

УДК 515.12

A FUNCTIONAL REPRESENTATION OF THE CAPACITY MULTIPLICATION MONAD

Dedicated to the 60th birthday of M. M. Zarichnyi

Taras RADUL

*Ivan Franko National University of Lviv,
Universitetska Str., 1, 79000, Lviv, Ukraine
Casimirus the Great University of Bydgoszcz, Poland
e-mail: tarasradul@yahoo.co.uk*

Functional representations of the capacity monad based on the max and min operations were considered in [10] and [7]. Nykyforchyn considered in [8] some alternative monad structure for the possibility capacity functor based on the max and usual multiplication operations. We show that such a capacity monad (which we call the capacity multiplication monad) has a functional representation, i.e. the space of capacities on a compactum X can be naturally embedded (with preservation of the monad structure) in some space of functionals on $C(X, I)$. We also describe this space of functionals in terms of properties of functionals.

Key words: Banach space, locally convex space, approximation, Schrödinger operator

1. INTRODUCTION

Functional representations of monads (i.e. natural embeddings into $\mathbb{R}^{C(X,S)}$ which preserves a monad structure where S is a subset of \mathbb{R}) were considered in [11] and [12]. Some functional representations of hyperspace monad were constructed in [13] and [14].

Capacities (non-additive measures, fuzzy measures) were introduced by Choquet in [1] as a natural generalization of additive measures. They found numerous applications (see for example [2],[4],[16]). Categorical and topological properties of spaces of upper-semicontinuous capacities on compact Hausdorff spaces were investigated in [9].

In particular, the capacity functor was constructed. This functor is a functorial part of a capacity monad \mathbb{M} based on the max and min operations.

The space of capacities MX can be naturally embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{C(X)}$ by means of the Choquet integral. In other words, the Choquet integral provides some functional representation of the functor M . However, this representation does not preserve the monad structure. Nykyforchyn using the Sugeno integral provided a functional representation of capacities as functionals on the space $C(X, I)$ which preserves the monad structure [7]. Some modification of the Sugeno integral yields a functional representation of capacities as functionals on the space $C(X)$ [10].

Let us remark that the min operation is a triangular norm on the unit interval I . Another important triangular norm is the multiplication operation. Nykyforchyn constructed a capacity monad based on the max and multiplication operations [8]. (Let us remark that recently Zarichnyi proposed to use triangular norms to construct monads [20]). The main aim of this paper is to find a representation of the monad from [8]. We use a fuzzy integral based on the max and multiplication operations for this purpose.

2. CAPACITIES AND MONADS

By **Comp** we denote the category of compact Hausdorff spaces (compacta) and continuous maps. For each compactum X we denote by $C(X)$ the Banach space of all continuous functions $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the usual sup-norm: $\|\phi\| = \sup\{|\phi(x)| \mid x \in X\}$. We also consider on $C(X)$ the natural partial order.

In what follows, all spaces and maps are assumed to be in **Comp** except for \mathbb{R} , the spaces $C(X)$ and functionals defined on $C(X)$ with X compact Hausdorff.

We recall some categorical notions (see [15] and [17] for more details). We define them only for the category **Comp**. The central notion is the notion of monad (or triple) in the sense of S.Eilenberg and J.Moore.

A *monad* [3] $\mathbb{T} = (T, \eta, \mu)$ in the category **Comp** consists of an endofunctor $T : \mathbf{Comp} \rightarrow \mathbf{Comp}$ and natural transformations $\eta : \text{Id}_{\mathbf{Comp}} \rightarrow T$ (unity), $\mu : T^2 \rightarrow T$ (multiplication) satisfying the relations $\mu \circ T\eta = \mu \circ \eta T = \mathbf{1}_T$ and $\mu \circ \mu T = \mu \circ T\mu$. (By $\text{Id}_{\mathbf{Comp}}$ we denote the identity functor on the category **Comp** and T^2 is the superposition $T \circ T$ of T .)

