)\kappa)\nu^{-1})\zeta}^r u_{(1)\zeta}^r & & & & u_{(i)\rho}^l v_{(i)\zeta}^l s_{((1)\nu^{-1})\zeta} v_{(((i)\kappa)\nu^{-1})\zeta}^r u_{(i)\zeta}^r \\ & f_{(1)\zeta}^r & & & f_{(i)\zeta}^r \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

which implies the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= u_{(1)\sigma}^l v_{(((1)\zeta)\rho^{-1})\sigma}^l s_{((((1)\nu^{-1})\zeta)\rho^{-1})\sigma} s_{((((((1)\kappa)\nu^{-1})\zeta)\rho^{-1})\sigma} v_{((((((1)\kappa)\nu^{-1})\zeta)\rho^{-1})\sigma} u_{(((1)\zeta)\rho^{-1})\sigma}^r \\ &\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \\ s_i &= u_{(i)\sigma}^l v_{(((i)\zeta)\rho^{-1})\sigma}^l s_{((((i)\nu^{-1})\zeta)\rho^{-1})\sigma} s_{((((((i)\kappa)\nu^{-1})\zeta)\rho^{-1})\sigma} v_{((((((i)\kappa)\nu^{-1})\zeta)\rho^{-1})\sigma} u_{(((i)\zeta)\rho^{-1})\sigma}^r \end{aligned}$$

Hence for the permutation $\pi = \nu^{-1}\zeta\rho^{-1}\sigma: \{1, \dots, i\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, i\}$ we have that $s_1 \mathcal{J} t_{(1)\pi}, \dots, s_i \mathcal{J} t_{(i)\pi}$ in S .

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that for elements $\alpha_S, \beta_S \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ there exists a permutation $\sigma: \{1, \dots, i\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, i\}$ such that $s_1 \mathcal{J} t_{(1)\sigma}, \dots, s_i \mathcal{J} t_{(i)\sigma}$ in S . Then there exist $u_1, \dots, u_i, v_1, \dots, v_i, x_1, \dots, x_i, y_1, \dots, y_i \in S^1$ such that

$$s_1 = x_1 t_{(1)\sigma} u_1, \dots, s_i = x_i t_{(i)\sigma} u_i, t_1 = y_1 s_{(1)\sigma^{-1}} v_1, \dots, t_i = y_i s_{(i)\sigma^{-1}} v_i.$$

Thus, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} c_{(1)\sigma} & \dots & c_{(i)\sigma} \\ x_1 t_{(1)\sigma} u_1 & \dots & x_i t_{(i)\sigma} u_i \\ b_{(1)\sigma} & \dots & b_{(i)\sigma} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & & \dots & & c_i \\ x_{(1)\sigma^{-1}} t_1 u_{(1)\sigma^{-1}} & & & & x_{(i)\sigma^{-1}} t_i u_{(i)\sigma^{-1}} \\ & b_1 & & & b_i \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_i \\ x_{(1)\sigma^{-1}} & \dots & x_{(i)\sigma^{-1}} \\ c_1 & & c_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_i \\ t_1 & \dots & t_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_i \\ u_{(1)\sigma^{-1}} & \dots & u_{(i)\sigma^{-1}} \\ & b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_i \\ t_1 & \dots & t_i \\ d_1 & \dots & d_i \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{(1)\sigma^{-1}} & \dots & a_{(i)\sigma^{-1}} \\ y_1 s_{(1)\sigma^{-1}} v_1 & \dots & y_i s_{(i)\sigma^{-1}} v_i \\ d_{(1)\sigma^{-1}} & \dots & d_{(i)\sigma^{-1}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & \dots & & a_i \\ y_{(1)\sigma} s_1 v_{(1)\sigma} & & & & y_{(i)\sigma} s_i v_{(i)\sigma} \\ & d_1 & & & d_i \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ y_{(1)\sigma} & \dots & y_{(i)\sigma} \\ a_1 & & a_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ d_1 & \dots & d_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & \dots & d_i \\ v_{(1)\sigma} & \dots & v_{(i)\sigma} \\ & d_1 & \dots & d_i \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence we get that $\alpha_S \mathcal{J} \beta_S$ in $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$. □

Remark 2. Proposition 7(iv) implies that if there exists a permutation $\sigma: \{1, \dots, i\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, i\}$ such that $s_1 \mathcal{H} t_{(1)\sigma}, \dots, s_i \mathcal{H} t_{(i)\sigma}$ in S then $\alpha_S \mathcal{H} \beta_S$ in $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$. But Example 1 implies that the converse statement is not true.

Example 1. Let λ be any cardinal ≥ 2 and $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ be the bicyclic monoid. The bicyclic monoid $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ is the semigroup with the identity 1 generated by two elements p and q subjected only to the condition $pq = 1$. The distinct elements of $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ are exhibited in the following useful array

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & p & p^2 & p^3 & \cdots & & \\ q & qp & qp^2 & qp^3 & \cdots & & \\ q^2 & q^2p & q^2p^2 & q^2p^3 & \cdots & & \\ q^3 & q^3p & q^3p^2 & q^3p^3 & \cdots & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \end{array}$$

and the semigroup operation on $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ is determined as follows:

$$q^k p^l \cdot q^m p^n = q^{k+m-\min\{l,m\}} p^{l+n-\min\{l,m\}}.$$

We fix arbitrary distinct elements a_1 and a_2 of λ and put

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_1 \\ qp^2 & q^2p^2 \\ a_1 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ qp^2 & q^2p^2 \\ a_2 & a_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have that

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ qp^2 & q^2p^2 \\ a_2 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ p & q \\ a_2 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_1 \\ qp^2 & q^2p^2 \\ a_1 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ p & q \\ a_2 & a_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$ in $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$, and similarly we have that

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ p & q \\ a_2 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ qp^2 & q^2p^2 \\ a_2 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ p & q \\ a_2 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_1 \\ qp^2 & q^2p^2 \\ a_1 & a_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence $\alpha \mathcal{L} \beta$ in $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$. Thus $\alpha \mathcal{H} \beta$ in $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$, but the elements qp and q^2p^2 are not pairwise \mathcal{H} -equivalent to qp^2 and q^2p for any permutation $\sigma: \{1, 2\} \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$.

Recall [28], a semigroup S is said to be:

- (a) *left stable* if for $a, b \in S$, $Sa \subseteq Sab$ implies $Sa = Sab$;
- (b) *right stable* if for $c, d \in S$, $cS \subseteq dcS$ implies $cS = dcS$;
- (b) *stable* if it is both left and right stable.

We observe that in the book [11] an other definition of a stable semigroup is given, and these two notion are distinct. A semigroup stable in the sense of Koch and Wallace is always stable in the sense of the book [11], but not conversely (see: [30]). For the semigroups with an identity element and for regular semigroups these two definitions of stability coincide.

The following proposition states that the construction of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ preserves left and right stabilities.

Proposition 8. *For every semigroup S , any non-zero cardinal λ and any positive integer $n \leq \lambda$ the following statements hold:*

- (i) $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ is right stable if and only if so is S ;
- (ii) $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ is left stable if and only if so is S ;
- (iii) $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ is stable if and only if so is S .

Proof. (i) (\Leftarrow) Suppose that the semigroup S is right stable and assume that $\alpha_S = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \cdots & a_i \\ s_1 & \cdots & s_i \\ b_1 & \cdots & b_i \end{pmatrix}$ and $\beta_S = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \cdots & c_k \\ t_1 & \cdots & t_k \\ d_1 & \cdots & d_k \end{pmatrix}$ are elements of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ such that

$\alpha_S \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) \subseteq \beta_S \alpha_S \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$. Then the above inclusion and the definition of the semigroup operation on $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ imply that $i \leq k$ and the inclusion

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_i\} \subseteq \{c_1, \dots, c_k\} \cap \{d_1, \dots, d_k\}$$

holds. Without loss of generality we may assume that $d_1 = a_1, \dots, d_i = a_i$. Then the inclusion $\alpha_S \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) \subseteq \beta_S \alpha_S \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ implies that there exists a permutation $\sigma: \{1, \dots, i\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, i\}$ such that $c_1 = a_{(1)\sigma}, \dots, c_i = a_{(i)\sigma}$. Hence by the definition of the semigroup operation of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_S \alpha_S \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) &= \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_k \\ t_1 & \dots & t_k \\ d_1 & \dots & d_k \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_i & c_{i+1} & \dots & c_k \\ t_1 & \dots & t_i & t_{i+1} & \dots & t_k \\ d_1 & \dots & d_i & d_{i+1} & \dots & d_k \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{(1)\sigma} & \dots & a_{(i)\sigma} & c_{i+1} & \dots & c_k \\ t_1 & \dots & t_i & t_{i+1} & \dots & t_k \\ a_1 & \dots & a_i & d_{i+1} & \dots & d_k \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{(1)\sigma} & \dots & a_{(i)\sigma} \\ t_1 s_1 & \dots & t_i s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ t_{(1)\sigma-1} s_{(1)\sigma-1} & \dots & t_{(i)\sigma-1} s_{(i)\sigma-1} \\ b_{(1)\sigma-1} & \dots & b_{(i)\sigma-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) = \\ &= \{0\} \cup \bigcup \left\{ [t_{(1)\sigma-1} s_{(1)\sigma-1} S, \dots, t_{(i)\sigma-1} s_{(i)\sigma-1} S]_{(p_1, \dots, p_i)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_i)} : p_1, \dots, p_i \in \lambda \right\} \cup \\ &\quad \cup \bigcup \left\{ [t_{(l_1)\sigma-1} s_{(l_1)\sigma-1} S, \dots, t_{(l_{i-1})\sigma-1} s_{(l_{i-1})\sigma-1} S]_{(p_1, \dots, p_{i-1})}^{(l_1, \dots, l_{i-1})} : l_1, \dots, l_{i-1} \text{ are} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{distinct elements of } \{1, \dots, i\} \text{ and } p_1, \dots, p_{i-1} \in \lambda \right\} \cup \dots \cup \\ &\quad \cup \bigcup \left\{ [t_{(l)\sigma-1} s_{(l)\sigma-1} S]_{(p)}^{(l)} : l \in \{1, \dots, i\} \text{ and } p \in \lambda \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_S \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_i \\ s_1 & \dots & s_i \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) = \{0\} \cup \bigcup \left\{ [s_1 S, \dots, s_i S]_{(p_1, \dots, p_i)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_i)} : p_1, \dots, p_i \in \lambda \right\} \cup \\ &\quad \cup \bigcup \left\{ [s_{l_1} S, \dots, s_{l_{i-1}} S]_{(p_1, \dots, p_{i-1})}^{(l_1, \dots, l_{i-1})} : l_1, \dots, l_{i-1} \text{ are distinct elements of } \{1, \dots, i\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{and } p_1, \dots, p_{i-1} \in \lambda \right\} \cup \dots \cup \\ &\quad \cup \bigcup \left\{ [s_l S]_{(p)}^{(l)} : l \in \{1, \dots, i\} \text{ and } p \in \lambda \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the inclusion $\alpha_S \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) \subseteq \beta_S \alpha_S \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ and semigroup operations of the semigroups $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ and S imply that $s_l S \subseteq t_{(l)\sigma-1} s_{(l)\sigma-1} S$, for every $l \in \{1, \dots, i\}$. Since the semigroup of all permutations of a finite set is finite, we conclude that there exists a positive integer j such that $\sigma^j: \{1, \dots, i\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, i\}$ is the identity map and therefore we get that $\sigma^{j-1} = \sigma$. This implies that for every $l \in \{1, \dots, i\}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} s_l S &\subseteq t_{(l)\sigma-1} s_{(l)\sigma-1} S \subseteq t_{(l)\sigma-1} t_{(l)\sigma-2} s_{(l)\sigma-2} S \subseteq \\ &\subseteq \dots \subseteq \\ &\subseteq t_{(l)\sigma-1} t_{(l)\sigma-2} \dots t_{(l)\sigma-j+1} s_{(l)\sigma-j+1} S = \\ &= t_{(l)\sigma-1} t_{(l)\sigma-2} \dots t_l s_l S. \end{aligned}$$