Let $\mathbb{T} = (T, \eta, \mu)$ be a monad in the category **Comp**. The pair (X, ξ) where $\xi : TX \rightarrow X$ is a map is called a \mathbb{T} -*algebra* if $\xi \circ \eta X = \text{id}_X$ and $\xi \circ \mu X = \xi \circ T\xi$. Let $(X, \xi), (Y, \xi')$ be two \mathbb{T} -algebras. A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a morphism of \mathbb{T} -algebras if $\xi' \circ Tf = f \circ \xi$.

A natural transformation $\psi : T \rightarrow T'$ is called a *morphism* from a monad $\mathbb{T} = (T, \eta, \mu)$ into a monad $\mathbb{T}' = (T', \eta', \mu')$ if $\psi \circ \eta = \eta'$ and $\psi \circ \mu = \mu' \circ \eta T' \circ T\psi$. If all of the components of ψ are monomorphisms then the monad \mathbb{T} is called a *submonad* of \mathbb{T}' and ψ is called a *monad embedding*.

Let A be a subset of X . By $\mathcal{F}(X)$ we denote the family of all closed subsets of X . Put $I = [0, 1]$.

We follow a terminology from [9]. A function $\nu : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow I$ is called an *upper-semicontinuous capacity* on X if the following three properties hold for each closed subsets F and G of X :

- (1) $\nu(X) = 1, \nu(\emptyset) = 0$,
- (2) if $F \subset G$, then $\nu(F) \leq \nu(G)$,

- (3) if $\nu(F) < a$, then there exists an open set $O \supset F$ such that $\nu(B) < a$ for each compactum $B \subset O$.

A capacity ν is extended in [9] to all open subsets $U \subset X$ by the formula

$$\nu(U) = \sup\{\nu(K) \mid K \text{ is a closed subset of } X \text{ such that } K \subset U\}.$$

It was proved in [9] that the space MX of all upper-semicontinuous capacities on a compactum X is a compactum as well, if a topology on MX is defined by a subbase that consists of all sets of the form $O_-(F, a) = \{c \in MX \mid c(F) < a\}$, where F is a closed subset of X , $a \in [0, 1]$, and $O_+(U, a) = \{c \in MX \mid c(U) > a\}$, where U is an open subset of X , $a \in [0, 1]$. Since all capacities under consideration here are upper-semicontinuous, in the following we call the elements of MX simply capacities.

A capacity $\nu \in MX$ for a compactum X is called a necessity (possibility) capacity if for each family $\{A_t\}_{t \in T}$ of closed subsets of X (such that $\bigcup_{t \in T} A_t$ is a closed subset of X) we have $\nu(\bigcap_{t \in T} A_t) = \inf_{t \in T} \nu(A_t)$ ($\nu(\bigcup_{t \in T} A_t) = \sup_{t \in T} \nu(A_t)$). (See [19] for more details.) We denote by $M_\cap X$ ($M_\cup X$) the subspace of MX consisting of all necessity (possibility) capacities. Since X is compact and ν is upper-semicontinuous, $\nu \in M_\cap X$ if and only if ν satisfies the simpler requirement that $\nu(A \cap B) = \min\{\nu(A), \nu(B)\}$.

If ν is a capacity on a compactum X , then the function $\kappa X(\nu)$ defined on the family $\mathcal{F}(X)$ by the formula $\kappa X(\nu)(F) = 1 - \nu(X \setminus F)$, is a capacity as well. It is called the dual capacity (or conjugate capacity) to ν . The mapping $\kappa X : MX \rightarrow MX$ is a homeomorphism and an involution [9]. Moreover, ν is a necessity capacity if and only if $\kappa X(\nu)$ is a possibility capacity. This implies in particular that $\nu \in M_\cup X$ if and only if ν satisfies the simpler requirement that $\nu(A \cup B) = \max\{\nu(A), \nu(B)\}$. It is easy to check that $M_\cap X$ and $M_\cup X$ are closed subsets of MX .

The assignment M extends to the capacity functor M in the category of compacta, if the map $Mf : MX \rightarrow MY$ for a continuous map of compacta $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by the formula $Mf(c)(F) = c(f^{-1}(F))$ where $c \in MX$ and F is a closed subset of X . This functor was completed to the monad $\mathbb{M} = (M, \eta, \mu)$ [9], where the components of the natural transformations are defined as follows: $\eta X(x)(F) = 1$ if $x \in F$ and $\eta X(x)(F) = 0$ if $x \notin F$;

$$\mu X(\mathcal{C})(F) = \sup\{t \in [0, 1] \mid \mathcal{C}(\{c \in MX \mid c(F) \geq t\}) \geq t\},$$

where $x \in X$, F is a closed subset of X and $\mathcal{C} \in M^2(X)$ (see [9] for more details).

It was shown in [5] that M_\cup and M_\cap are subfunctors of M and if we take the corresponding restrictions of the functions μX , we obtain submonads \mathbb{M}_\cup and \mathbb{M}_\cap of the monad \mathbb{M} .

The semicontinuity of capacities yields that we can change sup for max in the definition of the map μX . More precisely, existing of max follows from Lemma 3.7 [9]. For a closed set $F \subset X$ and for $t \in I$ put $F_t = \{c \in MX \mid c(F) \geq t\}$. We can rewrite the definition of the map μX as follows

$$\mu X(\mathcal{C})(F) = \max\{\mathcal{C}(F_t) \wedge t \mid t \in (0, 1]\}.$$

Let us remark that the operation \wedge is a triangular norm. It seems natural to consider another triangular norm instead of \wedge . Define the map $\mu^\bullet X : M^2 X \rightarrow MX$ by the formula

$$\mu^\bullet X(\mathcal{C})(F) = \max\{\mathcal{C}(F_t) \cdot t \mid t \in (0, 1]\}.$$

(Existence of max also follows from Lemma 3.7 [9].)

Proposition 1. *The natural transformation μ^\bullet does not satisfy the property $\mu^\bullet \circ \mu^\bullet M = \mu^\bullet \circ M \mu^\bullet$.*

Proof. Consider $X = \{a, b\}$, where $\{a, b\}$ is a two-point discrete space. Define $\mathcal{A}_1 \in M^2 X$ as follows $\mathcal{A}_1(\alpha) = 1$ if and only if $\alpha \supset \{a\}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_1(\alpha) = 0$ otherwise for $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(MX)$. Define $\mathcal{A}_2 \in M^2 X$ as follows $\mathcal{A}_2(\alpha) = 1$ if and only if $\alpha = MX$, $\mathcal{A}_2(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}$ if and only if $\alpha \supset \{a\}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_1(\alpha) = 0$ otherwise for $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(MX)$. Now, define $\mathfrak{J} \in M^3(X)$ by the formula

$$\mathfrak{J}(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\eta M^2 X(\mathcal{A}_1)(\Lambda) + \frac{1}{2}\eta M^2 X(\mathcal{A}_2)(\Lambda)$$

for $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}(M^2 X)$.

We have

$$\mu^\bullet X \circ M(\mu^\bullet X)(\mathfrak{J})(\{a\}) = \max\{\mathfrak{J}((\mu^\bullet X)^{-1}(\{a\}_t)) \cdot t \mid t \in (0, 1]\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\mu^\bullet X(\mathcal{A}_1)(\{a\}) = \mu^\bullet X(\mathcal{A}_2)(\{a\}) = \frac{1}{2}$. Then $\mathfrak{J}((\mu^\bullet X)^{-1}(\{a\}_{\frac{1}{2}})) \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$. Hence we obtain $\mu^\bullet X \circ \mu^\bullet MX(\mathfrak{J})(\{a\}) \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^\bullet X \circ \mu^\bullet MX(\mathfrak{J})(\{a\}) &= \max\{\mu^\bullet MX(\mathfrak{J})(\{a\}_t) \cdot t \mid t \in (0, 1]\} = \\ &= \max\{\max\{\mathfrak{J}(\{a\}_t)_s \cdot s \mid s \in (0, 1]\} \cdot t \mid t \in (0, 1]\}. \end{aligned}$$