Then the right stability of the semigroup S implies the equality

$$s_l S = t_{(l)\sigma-1} t_{(l)\sigma-2} \dots t_l s_l S$$

and hence we have that $s_l S = t_{(l)\sigma^{-1}} s_{(l)\sigma^{-1}} S$, for every $l \in \{1, \dots, i\}$. Then the inclusion $\alpha_S \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S) \subseteq \beta_S \alpha_S \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ and above formulae imply the equality $\alpha_S \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S) = \beta_S \alpha_S \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$, and hence the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ is right stable.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose that the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ is right stable and $sS \subseteq tsS$ for $s, t \in S$. We fix an arbitrary $a \in \lambda$ and put $\alpha_S = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ s \\ a \end{pmatrix}$ and $\beta_S = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ t \\ a \end{pmatrix}$. Hence by the definition of the semigroup operation of $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ we get that

$$\alpha_S \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S) = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ s \\ a \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S) = \{0\} \cup \bigcup \left\{ [sS]_{(p)}^{(a)} : p \in \lambda \right\}$$

and

$$\beta_S \alpha_S \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S) = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ t \\ a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ s \\ a \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S) = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ ts \\ a \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S) = \{0\} \cup \bigcup \left\{ [tsS]_{(p)}^{(a)} : p \in \lambda \right\},$$

and hence by the inclusion $sS \subseteq tsS$ we have that $\alpha_S \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S) \subseteq \beta_S \alpha_S \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$. Now the right stability of $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ implies the equality $\alpha_S \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S) = \beta_S \alpha_S \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$. This implies $[sS]_{(p)}^{(a)} = [tsS]_{(p)}^{(a)}$ in $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ for every $p \in \lambda$, and hence $sS = tsS$.

The proof of statement (ii) is dual to that of statement (i).

(iii) follows from statements (i) and (ii). □

4. ON SEMIGROUPS WITH A TIGHT IDEAL SERIES

Fix an arbitrary positive integer m and any $p \in \{0, \dots, m\}$. Let A be a non-empty set and let B be a non-empty proper subset of A . By $[B \subset A]_p^m$ we denote all elements (x_1, \dots, x_m) of the power A^m which satisfy the following property: *at most p coordinates of (x_1, \dots, x_m) belong to $A \setminus B$* . It is obvious that $[B \subset A]_m^m = A^m$ for any positive integer m , any non-empty set A and any non-empty proper subset B of A .

The above definition implies the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let m be an arbitrary positive integer and $p \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Let A be a non-empty set and let B be a non-empty proper subset of A . Then the set $[B \subset A]_p^m \setminus [B \subset A]_{p-1}^m$ consists of all elements (x_1, \dots, x_m) of the power A^m such that exactly p coordinates of (x_1, \dots, x_m) belong to $A \setminus B$.*

Lemma 2. *Let m be an arbitrary positive integer and $p \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$. Let S be a semigroup, A and B be ideals in S such that $B \subset A$. Then $[B \subset A]_p^m$ is an ideal of the direct power S^m .*

An subset D of a semigroup S is said to be ω -unstable if D is infinite and $aB \cup Ba \not\subseteq D$ for any $a \in D$ and any infinite subset $B \subseteq D$.

Definition 1 ([18]). An *ideal series* (see, for example, [11]) for a semigroup S is a chain of ideals

$$I_0 \subseteq I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_n = S.$$

We call the ideal series *tight* if I_0 is a finite set and $D_k = I_k \setminus I_{k-1}$ is an ω -unstable subset for each $k = 1, \dots, n$.

It is obvious that for every infinite cardinal λ and any positive integer n the semigroup \mathcal{S}_λ^n has a tight ideal series. A finite direct product of semigroups with tight ideal series is a semigroup with a tight ideal series and a homomorphic image of a semigroup with a tight ideal series with finite preimages is a semigroup with a tight ideal series too [18].

A subset D of a semigroup S is said to be *strongly ω -unstable* if D is infinite and $aB \cup Bb \not\subseteq D$ for any $a, b \in D$ and any infinite subset $B \subseteq D$. It is obvious that a subset D of a semigroup S is strongly ω -unstable then D is ω -unstable.

Definition 2. We call the ideal series $I_0 \subseteq I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_n = S$ *strongly tight* if I_0 is a finite set and $D_k = I_k \setminus I_{k-1}$ is a strongly ω -unstable subset for each $k = 1, \dots, n$.

An example of a semigroup with a strongly tight ideal series gives the following proposition.

Proposition 9. *Let λ be any infinite cardinal and n be any positive integer. Then*

$$I_0 = \{0\} \subseteq I_1 = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^1 \subseteq I_2 = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_n = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n,$$

is the strongly tight ideal series in the semigroup \mathcal{S}_λ^n .

Proof. The definition of the semigroup \mathcal{S}_λ^n implies that $I_0 \subseteq I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_n$ is an ideal series in \mathcal{S}_λ^n .

Fix an arbitrary integer $i = 1, \dots, n$. For any infinite subset B of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^i \setminus \mathcal{S}_\lambda^{i-1}$ at least one of the following families of sets

$$\mathfrak{d}(B) = \{\text{dom } \gamma : \gamma \in B\} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathfrak{r}(B) = \{\text{ran } \gamma : \gamma \in B\}$$

is infinite. Then the definition of the semigroup operation in \mathcal{S}_λ^n implies that $\alpha B \not\subseteq \mathcal{S}_\lambda^i \setminus \mathcal{S}_\lambda^{i-1}$ in the case when the set $\mathfrak{d}(B)$ is infinite, and $B\beta \not\subseteq \mathcal{S}_\lambda^i \setminus \mathcal{S}_\lambda^{i-1}$ in the case when the set $\mathfrak{r}(B)$ is infinite, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda^i \setminus \mathcal{S}_\lambda^{i-1}$. \square

Later for an arbitrary non-empty set A , any positive integer n and any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ by $\pi_i: A^n \rightarrow A$, $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto a_i$ we shall denote the projection on the i -th factor of the power A^n .

Proposition 10. *Let n be a positive integer ≥ 2 and let $I_0 \subseteq I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_m = S$ be the strongly tight ideal series for a semigroup S . Then the series*

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} & I_0^n \subseteq [I_0 \subset I_1]_1^n \subseteq [I_0 \subset I_1]_2^n \subseteq \dots \subseteq [I_0 \subset I_1]_{n-1}^n \subseteq [I_0 \subset I_1]_n^n = I_1^n \subseteq \\ & \subseteq [I_1 \subset I_2]_1^n \subseteq [I_1 \subset I_2]_2^n \subseteq \dots \subseteq [I_1 \subset I_2]_{n-1}^n \subseteq [I_1 \subset I_2]_n^n = I_2^n \subseteq \dots \subseteq \\ & \subseteq [I_{m-1} \subset I_m]_1^n \subseteq [I_{m-1} \subset I_m]_2^n \subseteq \dots \subseteq [I_{m-1} \subset I_m]_{n-1}^n \subseteq [I_{m-1} \subset I_m]_n^n = I_m^n = S^n \end{aligned}$$

is a strongly tight ideal series for the direct power S^n .

Proof. It is obvious that I_0^n is a finite ideal of S^n . Also by Lemma 2 all subsets in series (2) are ideals in S^n .

Fix any $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and any $p \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We claim that the sets

$$[I_{k-1} \subset I_k]_p^n \setminus [I_{k-1} \subset I_k]_{p-1}^n \quad \text{and} \quad [I_{k-1} \subset I_k]_1^n \setminus I_{k-1}^n$$

are strongly ω -unstable in S^n . Indeed, fix an arbitrary infinite subset

$$B \subseteq [I_{k-1} \subset I_k]_p^n \setminus [I_{k-1} \subset I_k]_{p-1}^n$$

and any points

$$a = (a_1, \dots, a_n), b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in [I_{k-1} \subset I_k]_p^n \setminus [I_{k-1} \subset I_k]_{p-1}^n.$$

Then there exists a coordinate $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that the set $\pi_i(B) \subseteq I_k \setminus I_{k-1}$ is infinite. If $a_i \notin I_k \setminus I_{k-1}$ or $b_i \notin I_k \setminus I_{k-1}$ then

$$(a_i \cdot \pi_i(B) \cup \pi_i(B) \cdot b_i) \cap I_k \setminus I_{k-1} = \emptyset,$$

and hence

$$aB \cup Bb \not\subseteq [I_{k-1} \subset I_k]_p^n \setminus [I_{k-1} \subset I_k]_{p-1}^n.$$

If $a_i, b_i \in I_k \setminus I_{k-1}$ then $(a_i \cdot \pi_i(B) \cup \pi_i(B) \cdot b_i) \not\subseteq I_k \setminus I_{k-1}$, because the set $I_k \setminus I_{k-1}$ is strongly ω -unstable in S , and hence $aB \cup Bb \not\subseteq [I_{k-1} \subset I_k]_p^n \setminus [I_{k-1} \subset I_k]_{p-1}^n$. The proof of the statement that the set $[I_{k-1} \subset I_k]_1^n \setminus I_{k-1}^n$ is strongly ω -unstable in S^n is similar. \square

Later we fix an arbitrary positive integer n . Then for any semigroup S and any positive integer $k \leq n$, since $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^k(S)$ is a subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$, by $\iota: \mathcal{S}_\lambda^k(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ we denote this natural embedding. Similar arguments imply that, without loss of generality, for any subsemigroup T of S and any positive integer $k \leq n$ since $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^k(T)$ is a subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ by $\iota: \mathcal{S}_\lambda^k(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$, we denote this natural embedding.

Let $A \neq \emptyset$ and k be any positive integer. A subset $B \subseteq A^k$ is said to be k -symmetric if the following condition holds: $(b_1, \dots, b_k) \in B$ implies $(b_{(1)\sigma}, \dots, b_{(k)\sigma}) \in B$ for every permutation $\sigma: \{1, \dots, k\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$.

Remark 3. We observe that every element of the tight ideal series (2) is m -symmetric in S^n , and moreover the sets

$$[I_{k-1} \subset I_k]_p^n \setminus [I_{k-1} \subset I_k]_{p-1}^n \quad \text{and} \quad [I_{k-1} \subset I_k]_1^n \setminus I_{k-1}^n$$

are m -symmetric in S^n , too, for all $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $p \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

We need the following construction.

Construction 2. Let λ be a cardinal ≥ 1 , n be any positive integer, k be any positive integer $\leq \min\{n, \lambda\}$, and S be a semigroup. For any ordered collections of k distinct elements (a_1, \dots, a_k) and (b_1, \dots, b_k) of λ^k , we define a map

$$\mathfrak{f}_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}: S^k \rightarrow S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$$

by the formula

$$(s_1, \dots, s_k) \mathfrak{f}_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_k \\ s_1 & \dots & s_k \\ b_1 & \dots & b_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any non-empty subset $A \subseteq S^k$ and any positive integer $k \leq n$ we define the following subsets

$$[A]_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)k} = \bigcup \left\{ (A) \mathfrak{f}_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} : (a_1, \dots, a_k) \text{ and } (b_1, \dots, b_k) \text{ are ordered collections of } k \text{ distinct elements of } \lambda^k \right\}$$

and

$$\overline{[A]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_k} = \begin{cases} [A]_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_k} \cup \mathcal{S}_\lambda^{k-1}(S), & \text{if } k \geq 1; \\ [A]_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_1} \cup \{0\}, & \text{if } k = 1, \end{cases}$$

of the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$.

The following lemma can be immediately derived from the definition of k -symmetric sets.

Lemma 3. *Let λ be a cardinal ≥ 1 , k be any positive integer $\leq \lambda$ and S be a semigroup. Let (a_1, \dots, a_k) and (b_1, \dots, b_k) be arbitrary ordered collections of k distinct elements of λ^k . If $A \neq \emptyset$ is a k -symmetric subset of S^k , then*

$$(A)_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} = (A)_{(b_{(1)\sigma}, \dots, b_{(k)\sigma})}^{(a_{(1)\sigma}, \dots, a_{(k)\sigma})}$$

for every permutation $\sigma: \{1, \dots, k\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$.

Theorem 1. *Let λ be an infinite cardinal and n be a positive integer. If S is a finite semigroup, then*

$$I_0 = \{0\} \subseteq I_1 = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^1(S) \subseteq I_2 = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^2(S) \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_n = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$$

is a strongly tight ideal series for the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$.

Proof. It is obvious that for every $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ the set I_i is an ideal in $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ and moreover the set I_0 is finite.

Fix an arbitrary $i = 1, \dots, n$ and any infinite subset $B \subseteq I_i \setminus I_{i-1}$. Since the semigroup S is finite, every infinite subset X of $I_i \setminus I_{i-1}$ intersects infinitely many sets of the form $S_{(b_1, \dots, b_i)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_i)}$. Then the definition of the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ implies that at least one of the families of sets

$$\mathfrak{d}(B) = \{\mathfrak{d}\gamma: \gamma \in B\} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathfrak{r}(B) = \{\mathfrak{r}\gamma: \gamma \in B\}$$

is infinite. Then the definition of the semigroup operation in $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ implies that $\alpha B \not\subseteq I_i \setminus I_{i-1}$ in the case when the set $\mathfrak{d}(B)$ is infinite, and $B\beta \not\subseteq I_i \setminus I_{i-1}$ in the case when the set $\mathfrak{r}(B)$ is infinite, for any $\alpha, \beta \in I_i \setminus I_{i-1}$. \square

Theorem 2. *Let λ be an infinite cardinal, n be a positive integer and let*

$$I_0 \subseteq I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_m = S$$

be a strongly tight ideal series for a semigroup S . Then the series

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_0 &= \{0\} \subseteq J_{1,0} = \overline{[I_0]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)1} \subseteq \\
 &\subseteq J_{1,1} = \overline{[I_1]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)1} \subseteq J_{1,2} = \overline{[I_2]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq J_{1,m} = \overline{[I_m]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)1} = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^1(S) \subseteq \\
 &\subseteq J_{2,0} = \overline{[I_0^2]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)2} \subseteq J_{2,1} = \overline{[[I_0 \subset I_1]_1^2]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)2} \subseteq J_{2,2} = \overline{[I_1^2]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)2} \subseteq \\
 &\subseteq J_{2,3} = \overline{[[I_1 \subset I_2]_1^2]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)2} \subseteq J_{2,4} = \overline{[I_2^2]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)2} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \\
 &\subseteq J_{2,2m-1} = \overline{[[I_{m-1} \subset I_m]_1^2]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)2} \subseteq J_{2,2m} = \overline{[[I_m]_2^2]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)2} = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^2(S) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \\
 &\subseteq J_{n,0} = \overline{[I_0^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)n} \subseteq J_{n,1} = \overline{[[I_0 \subset I_1]_1^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)n} \subseteq J_{n,2} = \overline{[[I_0 \subset I_1]_2^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)n} \subseteq \\
 &\subseteq J_{n,3} = \overline{[[I_0 \subset I_1]_3^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)n} \subseteq J_{n,4} = \overline{[[I_0 \subset I_1]_4^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)n} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \\
 (3) \quad &\subseteq J_{n,n-1} = \overline{[[I_0 \subset I_1]_{n-1}^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)n} \subseteq J_{n,n} = \overline{[I_1^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)n} \subseteq \\
 &\subseteq J_{n,n+1} = \overline{[[I_1 \subset I_2]_1^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)n} \subseteq J_{n,n+2} = \overline{[[I_1 \subset I_2]_2^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)n} \subseteq \\
 &\subseteq J_{n,n+3} = \overline{[[I_1 \subset I_2]_3^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)n} \subseteq J_{n,n+4} = \overline{[[I_1 \subset I_2]_4^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)n} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \\
 &\subseteq J_{n,2n-1} = \overline{[[I_1 \subset I_2]_{n-1}^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)n} \subseteq J_{n,2n} = \overline{[I_2^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)n} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \\
 &\subseteq J_{n,(m-1)n+1} = \overline{[[I_{m-1} \subset I_m]_1^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)n} \subseteq J_{n,(m-1)n+2} = \overline{[[I_{m-1} \subset I_m]_2^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)n} \subseteq \\
 &\subseteq J_{n,(m-1)n+3} = \overline{[[I_{m-1} \subset I_m]_3^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)n} \subseteq J_{n,(m-1)n+4} = \overline{[[I_{m-1} \subset I_m]_4^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)n} \subseteq \\
 &\subseteq \dots \subseteq J_{n,mn-1} = \overline{[[I_{m-1} \subset I_m]_{n-1}^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)n} \subseteq J_{n,mn} = \overline{[I_m^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)n} = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)
 \end{aligned}$$

is a strongly tight ideal series for the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$.

Proof. The definition of the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ and Lemma 2 imply that all subsets in series (3) are ideals in $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$.

Since I_0 is a finite ideal in S , the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{1,0} \setminus J_0 &= \overline{[I_0]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)1} \setminus \{0\} = [I_0]_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)1} \\
 J_{2,0} \setminus J_{1,m} &= \overline{[I_0^2]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)1} \setminus \mathcal{S}_\lambda^1(S) = [I_0^2]_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)1} \\
 &\dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \\
 J_{n,0} \setminus J_{n-1,m(n-1)} &= \overline{[I_0^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)n} \setminus \mathcal{S}_\lambda^{n-1}(S) = [I_0^n]_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)n}
 \end{aligned}$$

and the semigroup operation of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ imply that

$$J_{1,0} \setminus J_0, \quad J_{2,0} \setminus J_{1,m}, \quad \dots, \quad J_{n,0} \setminus J_{n-1,m(n-1)}$$

are strongly ω -unstable subsets in $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$.

Next we shall show that the set $J_{k,p} \setminus J_{k,p-1}$ is strongly ω -unstable in $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $p = 1, \dots, km$.

Fix any infinite subset B of $J_{k,p} \setminus J_{k,p-1}$ and any $\alpha, \beta \in J_{k,p} \setminus J_{k,p-1}$. If $\mathbf{d}(B) \neq \mathbf{r}(\alpha)$ then the semigroup operation of $\mathcal{J}_\lambda^n(S)$ implies that $\alpha B \not\subseteq J_{k,p} \setminus J_{k,p-1}$. Similarly, if $\mathbf{d}(\beta) \neq \mathbf{r}(B)$ then $B\beta \not\subseteq J_{k,p} \setminus J_{k,p-1}$.

Next we suppose that $\mathbf{d}(B) = \mathbf{r}(\alpha)$, $\mathbf{d}(\beta) = \mathbf{r}(B)$,

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_k \\ s_1 & \dots & s_k \\ b_1 & \dots & b_k \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_k \\ t_1 & \dots & t_k \\ d_1 & \dots & d_k \end{pmatrix},$$

for some $s_1, \dots, s_k, t_1, \dots, t_k \in S$ and ordered collections of k distinct elements (a_1, \dots, a_k) , (b_1, \dots, b_k) , (c_1, \dots, c_k) , (d_1, \dots, d_k) of λ^k . Then the set B consists of the elements of the form

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_k \\ x_1 & \dots & x_k \\ c_{(1)\sigma} & \dots & c_{(k)\sigma} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $x_1, \dots, x_k \in S$ and $\sigma: \{1, \dots, k\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$ is a permutation.

First we consider the case when $J_{k,p} = J_{k,jk} = \overline{[I_j^k]_{\mathcal{J}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)k}}$ for some $j = 1, \dots, m$. Then

$$J_{k,p-1} = J_{k,jk-1} = \overline{[I_{j-1} \subset I_j]_{\mathcal{J}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)k}}$$

and $B \subseteq \overline{[I_j^k]_{\mathcal{J}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)k}}$. Since the set B is infinite, there exists $b_{i_0} \in \{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$ such that there exist infinitely many $\gamma \in B$ such that $\mathbf{d}(\gamma) \ni b_{i_0}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $b_{i_0} = b_1$. We put $B_0 = \{\gamma \in B: b_1 \in \mathbf{d}(\gamma)\}$. Then the set B_0 is infinite and hence the set

$$B_0^S = \left\{ x_1 \in S: \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_k \\ x_1 & \dots & x_k \\ c_{(1)\sigma} & \dots & c_{(k)\sigma} \end{pmatrix} \in B_0, \sigma \text{ is a permutation of } \{1, \dots, k\} \right\}$$

is infinite, too. The above implies that there exists a permutation σ_0 of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ such that infinitely many elements of the form $\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_k \\ x_1 & \dots & x_k \\ c_{(1)\sigma_0} & \dots & c_{(k)\sigma_0} \end{pmatrix}$ belong to B_0 . Since $s_1, t_{(1)\sigma_0} \in I_j \setminus I_{j-1}$ and the set $I_j \setminus I_{j-1}$ is strongly ω -unstable we obtain that $a_1 \cdot B_0^S \cup B_0^S \cdot t_{(1)\sigma_0} \not\subseteq I_j \setminus I_{j-1}$, and hence the set $\overline{[I_j^k]_{\mathcal{J}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)k}}$ is strongly ω -unstable, as well.