The function $\delta(s, t) = \mathfrak{J}(\{a\}_t)_s$ is nonincreasing on both variables. We have $\delta(s, t) = 0$ for each (s, t) such that $s > \frac{1}{2}$ and $t > \frac{1}{2}$. Moreover $\delta(1, \frac{1}{2}) = \delta(\frac{1}{2}, 1) = \frac{1}{2}$. Hence

$$\mu^\bullet X \circ \mu^\bullet MX(\mathfrak{J})(\{a\}) = \max\{\max\{\mathfrak{J}(\{a\}_t)_s \cdot s \mid s \in (0, 1]\} \cdot t \mid t \in (0, 1]\} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

□

Remark 1. Since the triple $\mathbb{M}^\bullet = (M, \eta, \mu^\bullet)$ does not form a monad, the problem of uniqueness of the monad \mathbb{M} stated in [9] is still open.

But things may turn out differently if we restrict the map $\mu^\bullet X$ to the set $M_\cup(M_\cup X) \subset M(MX)$. It is easy to see that for such restriction we can consider the sets A_t in the definition of the map $\mu^\bullet X$ as subsets of $M_\cup X$. It was deduced from some general facts that the triple $\mathbb{M}_\cup^\bullet = (M_\cup, \eta, \mu^\bullet)$ is a monad [8]. For the sake of completeness we give here a direct proof.

Lemma 1. *We have $\mu^\bullet X(M_\cup(M_\cup X)) \subset M_\cup X$ for each compactum X .*

Proof. Consider any $\mathcal{A} \in M_\cup(M_\cup X)$ and $B, C \in \mathcal{F}(X)$. Since B_t and C_t are subsets of $M_\cup X$, we have $(C \cup B)_t = C_t \cup B_t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^\bullet X(\mathcal{A})(B \cup C) &= \max\{\mathcal{A}((C \cup B)_t) \cdot t \mid t \in (0, 1]\} = \\ &= \max\{\mathcal{A}(C_t \cup B_t) \cdot t \mid t \in (0, 1]\} = \\ &= \max\{\max\{\mathcal{A}(C_t) \cdot t \mid t \in (0, 1]\}, \max\{\mathcal{A}(B_t) \cdot t \mid t \in (0, 1]\}\} = \\ &= \max\{\mu^\bullet X(\mathcal{A})(B), \mu^\bullet X(\mathcal{A})(C)\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

We will use the notation $\mu^\bullet X$ also for the restriction $\mu^\bullet X|_{M_\cup X}$.

Theorem 1. *The triple $\mathbb{M}_{\cup}^{\bullet} = (M_{\cup}, \eta, \mu^{\bullet})$ is a monad.*

Proof. It is easy to check that η and μ^{\bullet} are well-defined natural transformations of the corresponding functors. Let us check two monad properties.

Take any compactum X , $\nu \in M_{\cup}X$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{\bullet}X \circ \eta M_{\cup}X(\nu)(A) &= \max\{\eta M_{\cup}X(\nu)(A_t) \cdot t \mid t \in (0, 1]\} = \\ &= \nu(A) \text{ and } \mu^{\bullet}X \circ M_{\cup}(\eta X)(\nu)(A) = \\ &= \max\{M_{\cup}(\eta X)(\nu)(A_t) \cdot t \mid t \in (0, 1]\} = \\ &= \max\{\nu((\eta X)^{-1}(A_t)) \cdot t \mid t \in (0, 1]\} = \\ &= \max\{\nu(A) \cdot t \mid t \in (0, 1]\} = \nu(A). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the equality $\mu^{\bullet} \circ M_{\cup}\eta = \mu^{\bullet} \circ \eta M_{\cup} = \mathbf{1}_{M_{\cup}}$.