Next we consider the case $J_{k,p} = J_{n,(j-1)k+q} = \overline{[I_{j-1} \subset I_j]_{\mathcal{J}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)k}}$ for some $j = 1, \dots, m$. Then

$$J_{k,p-1} = J_{n,(j-1)k+q-1} = \overline{[I_{j-1} \subset I_j]_{\mathcal{J}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)k}}$$

and $B \subseteq \overline{[I_{j-1} \subset I_j]_{\mathcal{J}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)k}}$. Since the set B is infinite, without loss of generality we may assume that B contains an infinite subset B_0 which consists of elements of the form

$$(4) \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_q & b_{q+1} & \dots & b_k \\ x_1 & \dots & x_q & x_{q+1} & \dots & s_k \\ c_1 & \dots & c_q & c_{q+1} & \dots & c_k \end{pmatrix},$$

where $x_1, \dots, x_q \in I_j \setminus I_{j-1}$ and $x_{q+1}, \dots, x_k \in I_{j-1} \setminus I_{j-2}$ for some ordered collections of k distinct elements (b_1, \dots, b_k) and (c_1, \dots, c_k) of λ^k . Fix arbitrary elements

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_k \\ s_1 & \dots & s_k \\ b_1 & \dots & b_k \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_k \\ t_1 & \dots & t_k \\ d_1 & \dots & d_k \end{pmatrix},$$

of the set B . If either $s_u \notin I_j \setminus I_{j-1}$ for some $u \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ or $s_v \notin I_{j-1} \setminus I_{j-2}$ for some $v \in \{q+1, \dots, k\}$ then $\alpha B_0 \not\subseteq \overline{[I_{j-1} \subset I_j]_{\mathcal{J}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)k}}$. Similarly, $t_u \notin I_j \setminus I_{j-1}$ for some $u \in$

$\{1, \dots, q\}$ or $t_v \notin I_{j-1} \setminus I_{j-2}$ for some $v \in \{q+1, \dots, k\}$ then $B_0\beta \not\subseteq [[I_{j-1} \subset I_j]_q^{(*)k}]_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}$. Hence later we shall assume that $s_u \in I_j \setminus I_{j-1}$ for all $u \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, $s_v \in I_{j-1} \setminus I_{j-2}$ for all $v \in \{q+1, \dots, k\}$, $t_u \in I_j \setminus I_{j-1}$ for all $u \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ and $t_v \in I_{j-1} \setminus I_{j-2}$ for all $v \in \{q+1, \dots, k\}$. Since the set B_0 is infinite, there exists $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that there exist infinitely many $\gamma \in B_0$ such that $\mathbf{d}(\gamma) \ni b_{i_0}$. We put $B_1 = \{\gamma \in B_0 : b_{i_0} \in \mathbf{d}(\gamma)\}$. Since the set B_1 is infinite, the following statements hold:

(1) if $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ then $s_{i_0}A \cup At_{i_0} \not\subseteq I_j \setminus I_{j-1}$, where

$$A = \left\{ x_{i_0} : \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_{i_0} & \dots & b_q & \dots & b_k \\ x_1 & \dots & x_{i_0} & \dots & x_q & \dots & s_k \\ c_1 & \dots & c_{i_0} & \dots & c_q & \dots & c_k \end{pmatrix} \in B_1 \right\},$$

because the set $I_j \setminus I_{j-1}$ is strongly ω -unstable in S ;

(2) if $i_0 \in \{q+1, \dots, k\}$ then $s_{i_0}A \cup At_{i_0} \not\subseteq I_{j-1} \setminus I_{j-2}$, where

$$A = \left\{ x_{i_0} : \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_q & \dots & b_{i_0} & \dots & b_k \\ x_1 & \dots & x_q & \dots & x_{i_0} & \dots & s_k \\ c_1 & \dots & c_q & \dots & c_{i_0} & \dots & c_k \end{pmatrix} \in B_1 \right\},$$

because the set $I_{j-1} \setminus I_{j-2}$ is strongly ω -unstable in S .

Both above statements imply that

$$\alpha B_1 \cup B_1 \gamma \not\subseteq [[I_{j-1} \subset I_j]_q^{(*)k}]_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}$$

and hence

$$\alpha B \cup B \gamma \not\subseteq [[I_{j-1} \subset I_j]_q^{(*)k}]_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)},$$

i.e., the set $[[I_{j-1} \subset I_j]_q^{(*)k}]_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}$ is strongly ω -unstable in $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 2 implies the following

Corollary 2. *Let λ be an infinite cardinal, n be a positive integer and let*

$$I_0 \subseteq I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_m = S$$

be a strongly tight ideal series for a semigroup S . Then the ideal series (3) is tight for the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$.

The proof of the following theorem is similar to Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. *Let λ be a finite cardinal, n be a positive integer $\leq \lambda$ and let*

$$I_0 \subseteq I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_m = S$$

be a strongly tight ideal series for a semigroup S . Then the following series

$$\begin{aligned}
J_0 &= \{0\} \cup \overline{[I_0]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_1} \subseteq \\
&\subseteq J_{1,1} = \overline{[I_1]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_1} \subseteq J_{1,2} = \overline{[I_2]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq J_{1,m} = \overline{[I_m]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_1} = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^1(S) \subseteq \\
&\subseteq J_{2,1} = \overline{[[I_1 \subset I_2]_1^2]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_2} \subseteq J_{2,2} = \overline{[[I_1 \subset I_2]_2^2]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_2} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \\
&\subseteq J_{2,2m-1} = \overline{[[I_{m-1} \subset I_m]_1^2]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_2} \subseteq J_{2,2m} = \overline{[[I_m]_2^2]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_2} \mathcal{S}_\lambda^2(S) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \\
&\subseteq J_{n,1} = \overline{[[I_0 \subset I_1]_1^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_n} \subseteq J_{n,2} = \overline{[[I_0 \subset I_1]_2^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_n} \subseteq \\
&\subseteq J_{n,3} = \overline{[[I_0 \subset I_1]_3^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_n} \subseteq J_{n,4} = \overline{[[I_0 \subset I_1]_4^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_n} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \\
(5) \quad &\subseteq J_{n,n-1} = \overline{[[I_0 \subset I_1]_{n-1}^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_n} \subseteq J_{n,n} = \overline{[I_1^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_n} \subseteq \\
&\subseteq J_{n,n+1} = \overline{[[I_1 \subset I_2]_1^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_n} \subseteq J_{n,n+2} = \overline{[[I_1 \subset I_2]_2^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_n} \subseteq \\
&\subseteq J_{n,n+3} = \overline{[[I_1 \subset I_2]_3^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_n} \subseteq J_{n,n+4} = \overline{[[I_1 \subset I_2]_4^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_n} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \\
&\subseteq J_{n,2n-1} = \overline{[[I_1 \subset I_2]_{n-1}^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_n} \subseteq J_{n,2n} = \overline{[I_2^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_n} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \\
&\subseteq J_{n,(m-1)n+1} = \overline{[[I_{m-1} \subset I_m]_1^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_n} \subseteq J_{n,(m-1)n+2} = \overline{[[I_{m-1} \subset I_m]_2^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_n} \subseteq \\
&\subseteq J_{n,(m-1)n+3} = \overline{[[I_{m-1} \subset I_m]_3^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_n} \subseteq J_{n,(m-1)n+4} = \overline{[[I_{m-1} \subset I_m]_4^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_n} \subseteq \\
&\subseteq \dots \subseteq J_{n,mn-1} = \overline{[[I_{m-1} \subset I_m]_{n-1}^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_n} \subseteq J_{n,mn} = \overline{[I_m^n]}_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)_n} = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)
\end{aligned}$$

is a strongly tight ideal series for the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$.

Theorem 3 implies the following

Corollary 3. Let λ be a finite cardinal, n be a positive integer $\leq \lambda$ and let $I_0 \subseteq I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_m = S$ be a strongly tight ideal series for a semigroup S . Then the ideal series (3) is tight for the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$.

Let \mathfrak{S} be a class of semitopological semigroups. A semigroup $S \in \mathfrak{S}$ is called *H-closed in \mathfrak{S}* , if S is a closed subsemigroup of any semitopological semigroup $T \in \mathfrak{S}$ which contains S both as a subsemigroup and as a topological space. The *H-closed topological semigroups* were introduced by Stepp in [32], and therein they were called *maximal semigroups*. An algebraic semigroup S is called: *algebraically complete in \mathfrak{S}* , if S with any Hausdorff topology τ such that $(S, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}$ is *H-closed in \mathfrak{S}* . We observe that many distinct types of *H-closedness* of topological and semitopological semigroups is studied in [1]–[10], [16]–[21], [24], [26].

By Proposition 10 from [18] every inverse semigroup S with a tight ideal series is algebraically complete in the class of Hausdorff semitopological inverse semigroups with continuous inversion. Hence Proposition 6 and Theorems 2, 3 imply the following

Theorem 4. Let S be an inverse semigroup which admits a strongly tight ideal series. Then for every non-zero cardinal λ and any positive integer $n \leq \lambda$ the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$

is algebraically complete in the class of Hausdorff semitopological inverse semigroups with continuous inversion.

We remark that in the case when $n = 1$ the construction of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^1(S)$ preserves the property to be a semigroup with a tight ideal series, and this follows from the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *Let λ be any non-zero cardinal, n be a positive integer $n \leq \lambda$ and let $I_0 \subseteq I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_m = S$ be a tight ideal series for a semigroup S . Then the series*

$$(6) \quad J_0 = \{0\} \subseteq J_1 = \overline{[I_0]_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)1}} \subseteq J_2 = \overline{[I_1]_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)1}} \subseteq \dots \subseteq J_m = \overline{[I_{m-1}]_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)1}} \subseteq J_{m+1} = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^1(S)$$

is a tight ideal series for the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^1(S)$ in the case when λ is infinite, and

$$(7) \quad J_0 = \{0\} \cup \overline{[I_0]_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)1}} \subseteq J_1 = \overline{[I_1]_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)1}} \subseteq \dots \subseteq J_{m-1} = \overline{[I_{m-1}]_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)}^{(*)1}} \subseteq J_m = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^1(S)$$

is a tight ideal series for the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^1(S)$ in the case when λ is finite.

Proof. We consider the case when the cardinal λ is infinite. In the other case the proof is similar.

The semigroup operation of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^1(S)$ implies that the set J_k is an ideal in $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^1(S)$ for an arbitrary integer $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m+1\}$.

Fix an arbitrary $k \in \{1, \dots, m+1\}$. Then for any infinite subset B of $J_k \setminus J_{k-1}$ and any $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in J_k \setminus J_{k-1}$ the following statements hold.

- (1) If $B \cap S_{(i)}^{(i)}$ is infinite for some $i \in \lambda$ then $B \cap S_{(i)}^{(i)} \subseteq [I_{k-1} \setminus I_{k_2}]_{(i)}^{(i)}$. Hence, the semigroup operation of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^1(S)$ implies that $\alpha B \cup B\alpha \not\subseteq J_k \setminus J_{k-1}$ in the case when $a = b = i$, because the set $I_{k-1} \setminus I_{k_2}$ is ω -unstable in S . Otherwise $0 \in \alpha B \cup B\alpha \not\subseteq J_k \setminus J_{k-1}$.
- (2) In the other case the semigroup operation of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^1(S)$ implies that $0 \in \alpha B \cup B\alpha \not\subseteq J_k \setminus J_{k-1}$.

Both above statements imply that the set $J_k \setminus J_{k-1}$ is ω -unstable in $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^1(S)$, which completes the proof of the theorem. \square

5. ON A SEMITOPOLOGICAL SEMIGROUP $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$

For any element $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i_k \\ j_1 & \dots & j_k \end{pmatrix}$ of the semigroup \mathcal{S}_λ^n and any $s \in S$ we denote $\alpha[s] = \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i_k \\ s & \dots & s \\ j_1 & \dots & j_k \end{pmatrix}$, which is an element of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$. Later in this case we shall say that $\alpha[s]$ is the s -extension of α or α is the \mathcal{S}_λ^n -restriction of $\alpha[s]$.

Proposition 11. *Let S be a monoid, λ be any non-zero cardinal, n be an arbitrary positive integer $\leq \lambda$, $0 < k \leq n$ and $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ be a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup. Then for any ordered collections of k distinct elements (a_1, \dots, a_k) and (b_1, \dots, b_k) of λ^k and any element $\alpha_S \in S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$ there exists an open neighbourhood $U(\alpha_S)$ of α_S such that*

- $U(\alpha_S) \cap \mathcal{S}_\lambda^{k-1}(S) = \emptyset$ and $U(\alpha_S) \cap \mathcal{S}_\lambda^k(S) \subseteq S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$ in the case when $k \geq 2$,
- $0 \notin U(\alpha_S)$ and $U(\alpha_S) \cap \mathcal{S}_\lambda^1(S) \subseteq S_{(b_1)}^{(a_1)}$ in the case when $k = 1$.

Thus $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^k(S)$ is a closed subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$.

Proof. Fix an arbitrary $k \leq n$ and an arbitrary $\alpha_S = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_k \\ s_1 & \dots & s_k \\ b_1 & \dots & b_k \end{pmatrix} \in S_{b_1, \dots, b_k}^{a_1, \dots, a_k}$. It is obvious that $\varepsilon_1[1_S] \cdot \alpha_S \cdot \varepsilon_2[1_S] = \alpha_S$, where

$$\varepsilon_1[1_S] = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_k \\ 1_S & \dots & 1_S \\ a_1 & \dots & a_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varepsilon_2[1_S] = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_k \\ 1_S & \dots & 1_S \\ b_1 & \dots & b_k \end{pmatrix},$$

and 1_S is the unit element of S .

Simple calculations imply that

$$S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} = \varepsilon_1[1_S] \cdot \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S) \cdot \varepsilon_2[1_S] \setminus \bigcup \{ \bar{\varepsilon}_1[1_S] \cdot \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S) \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}_2[1_S] : \bar{\varepsilon}_1 < \varepsilon_1 \text{ and } \bar{\varepsilon}_2 < \varepsilon_2 \text{ in } E(\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n) \}.$$

We observe that eT and Te are closed subset in an arbitrary Hausdorff semitopological semigroup T for any its idempotent e . Since for any idempotent $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n$ the set $\downarrow \varepsilon = \{ \iota \in E(\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n) : \iota \leq \varepsilon \}$ is finite, the set

$$A_{\alpha_S} = \bigcup \{ \bar{\varepsilon}_1[1_S] \cdot \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S) \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}_2[1_S] : \bar{\varepsilon}_1 < \varepsilon_1 \text{ and } \bar{\varepsilon}_2 < \varepsilon_2 \}$$

is closed in $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$. Fix an arbitrary open neighbourhood $W(\alpha_S)$ of α_S such that $W(\alpha_S) \cap A_{\alpha_S} = \emptyset$. The separate continuity of the semigroup operation on $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ implies that there exists an open neighbourhood $U(\alpha_S)$ of α_S such that $\varepsilon_1[1_S] \cdot U(\alpha_S) \cdot \varepsilon_2[1_S] \subseteq W(\alpha_S)$. The neighbourhood $U(\alpha_S)$ is a requested one. Indeed, if there exists $\beta_S \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S) \setminus S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$ then $\varepsilon_1[1_S] \cdot \beta_S \cdot \varepsilon_2[1_S] \in A_{\alpha_S}$. \square

Remark 4. We observe that in Proposition 11 we may assume that the neighbourhood $U(\alpha_S)$ may be chosen with the property that $\varepsilon_1[1_S] \cdot U(\alpha_S) \cdot \varepsilon_2[1_S] \subseteq S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$.

Proposition 12. *Let S be a monoid, λ be any non-zero cardinal, n be an arbitrary positive integer $\leq \lambda$, $0 < k \leq n$ and $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ be a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup. Then for any ordered collections of k distinct elements (a_1, \dots, a_k) , (b_1, \dots, b_k) , (c_1, \dots, c_k) , and (d_1, \dots, d_k) of λ^k the subspaces $S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$ and $S_{(d_1, \dots, d_k)}^{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}$ are homeomorphic, and moreover $S_{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$ and $S_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}$ are topologically isomorphic subsemigroups of $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$ is a semitopological semigroup, the restrictions of the maps

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_k \\ b_1 & \dots & b_k \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{h}_{(d_1, \dots, d_k)}^{(c_1, \dots, c_k)} : \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S), \alpha \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_k \\ 1_S & \dots & 1_S \\ a_1 & \dots & a_k \end{pmatrix} \cdot \alpha \cdot \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_k \\ 1_S & \dots & 1_S \\ d_1 & \dots & d_k \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_k \\ d_1 & \dots & d_k \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{h}_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} : \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S), \alpha \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_k \\ 1_S & \dots & 1_S \\ c_1 & \dots & c_k \end{pmatrix} \cdot \alpha \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & \dots & d_k \\ 1_S & \dots & 1_S \\ b_1 & \dots & b_k \end{pmatrix}$$

on the subspaces $S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$ and $S_{(d_1, \dots, d_k)}^{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}$, respectively, are mutually inverse, and hence $S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$ and $S_{(d_1, \dots, d_k)}^{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}$ are homeomorphic subspaces in $\mathcal{I}_\lambda^n(S)$. Also, it is obvious that in the case of subsemigroups $S_{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$ and $S_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}$ so defined restrictions of maps are topological isomorphisms. \square

For any ordered collections of k distinct elements (a_1, \dots, a_k) and (b_1, \dots, b_k) of λ^k we define a map

$$f_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} : \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \alpha \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_k \\ 1_S & \dots & 1_S \\ a_1 & \dots & a_k \end{pmatrix} \cdot \alpha \cdot \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_k \\ 1_S & \dots & 1_S \\ b_1 & \dots & b_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition 11 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 4. *Let S be a monoid, λ be any non-zero cardinal, n be an arbitrary positive integer $\leq \lambda$, $0 < k \leq n$ and $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ be a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup. Then the set*

$$\uparrow S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} = \left(S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} \right) \left(f_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} \right)^{-1}$$

is open in $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ for any ordered collections of k distinct elements (a_1, \dots, a_k) and (b_1, \dots, b_k) of λ^k .

We recall that a topological space X is said to be

- *compact* if each open cover of X has a finite subcover;
- *H-closed* if X is a closed subspace of every Hausdorff topological space in which it contained.

It is well known that every Hausdorff compact space is H-closed, and every regular H-closed topological space is compact (see [12, 3.12.5]).

Lemma 4. *Let S be a monoid, λ be any non-zero cardinal, n be an arbitrary positive integer $\leq \lambda$, $0 < k \leq n$ and $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ be a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup. If $S_{(b)}^{(a)}$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ for any $a, b \in \lambda$ then $S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ for any ordered collections of k distinct elements (a_1, \dots, a_k) and (b_1, \dots, b_k) of λ^k .*

Proof. For any $a, b \in \lambda$ the map

$$f_{(b)}^{(a)} : \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \alpha \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a \\ 1_S \\ a \end{pmatrix} \cdot \alpha \cdot \begin{pmatrix} b \\ 1_S \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

is continuous, because $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ is a semitopological semigroup. This and Proposition 11 imply that

$$S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} = \left(S_{(b_1)}^{(a_1)} \right) \left(f_{(b_1)}^{(a_1)} \right)^{-1} \cap \dots \cap \left(S_{(b_k)}^{(a_k)} \right) \left(f_{(b_k)}^{(a_k)} \right)^{-1} \cap \mathcal{S}_\lambda^k(S)$$

a closed subspace of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$. □

Since a continuous image of a compact (an H-closed) space is compact (H-closed) (see [12, Chapter 3]), Proposition 12 and Lemma 4 imply the following corollary.

Corollary 5. *Let S be a monoid, λ be any non-zero cardinal, n be an arbitrary positive integer $\leq \lambda$, $0 < k \leq n$ and $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ be a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup. If the set $S_{(b)}^{(a)}$ is H-closed (compact) in $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ for some $a, b \in \lambda$ then $S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ for any ordered collections of k distinct elements (a_1, \dots, a_k) and (b_1, \dots, b_k) of λ^k .*

Definition 3. Let \mathfrak{S} be a class of semitopological semigroups. Let $\lambda \geq 1$ be a cardinal, n be a positive integer $\leq \lambda$, and $(S, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}$. Let $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}$ be a topology on $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ such that

- a) $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}}) \in \mathfrak{S}$;

b) the topological subspace $(S_{(a)}^{(a)}, \tau_B|_{S_{\alpha, \alpha}})$ is naturally homeomorphic to (S, τ) for some $a \in \lambda$, i.e., the map $\mathfrak{H}: S \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$, $s \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a \\ s \\ a \end{pmatrix}$ is a topological embedding.

Then $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}})$ is called a *topological \mathcal{S}_λ^n -extension of (S, τ) in \mathfrak{S}* .

Lemma 5. *Let (S, τ) be a semitopological monoid, λ be any non-zero cardinal, n be an arbitrary positive integer $\leq \lambda$, $0 < k \leq n$ and $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}})$ be a topological \mathcal{S}_λ^n -extension of (S, τ) in the class of semitopological semigroups. Let $U_1(s_1), \dots, U_k(s_k)$ be open neighbourhoods of the points s_1, \dots, s_k in (S, τ) , respectively. Then the following sets*

$$\uparrow [U_1(s_1)]_{(b_1)}^{(a_1)} = \left([U_1(s_1)]_{(b_1)}^{(a_1)} \right) \left(\mathfrak{f}_{(b_1)}^{(a_1)} \right)^{-1}, \dots, \uparrow [U_k(s_k)]_{(b_k)}^{(a_k)} = \left([U_k(s_k)]_{(b_k)}^{(a_k)} \right) \left(\mathfrak{f}_{(b_k)}^{(a_k)} \right)^{-1},$$

and

$$\uparrow [U_1(s_1), \dots, U_k(s_k)]_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} = \uparrow [U_1(s_1)]_{(b_1)}^{(a_1)} \cap \dots \cap \uparrow [U_k(s_k)]_{(b_k)}^{(a_k)},$$

are open neighbourhoods of the points

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ s_1 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} a_k \\ s_k \\ b_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \dots a_k \\ s_1 \dots s_k \\ b_1 \dots b_k \end{pmatrix}$$

in $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}})$, respectively, for any ordered collections of k distinct elements (a_1, \dots, a_k) and (b_1, \dots, b_k) of λ^k .