Now, consider any $\mathfrak{J} \in M_{\cup}^3(X)$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$. Put

$$a = \mu^{\bullet}X \circ M_{\cup}(\mu^{\bullet}X)(\mathfrak{J})(A) = \max\{\mathfrak{J}((\mu^{\bullet}X)^{-1}(A_t)) \cdot t \mid t \in (0, 1]\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} b &= \mu^{\bullet}X \circ \mu^{\bullet}M_{\cup}X(\mathfrak{J})(\{a\}) = \\ &= \max\{\mu^{\bullet}M_{\cup}X(\mathfrak{J})(A_t) \cdot t \mid t \in (0, 1]\} = \\ &= \max\{\max\{\mathfrak{J}((A_t)_s) \cdot s \mid s \in (0, 1]\} \cdot t \mid t \in (0, 1]\}. \end{aligned}$$

There exists $t_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that $a = \mathfrak{J}((\mu^{\bullet}X)^{-1}(A_{t_0})) \cdot t_0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu^{\bullet}X)^{-1}(A_{t_0}) &= \{\mathcal{A} \in M_{\cup}^2(X) \mid \mu^{\bullet}X(\mathcal{A}) \geq t_0\} = \\ &= \{\mathcal{A} \in M_{\cup}^2(X) \mid \text{there exists } c \in (0, 1] \text{ such that } \mathcal{A}(A_c) \cdot c \geq t_0\} = \\ &= \left\{ \mathcal{A} \in M_{\cup}^2(X) \mid \text{there exists } c \in (0, 1] \text{ such that } \mathcal{A}(A_c) \geq \frac{t_0}{c} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathfrak{J} is a possibility capacity, there exists $\mathcal{A}_0 \in M_{\cup}^2(X)$ and $c_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that $\mathcal{A}_0(A_{c_0}) \geq \frac{t_0}{c_0}$ and $\mathfrak{J}((\mu^{\bullet}X)^{-1}(A_{t_0})) = \mathfrak{J}(\{\mathcal{A}_0\})$. But then we have

$$a \leq \mathfrak{J}((A_{c_0})_{\frac{t_0}{c_0}}) \cdot t_0 = \mathfrak{J}((A_{c_0})_{\frac{t_0}{c_0}}) \cdot \frac{t_0}{c_0} \cdot c_0 \leq b.$$

On the other hand choose $p_0, z_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that $b = \mathfrak{J}((A_{p_0})_{z_0}) \cdot p_0 \cdot z_0$. Since \mathfrak{J} is a possibility capacity, there exists $\mathcal{B}_0 \in (A_{p_0})_{z_0}$ such that $\mathfrak{J}((A_{p_0})_{z_0}) = \mathfrak{J}(\{\mathcal{B}_0\})$. We have $\mathcal{B}_0(A_{p_0}) \geq z_0$, hence $\mu^{\bullet}X(\mathcal{B}_0)(A) \geq z_0 \cdot p_0$. Then we obtain

$$b = \mathfrak{J}(\{\mathcal{B}_0\}) \cdot p_0 \cdot z_0 \leq \mathfrak{J}((\mu^{\bullet}X)^{-1}(A_{p_0 \cdot z_0})) \cdot p_0 \cdot z_0 \leq a.$$

□

3. FUNCTIONAL REPRESENTATION OF THE MONAD $\mathbb{M}_{\cup}^{\bullet}$

A monad $\mathcal{F} = (F, \eta, \mu)$ is called an *IL-monad* if there exists a map $\xi : FI \rightarrow I$ such that the pair (I, ξ) is an \mathcal{F} -algebra and for each $X \in \mathbf{Comp}$ there exists a point-separating family of F -algebras morphisms $\{f_{\alpha} : (FX, \mu X) \rightarrow (I, \xi) \mid \alpha \in A\}$ [12].