Proof. Since $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}})$ is a topological \mathcal{S}_λ^n -extension of (S, τ) in the class of Hausdorff semitopological semigroups, there exist open neighbourhoods W_1, \dots, W_k of the points $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ s_1 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} a_k \\ s_k \\ b_k \end{pmatrix}$ in $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}})$, respectively, such that

$$W_1 \cap S_{(b_1)}^{(a_1)} = [U_1(s_1)]_{(b_1)}^{(a_1)}, \quad \dots, \quad W_k \cap S_{(b_k)}^{(a_k)} = [U_k(s_k)]_{(b_k)}^{(a_k)}.$$

Then the requested statement of the lemma follows from the separate continuity of the semigroup operation in $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}})$. \square

Theorem 6. *Let (S, τ) be a Hausdorff compact semitopological monoid, λ be any non-zero cardinal, n be an arbitrary positive integer $\leq \lambda$, $0 < k \leq n$ and $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}})$ be a compact topological \mathcal{S}_λ^n -extension of (S, τ) in the class of Hausdorff semitopological semigroups. Then the subspace $S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$ of $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}})$ is compact and moreover it is homeomorphic to the power S^k with the product topology by the mapping*

$$\mathfrak{H}: S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} \rightarrow S^k, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \dots a_k \\ s_1 \dots s_k \\ b_1 \dots b_k \end{pmatrix} \mapsto (s_1, \dots, s_k),$$

for any ordered collections of k distinct elements (a_1, \dots, a_k) and (b_1, \dots, b_k) of λ^k .

Proof. Since the monoid (S, τ) is compact, Corollary 5 implies that $S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$ a closed subset of $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}})$. Then compactness of $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}})$ implies that $S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$ is compact, as well.

It is obvious that the above defined map $\mathfrak{H}: S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} \rightarrow S^k$ is a bijection. Also, Lemma 5 implies that the map \mathfrak{H} is continuous, and it is a homeomorphism, because S^k and $S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$ are compacta. \square

Proposition 11 and Theorem 6 imply the following corollary.

Corollary 6. Let (S, τ) be a Hausdorff compact semitopological monoid, λ be any non-zero cardinal, n be an arbitrary positive integer $\leq \lambda$, $0 < k \leq n$ and $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}})$ be a compact topological \mathcal{S}_λ^n -extension of (S, τ) in the class of Hausdorff semitopological semigroups. Then $S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$ is an open-and-closed subset of $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}})$ for any ordered collections of k distinct elements (a_1, \dots, a_k) and (b_1, \dots, b_k) of λ^k , and the space $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}})$ is the topological sum of such sets with isolated zero.

Remark 5. Since by Theorem of [21] an infinite semigroup of matrix units and hence an infinite semigroup \mathcal{S}_λ^n do not embed into compact Hausdorff topological semigroups, Corollary 6 describes compact topological \mathcal{S}_λ^n -extensions of compact semigroups (S, τ) in the class of Hausdorff topological semigroups.

Example 2. Let (S, τ_S) be a compact Hausdorff semitopological monoid. On the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ we define a topology $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c$ in the following way. Put

$$\mathcal{P}_k^c(0) = \left\{ \uparrow S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} : (a_1, \dots, a_k) \text{ and } (b_1, \dots, b_k) \text{ are ordered collections of } k \text{ distinct elements of } \lambda^k \right\},$$

for any $k = 1, \dots, n$, and

$$\mathcal{P}^c(a, s, b) = \left\{ \uparrow [U(s)]_{(b)}^{(a)} : U(s) \text{ is an open neighbourhood of } s \text{ in } (S, \tau_S) \right\},$$

for some $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) \setminus \{0\}$.

The topology $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c$ on $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ is generated by the family

$$\mathcal{P}^c = \left\{ \mathcal{P}_k^c(0) : k = 1, \dots, n \right\} \cup \left\{ \mathcal{P}^c(a, s, b) : \begin{pmatrix} a \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) \setminus \{0\} \right\},$$

as a subbase.

Remark 6. Lemma 5 and the definition of the topology $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c$ on $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ implies that the following statements hold.

- (1) For any $k = 1, \dots, n$ and every ordered collection (a_1, \dots, a_k) and (b_1, \dots, b_k) of k distinct elements of λ^k the set $\uparrow S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$ is closed in $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c)$.
- (2) For any element $\alpha_S = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_k \\ s_1 & \dots & s_k \\ b_1 & \dots & b_k \end{pmatrix}$ of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ and any open neighbourhoods $U_1(s_1), \dots, U_k(s_k)$ of the points s_1, \dots, s_k in (S, τ) the set

$$\uparrow [U_1(s_1), \dots, U_k(s_k)]_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} \setminus \left(\uparrow S_{(b_1^1, \dots, b_{i_1}^1)}^{(a_1^1, \dots, a_{i_1}^1)} \cup \dots \cup \uparrow S_{(b_1^p, \dots, b_{i_p}^p)}^{(a_1^p, \dots, a_{i_p}^p)} \right)$$

such that $\alpha_S \notin \uparrow S_{(b_1^1, \dots, b_{i_1}^1)}^{(a_1^1, \dots, a_{i_1}^1)} \cup \dots \cup \uparrow S_{(b_1^p, \dots, b_{i_p}^p)}^{(a_1^p, \dots, a_{i_p}^p)}$, is an open neighbourhood of the point α_S in $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c)$. Here $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \subsetneq \{a_1^j, \dots, a_{i_j}^j\}$ and $\{b_1, \dots, b_k\} \subsetneq \{b_1^j, \dots, b_{i_j}^j\}$ for all $j = 1, \dots, p$.

Theorem 7. If (S, τ_S) is a compact Hausdorff semitopological monoid then $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c)$ is a compact Hausdorff semitopological semigroup.

Proof. It is obvious that the topology $\tau_{\mathcal{J}}^c$ is Hausdorff.

By the Alexander Subbase Theorem (see [12, 3.12.2]) it is sufficient to show that every open cover of $\mathcal{J}_\lambda^n(S)$ which consists of elements of the subbase \mathcal{P}^c has a finite subcover.

We shall show that the space $(\mathcal{J}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{J}}^c)$ is compact by induction. In the case when $n = 1$, Corollary 13 from [23] implies that the space $(\mathcal{J}_\lambda^1(S), \tau_{\mathcal{J}}^c)$ is compact. Next we shall show the step of induction: $(\mathcal{J}_\lambda^{k-1}(S), \tau_{\mathcal{J}}^c)$ is compact implies $(\mathcal{J}_\lambda^k(S), \tau_{\mathcal{J}}^c)$ is compact, too, for $k = 2, \dots, n$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $k = n$.

Let \mathcal{U} be an arbitrary open cover of $(\mathcal{J}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{J}}^c)$ which consists of elements of the subbase \mathcal{P}^c . The assumption of induction implies that there exists a finite subfamily \mathcal{U}_{n-1} of \mathcal{U} which is a subcover of $\mathcal{J}_\lambda^{n-1}(S)$. Fix an arbitrary element $V_0 = \mathcal{J}_\lambda^n(S) \setminus \uparrow S_{(b_1, \dots, b_p)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_p)} \in \mathcal{U}_{n-1}$ which contains the zero 0 of $\mathcal{J}_\lambda^n(S)$. Then $p \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

We observe that an arbitrary element U_0 of the family $\{\mathcal{P}_k^c(0) : k = 1, \dots, n\}$ contains the set $S_{(b_1, \dots, b_p)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_p)}$ if and only if $U_0 \cap S_{(b_1, \dots, b_p)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_p)} \neq \emptyset$. This implies that only one of the following conditions holds:

- (1) there does not exist an element of \mathcal{U}_{n-1} from the family $\{\mathcal{P}_k^c(0) : k = 1, \dots, n\}$ which contains the set $S_{(b_1, \dots, b_p)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_p)}$;
- (2) there exists $W_0 \in \mathcal{U}_{n-1} \cap \{\mathcal{P}_k^c(0) : k = 1, \dots, n\}$ such that $S_{(b_1, \dots, b_p)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_p)} \subseteq W_0$.

Suppose that condition (1) holds. First we consider the case when $p < n$. By Theorem 6, the set $S_{(b_1, \dots, b_p)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_p)}$ is compact, and hence there exists finitely many elements $\uparrow [U(s_1)]_{(d_1)}^{(c_1)}, \dots, \uparrow [U(s_m)]_{(d_m)}^{(c_m)}$ in the family $\mathcal{U}_{n-1} \cap \mathcal{P}^c \setminus \{\mathcal{P}_k^c(0) : k = 1, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$S_{(b_1, \dots, b_p)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_p)} \subseteq \uparrow [U(s_1)]_{(d_1)}^{(c_1)} \cup \dots \cup \uparrow [U(s_m)]_{(d_m)}^{(c_m)}.$$

It is obvious that $\{U_0, \uparrow [U(s_1)]_{(d_1)}^{(c_1)}, \dots, \uparrow [U(s_m)]_{(d_m)}^{(c_m)}\}$ is a finite cover of $(\mathcal{J}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{J}}^c)$.

Next, we consider case $p = n$. We identify the set $S_{(b_1, \dots, b_n)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}$ and the power S^n by the mapping

$$(8) \quad \mathfrak{H} : S_{(b_1, \dots, b_n)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \rightarrow S^n, \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_n \\ s_1 & \dots & s_n \\ b_1 & \dots & b_n \end{pmatrix} \mapsto (s_1, \dots, s_n).$$

The semigroup operation of $\mathcal{J}_\lambda^n(S)$ implies that $\uparrow [U(s)]_{(d)}^{(c)} \cap S_{(b_1, \dots, b_n)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $c = a_i$ and $d = b_i$ for some $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then by (8) for every $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have that

$$(9) \quad \left(\uparrow [U(s)]_{(b_i)}^{(a_i)} \cap S_{(b_1, \dots, b_n)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \right) \mathfrak{H} = S \times \dots \times \underbrace{U(s)}_{i\text{-th}} \times \dots \times S \subseteq S^n.$$

Then the subbase \mathcal{P}^c on $\mathcal{J}_\lambda^n(S)$ and map (8) determine the product topology on S^n from the space S , and hence the space S^n is compact.

Suppose that $S_{(b_1, \dots, b_n)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}$ is not compact. Then $S_{(b_1, \dots, b_n)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}$ has a cover \mathcal{W} which consists of the open sets of the form $\uparrow [U(s)]_{(d)}^{(c)}$ and \mathcal{W} does not have a finite subcover. Then the cover \mathcal{W}_{S^n} of S^n which is determined by formula (9) from the family \mathcal{W} has no finite subcover, too. This contradicts the compactness of S^n .

Hence in case (1) the cover \mathcal{U} of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ has a finite subcover.

Suppose that condition (2) holds. Then $W_0 = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) \setminus \uparrow S_{(d_1, \dots, d_q)}^{(c_1, \dots, c_q)}$ with $q \leq n$. If $\uparrow S_{(d_1, \dots, d_q)}^{(c_1, \dots, c_q)} \cap \uparrow S_{(b_1, \dots, b_p)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_p)} = \emptyset$ then $\{V_0, W_0\}$ is a cover of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$. In the other case there exists a smallest positive integer p_1 such that $\max\{p+1, q\} \leq p_1 \leq n$ and two ordered p_1 -collections of distinct elements (e_1, \dots, e_{p_1}) and (f_1, \dots, f_{p_1}) of the power λ^{p_1} such that

$$\uparrow S_{(d_1, \dots, d_q)}^{(c_1, \dots, c_q)} \cap \uparrow S_{(b_1, \dots, b_p)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_p)} = \uparrow S_{(f_1, \dots, f_{p_1})}^{(e_1, \dots, e_{p_1})}.$$

Then for the open set $U_1 = U_0 \cup W_0 = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) \setminus \uparrow S_{(f_1, \dots, f_{p_1})}^{(e_1, \dots, e_{p_1})}$ either condition (1) or condition (2) holds.

Since $p+1 \leq p_1 \leq n$, we repeating finitely many items the above procedure we get that the space $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c)$ is compact.

Next we shall show that the topology $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c$ is shift-continuous on $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c)$. We consider all possible cases.

(i) $0 \cdot 0 = 0$. Then for any open neighbourhood U_0 of zero in $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c)$ we have that

$$U_0 \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot U_0 = \{0\} \subseteq U_0.$$

(ii) $\alpha \cdot 0 = 0$. Then for any open neighbourhoods U_0 and U_α of zero and α in $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c)$, respectively, we have that

$$U_\alpha \cdot 0 = \{0\} \subseteq U_0.$$

Let $W_0 = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) \setminus \left(\uparrow S_{(b_1^1, \dots, b_{p_1}^1)}^{(a_1^1, \dots, a_{p_1}^1)} \cup \dots \cup \uparrow S_{(b_1^k, \dots, b_{p_k}^k)}^{(a_1^k, \dots, a_{p_k}^k)} \right)$ be a basic neighbourhood of 0 in $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $p_1, \dots, p_k \leq |\mathbf{d}(\alpha)|$. Put

$$\mathbf{B} = \left\{ S_{(b)}^{(a)} : a \in \mathbf{d}(\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad b \in \{b_1^1, \dots, b_{p_1}^1, \dots, b_1^k, \dots, b_{p_k}^k\} \right\}.$$

Then the family \mathbf{B} is finite and $\alpha \cdot U_0 \subseteq W_0$ for $U_0 = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) \setminus \bigcup_{S_{(b)}^{(a)} \in \mathbf{B}} \uparrow S_{(b)}^{(a)}$.

(iii) $0 \cdot \alpha = 0$. Then for any open neighbourhoods U_0 and U_α of zero and α in $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c)$, respectively, we have that

$$0 \cdot U_\alpha = \{0\} \subseteq U_0.$$

Let $W_0 = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) \setminus \left(\uparrow S_{(b_1^1, \dots, b_{p_1}^1)}^{(a_1^1, \dots, a_{p_1}^1)} \cup \dots \cup \uparrow S_{(b_1^k, \dots, b_{p_k}^k)}^{(a_1^k, \dots, a_{p_k}^k)} \right)$ be a basic neighbourhood of 0 in $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $p_1, \dots, p_k \leq |\mathbf{d}(\alpha)|$. Put

$$\mathbf{B} = \left\{ S_{(b)}^{(a)} : b \in \mathbf{r}(\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad a \in \{a_1^1, \dots, a_{p_1}^1, \dots, a_1^k, \dots, a_{p_k}^k\} \right\}.$$

Then the family \mathbf{B} is finite and $U_0 \cdot \alpha \subseteq W_0$ for $U_0 = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) \setminus \bigcup_{S_{(b)}^{(a)} \in \mathbf{B}} \uparrow S_{(b)}^{(a)}$.

(iv) $\alpha \cdot \beta = 0$. Fix an arbitrary open neighbourhood W_0 of 0 in $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $W_0 = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) \setminus \left(\uparrow S_{(b_1)}^{(a_1)} \cup \dots \cup \uparrow S_{(b_k)}^{(a_k)} \right)$. Since $\alpha \cdot \beta = 0$ we have that $\mathbf{r}(\alpha) \cap \mathbf{d}(\beta) = \emptyset$. We put

$$\mathbf{B}_\alpha = \left\{ S_{(b)}^{(a)} : a \in \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}, b \in \mathbf{d}(\beta), \text{ and } \alpha \notin \uparrow S_{(b)}^{(a)} \right\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{B}_\beta = \left\{ S_{(b)}^{(a)} : b \in \{b_1, \dots, b_k\}, a \in \mathbf{r}(\alpha), \text{ and } \beta \notin \uparrow S_{(b)}^{(a)} \right\}.$$

Let $S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$ and $S_{(d_1, \dots, d_p)}^{(c_1, \dots, c_p)}$, $1 \leq k, p \leq n$, such that $\alpha \in S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$ and $\beta \in S_{(d_1, \dots, d_p)}^{(c_1, \dots, c_p)}$. Then the families \mathbf{B}_α and \mathbf{B}_β are finite, and hence by Remark 6(2) the sets

$$V_\alpha = S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} \setminus \bigcup_{S_{(b)}^{(a)} \in \mathbf{B}_\alpha} \uparrow S_{(b)}^{(a)} \quad \text{and} \quad V_\beta = S_{(d_1, \dots, d_p)}^{(c_1, \dots, c_p)} \setminus \bigcup_{S_{(b)}^{(a)} \in \mathbf{B}_\beta} \uparrow S_{(b)}^{(a)}$$

are open neighbourhoods of the points α and β in $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c)$, respectively, such that

$$V_\alpha \cdot \beta \subseteq W_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \cdot V_\beta \subseteq W_0.$$

(v) $\alpha \cdot \beta = \gamma \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{r}(\alpha) = \mathbf{d}(\beta)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_k \\ s_1 & \dots & s_k \\ b_1 & \dots & b_k \end{pmatrix}$ and $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_k \\ t_1 & \dots & t_k \\ c_1 & \dots & c_k \end{pmatrix}$, and hence we have that $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_k \\ s_1 t_1 & \dots & s_k t_k \\ c_1 & \dots & c_k \end{pmatrix}$. Then for any open neighbourhood

$$U_\gamma = \uparrow [U_1(s_1 t_1), \dots, U_k(s_k t_k)]_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} \setminus \left(\uparrow S_{(b_1^1, \dots, b_{l_1}^1)}^{(a_1^1, \dots, a_{l_1}^1)} \cup \dots \cup \uparrow S_{(b_1^p, \dots, b_{l_p}^p)}^{(a_1^p, \dots, a_{l_p}^p)} \right)$$

of γ in $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c)$ we have that

$$\uparrow [V_1(s_1), \dots, V_k(s_k)]_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} \cdot \beta \subseteq \uparrow [U_1(s_1 t_1), \dots, U_k(s_k t_k)]_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} \cap S_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} \subseteq U_\gamma$$

and

$$\alpha \cdot \uparrow [V_1(t_1), \dots, V_k(t_k)]_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^{(b_1, \dots, b_k)} \subseteq \uparrow [U_1(s_1 t_1), \dots, U_k(s_k t_k)]_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} \cap S_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} \subseteq U_\gamma,$$

where $V_1(s_1), \dots, V_k(s_k), V_1(t_1), \dots, V_k(t_k)$ are open neighbourhoods of the points $s_1, \dots, s_k, t_1, \dots, t_k$ in (S, τ_S) , respectively, such that

$$V_1(s_1) \cdot t_1 \subseteq U_1(s_1 t_1), \dots, V_k(s_k) \cdot t_k \subseteq U_k(s_k t_k)$$

and

$$s_1 \cdot V_1(t_1) \subseteq U_1(s_1 t_1), \dots, s_k \cdot V_k(t_k) \subseteq U_k(s_k t_k).$$

(vi) $\alpha \cdot \beta = \gamma \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{r}(\alpha) \subsetneq \mathbf{d}(\beta)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_k \\ s_1 & \dots & s_k \\ b_1 & \dots & b_k \end{pmatrix}$ and $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_k & b_{k+1} & \dots & b_{k+j} \\ t_1 & \dots & t_k & t_{k+1} & \dots & t_{k+j} \\ c_1 & \dots & c_k & c_{k+1} & \dots & c_{k+j} \end{pmatrix}$, where $1 \leq j \leq n - k$, and hence we have that $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_k \\ s_1 t_1 & \dots & s_k t_k \\ c_1 & \dots & c_k \end{pmatrix}$. Then for any open neighbourhood

$$U_\gamma = \uparrow [U_1(s_1 t_1), \dots, U_k(s_k t_k)]_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} \setminus \left(\uparrow S_{(b_1^1, \dots, b_{l_1}^1)}^{(a_1^1, \dots, a_{l_1}^1)} \cup \dots \cup \uparrow S_{(b_1^p, \dots, b_{l_p}^p)}^{(a_1^p, \dots, a_{l_p}^p)} \right)$$

of the point γ in $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c)$ we have that

$$\alpha \cdot \uparrow [V_1(t_1), \dots, V_k(t_k)]_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^{(b_1, \dots, b_k)} \subseteq \uparrow [U_1(s_1 t_1), \dots, U_k(s_k t_k)]_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} \cap S_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} \subseteq U_\gamma,$$

where $V_1(t_1), \dots, V_k(t_k)$ are open neighbourhoods of the points t_1, \dots, t_k in (S, τ_S) , respectively, such that

$$s_1 \cdot V_1(t_1) \subseteq U_1(s_1 t_1), \dots, s_k \cdot V_k(t_k) \subseteq U_k(s_k t_k).$$

Fix an arbitrary open neighbourhood U_γ of the point γ in $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c)$. Then Lemma 5 implies that without loss of generality we may assume that

$$U_\gamma = \uparrow [U_1(s_1 t_1), \dots, U_k(s_k t_k)]_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} \setminus \left(\uparrow S_{(c_1, \dots, c_k, y_1)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k, x_1)} \cup \dots \cup \uparrow S_{(c_1, \dots, c_k, y_p)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k, x_p)} \right)$$

for some $x_1, \dots, x_p \in \lambda \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ and $y_1, \dots, y_p \in \lambda \setminus \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$. We put

$$\mathbf{B}_\alpha = \left\{ S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k, b)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k, a)} : a \in \{x_1, \dots, x_p\} \text{ and } b \in \{b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{k+j}\} \right\}.$$

It is obvious that the family \mathbf{B}_α is finite. Then $V_\alpha \cdot \beta \subseteq U_\gamma$ for

$$V_\alpha = \uparrow [V_1(s_1), \dots, V_k(s_k)]_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} \setminus \bigcup_{S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k, b)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k, a)} \in \mathbf{B}_\alpha} \uparrow S_{(b_1, \dots, b_k, b)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k, a)},$$

where $V_1(s_1), \dots, V_k(s_k)$ are open neighbourhoods of the points s_1, \dots, s_k in (S, τ_S) , respectively, such that

$$V_1(s_1) \cdot t_1 \subseteq U_1(s_1 t_1), \dots, V_k(s_k) \cdot t_k \subseteq U_k(s_k t_k).$$

(vii) $\alpha \cdot \beta = \gamma \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{d}(\beta) \not\subseteq \mathbf{r}(\alpha)$. In this case the proof of separate continuity of the semigroup operation is similar to case (vi).

(viii) $\alpha \cdot \beta = \gamma \neq 0$, $\mathbf{d}(\gamma) \not\subseteq \mathbf{d}(\alpha)$ and $\mathbf{r}(\gamma) \not\subseteq \mathbf{r}(\beta)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_k & a_{k+1} & \dots & a_{k+m} \\ s_1 & \dots & s_k & s_{k+1} & \dots & s_{k+m} \\ b_1 & \dots & b_k & b_{k+1} & \dots & b_{k+m} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_k & b_{k+1} & \dots & b_{k+j} \\ t_1 & \dots & t_k & t_{k+1} & \dots & t_{k+j} \\ c_1 & \dots & c_k & c_{k+1} & \dots & c_{k+j} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_k \\ s_1 t_1 & \dots & s_k t_k \\ c_1 & \dots & c_k \end{pmatrix},$$

where $1 \leq j, m \leq n - k$. We put $\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_k \\ 1_S & \dots & 1_S \\ b_1 & \dots & b_k \end{pmatrix}$, where 1_S is the unit element of S . It is obvious that $\gamma = \alpha \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \beta$. Hence, in this case the separate continuity of the semigroup operation at the point $\alpha \cdot \beta$ in $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c)$ follows from cases (vi) and (vii).

The previous statements of this section imply that $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c \subseteq \tau_{\mathcal{S}}$ for any compact shift-continuous Hausdorff topology $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}$ on $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$, and hence $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c$ is the unique requested compact shift-continuous Hausdorff topology on $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$. \square

Corollary 7. *If (S, τ_S) is a compact Hausdorff semitopological inverse monoid with continuous inversion then $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c)$ is a compact Hausdorff semitopological inverse semigroup with continuous inversion.*

Proof. Since $W_0^{-1} = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) \setminus \left(\uparrow S_{(a_1^1, \dots, a_{p_1}^1)}^{(b_1^1, \dots, b_{p_1}^1)} \cup \dots \cup \uparrow S_{(a_1^k, \dots, a_{p_k}^k)}^{(b_1^k, \dots, b_{p_k}^k)} \right)$ for an arbitrary basic neighbourhood $W_0 = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S) \setminus \left(\uparrow S_{(b_1^1, \dots, b_{p_1}^1)}^{(a_1^1, \dots, a_{p_1}^1)} \cup \dots \cup \uparrow S_{(b_1^k, \dots, b_{p_k}^k)}^{(a_1^k, \dots, a_{p_k}^k)} \right)$ of zero, inversion is continuous at zero in $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c)$.

Also, for an arbitrary element $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_k \\ s_1 & \dots & s_k \\ b_1 & \dots & b_k \end{pmatrix}$ of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ and any its open neighbourhood

$$V_\alpha = \uparrow [V_1(s_1), \dots, V_k(s_k)]_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}^{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} \setminus \left(\uparrow S_{(b_1^1, \dots, b_{l_1}^1)}^{(a_1^1, \dots, a_{l_1}^1)} \cup \dots \cup \uparrow S_{(b_1^p, \dots, b_{l_p}^p)}^{(a_1^p, \dots, a_{l_p}^p)} \right)$$

we have that $(V_\alpha)^{-1} \subseteq U_{\alpha^{-1}}$ for the neighbourhood

$$U_{\alpha^{-1}} = \uparrow [U_1(s_1^{-1}), \dots, V_k(s_k^{-1})]_{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}^{(b_1, \dots, b_k)} \setminus \left(\uparrow S_{(a_1^1, \dots, a_1^1)}^{(b_1^1, \dots, b_1^1)} \cup \dots \cup \uparrow S_{(a_1^p, \dots, a_1^p)}^{(b_1^p, \dots, b_1^p)} \right)$$

of α^{-1} in $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c)$ with

$$(V_1(s_1))^{-1} \subseteq U_1(s_1^{-1}), \dots, (V_k(s_k))^{-1} \subseteq U_k(s_k^{-1}).$$

This completes the proof of the corollary. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the referee for several useful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. T. Banakh and S. Bardyla, *Characterizing chain-compact and chain-finite topological semilattices*, Semigroup Forum **98** (2019), no. 2, 234–250. DOI: 10.1007/s00233-018-9921-x
2. T. Banakh and S. Bardyla, *Completeness and absolute H-closedness of topological semilattices*, Topology Appl. **260** (2019), 189–202. DOI: 10.1016/j.topol.2019.04.001
3. T. Banakh and S. Bardyla, *On images of complete topologized subsemilattices in sequential semitopological semilattices*, Preprint (arXiv:1806.02864).
4. T. Banakh and S. Bardyla, *Complete topologized posets and semilattices*, Preprint (arXiv:1806.02869).
5. T. Banakh, S. Bardyla, and A. Ravsky, *The closedness of complete subsemilattices in functionally Hausdorff semitopological semilattices*, Preprint (arXiv:1806.02868).
6. S. Bardyla and O. Gutik, *On \mathcal{H} -complete topological semilattices*, Mat. Stud. **38** (2012), no. 2, 118–123.
7. S. Bardyla and O. Gutik, *On a complete topological inverse polycyclic monoid*, Carpathian Math. Publ. **8** (2016), no. 2, 183–194. DOI: 10.15330/cmp.8.2.183-194
8. S. Bardyla, O. Gutik, and A. Ravsky, *H-closed quasitopological groups*, Topology Appl. **217** (2017), 51–58. DOI: 10.1016/j.topol.2016.12.003
9. T. Berezovski, O. Gutik, and K. Pavlyk, *Brandt extensions and primitive topological inverse semigroups*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2010** (2010) Article ID 671401, 13 pages. DOI: 10.1155/2010/671401.
10. I. Chuchman and O. Gutik, *On H-closed topological semigroups and semilattices*, Algebra Discr. Math. (2007), no. 1, 13–23.
11. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Vol. I., Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, Providence, R.I., 1961; Vol. II., Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, Providence, R.I., 1967.
12. R. Engelking, *General topology*, 2nd ed., Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
13. J. A. Green, *On the structure of semigroups*, Ann. Math. (2) **54** (1951), no. 1, 163–172. DOI: 10.2307/1969317
14. P. A. Grillet, *Semigroups. An introduction to the structure theory*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1995.
15. O. V. Gutik, *On Howie semigroup*, Mat. Metody Phis.-Mech. Polya. **42** (1999), no. 4, 127–132 (in Ukrainian).
16. O. Gutik, *On closures in semitopological inverse semigroups with continuous inversion*, Algebra Discrete Math. **18** (2014), no. 1, 59–85.
17. O. Gutik, *Topological properties of Taimanov semigroups*, Mat. Visn. Nauk. Tov. Im. Shevchenka **13** (2016), 29–34.

18. O. Gutik, J. Lawson, and D. Repovš, *Semigroup closures of finite rank symmetric inverse semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **78** (2009), no. 2, 326–336. DOI: 10.1007/s00233-008-9112-2
19. O. V. Gutik and K. P. Pavlyk, *H-closed topological semigroups and topological Brandt λ -extensions*, Mat. Metody Fiz.-Mekh. Polya **44** (2001), no. 3, 20–28 (in Ukrainian).
20. O. Gutik and K. Pavlyk, *Topological Brandt λ -extensions of absolutely H-closed topological inverse semigroups*. Visnyk Lviv. Univ. Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **61** (2003), 98–105.
21. O. V. Gutik and K. P. Pavlyk, *On topological semigroups of matrix units*, Semigroup Forum **71** (2005), no. 3, 389–400. DOI: 10.1007/s00233-005-0530-0
22. O. V. Gutik and K. P. Pavlyk, *On Brandt λ^0 -extensions of semigroups with zero*, Mat. Metody Phis.-Mech. Polya **49** (2006), no. 3, 26–40.
23. O. Gutik and K. Pavlyk, *On pseudocompact topological Brandt λ^0 -extensions of semitopological monoids*, Topol. Algebra Appl. **1** (2013), 60–79. DOI: 10.2478/taa-2013-0007
24. O. V. Gutik and A. R. Reiter, *Symmetric inverse topological semigroups of finite rank $\leq n$* , Mat. Metody Fiz.-Mekh. Polya **52** (2009), no. 3, 7–14; reprinted version: J. Math. Sc. **171** (2010), no. 4, 425–432. DOI: 10.1007/s10958-010-0147-z
25. O. Gutik and A. Reiter, *On semitopological symmetric inverse semigroups of a bounded finite rank*, Visnyk Lviv Univ. Ser. Mech. Math. **72** (2010), 94–106 (in Ukrainian).
26. O. Gutik and D. Repovš, *On linearly ordered H-closed topological semilattices*, Semigroup Forum **77** (2008), no. 3, 474–481. DOI: 10.1007/s00233-008-9102-4
27. O. Gutik and D. Repovš, *On Brandt λ^0 -extensions of monoids with zero*, Semigroup Forum **80** (2010), no. 1, 8–32. DOI: 10.1007/s00233-009-9191-8
28. R. J. Koch and A. D. Wallace, *Stability in semigroups*, Duke Math. J. **24** (1957), no. 2, 193–195. DOI: 10.1215/S0012-7094-57-02425-0
29. J. C. Meakin, *Congruences on orthodox semigroups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **12** (1971), no. 3, 323–341. DOI: 10.1017/S1446788700009794
30. L. O'Carroll, *Counterexamples in stable semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **146** (1969), 377–386. DOI: 10.2307/1995178
31. M. Petrich, *Inverse semigroups*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984.
32. J. W. Stepp, *A note on maximal locally compact semigroups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1969), no. 1, 251–253. DOI: 10.2307/2036002
33. V. V. Wagner, *Generalized groups*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **84** (1952), no. 6, 1119–1122 (in Russian).

*Стаття: надійшла до редколегії 17.06.2019
доопрацьована 23.08.2019
прийнята до друку 13.11.2019*

**РОЗШИРЕННЯ НАПІВГРУП СИМЕТРИЧНИМИ
ІНВЕРСНИМИ НАПІВГРУПАМИ ОБМЕЖЕНОГО
СКІНЧЕННОГО РАНГУ****Олег ГУТІК, Олександр СОБОЛЬ**

*Львівський національний університет імені Івана Франка,
вул. Університетська, 1, 79000, м. Львів
e-mail: oleg.gutik@lnu.edu.ua, ovgutik@yahoo.com,
o.yu.sobol@gmail.com*

Вивчаємо напівгрупове розширення $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ напівгрупи S симетричною інверсною напівгрупою обмеженого скінченного рангу n . Описуємо ідемпотенти та регулярні елементи напівгрупи $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$, доводимо, що напівгрупа $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ є регулярною, ортодоксальною, інверсною або стійкою тоді і тільки тоді, коли такою напівгрупою є моноїд S . Описані відношення Гріна на напівгрупі $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ для довільного моноїда S . Вводимо поняття напівгрупи з сильними щільними ідеальними рядами і доводимо, що для довільного нескінченного кардинала λ та довільного натурального числа n напівгрупа $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S)$ має сильний щільний ідеальний ряд за умови, коли моноїд S також має сильний щільний ідеальний ряд. На завершення доводимо, що для кожного компактного гаусдорфового напівтопологічного моноїда (S, τ_S) існує єдине його компактне топологічне розширення $(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n(S), \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^c)$ в класі гаусдорфових напівтопологічних напівгруп.

Ключові слова: інверсна напівгрупа, симетрична інверсна напівгрупа скінченних перетворень, відношення Гріна, напівгрупа зі щільними ідеальними рядами, напівтопологічна напівгрупа, компактна напівгрупа.