There was defined a monad \mathbb{V}_I in [12], which is universal in the class of IL-monads. By $V_I X$ we denote the power $I^{C(X, I)}$. For a map $\phi \in C(X, I)$ we denote by π_{ϕ} or $\pi(\phi)$

the corresponding projection $\pi_\phi : V_I X \rightarrow I$. For each map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ we define the map $V_I f : V_I X \rightarrow V_I Y$ by the formula $\pi_\phi \circ V_I f = \pi_{\phi \circ f}$ for $\phi \in C(Y, I)$. For a compactum X , we define components hX and mX of natural transformations by $\pi_\phi \circ hX = \phi$ and $\pi_\phi \circ mX = \pi(\pi_\phi)$ for all $\phi \in C(X, I)$. The triple $\mathbb{V}_I = (V_I, h, m)$ forms a monad in the category **Comp** and for each monad \mathcal{F} there exists a monad embedding $l : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_I$ if and only if \mathcal{F} is IL-monad [12]. Moreover, for a compactum X the map $lX : FX \rightarrow V_I X$ is defined by the conditions $\pi_\phi \circ lX = \xi \circ F\phi$ for each $\psi \in C(X, I)$.

Theorem 2. *The monad \mathbb{M}_\cup^\bullet is an IL-monad.*

Proof. Define the map $\xi : M_\cup I \rightarrow I$ by the formula $\xi(\nu) = \max\{\nu([t, 1] \cdot t \mid t \in (0, 1])\}$. We can check that the pair (I, ξ) is an \mathbb{M}_\cup^\bullet -algebra by the same but simpler arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.

Consider any compactum X and two distinct capacities $\nu, \beta \in M_\cup X$. Then there exists $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ such that $\nu(A) \neq \beta(A)$. We can suppose that $\nu(A) < \beta(A)$. Since ν and β are possibility capacities, there exist $a, b \in A$ such that $\nu(\{a\}) = \nu(A)$ and $\beta(\{b\}) = \beta(A)$. Choose a point $t \in (\nu(A), \beta(A))$. Put $B = \{x \in X \mid \nu(\{x\}) \geq t\}$. Since ν is a possibility capacity and $\nu(X) = 1$, B is not empty. Since ν is upper semicontinuous, B is closed. Evidently, $B \cap A = \emptyset$. Choose a function $\varphi \in C(X, I)$ such that $\varphi(B) \subset \{0\}$ and $\varphi(A) \subset \{1\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\varphi \circ lX(\nu) &= \xi \circ M_\cup \varphi(\nu) = \\ &= \max\{M_\cup \varphi(\nu)([s, 1] \cdot s \mid s \in (0, 1])\} = \\ &= \max\{\nu(\varphi^{-1}[s, 1]) \cdot s \mid s \in (0, 1])\} \leq \\ &\leq t < \beta(A) \leq \beta(\varphi^{-1}\{1\}) \cdot 1 \leq \\ &\leq \pi_\varphi \circ lX(\beta) \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that

$$\pi_\phi \circ lX = \xi \circ \mathbb{M}_\cup \phi : \mathbb{M}_\cup X \rightarrow I$$

is a morphism of \mathbb{M}_\cup^\bullet -algebras . □

Hence we obtain a monad embedding $l : \mathbb{M}_\cup^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_I$ such that

$$\pi_\varphi \circ lX(\nu) = \max\{\nu(\varphi^{-1}[s, 1]) \cdot s \mid s \in (0, 1])\}$$

for each compactum X , $\nu \in M_\cup X$ and $\varphi \in C(X, I)$.

Let X be any compactum. For any $c \in I$ we will denote by c_X the constant function on X taking the value c . Following the notations of idempotent mathematics (see e.g., [6]) we use the notation \oplus in I and $C(X, I)$ as an alternative for max. We will use the notation $\nu(\varphi) = \pi_\varphi \circ lX(\nu)$ for $\nu \in V_I X$ and $\varphi \in C(X, I)$.

Consider the subset $SX \subset V_I X$ consisting of all functionals ν satisfying the following conditions

- (1) $\nu(1_X) = 1$;
- (2) $\nu(\lambda \cdot \varphi) = \lambda \cdot \nu(\varphi)$ for each $\lambda \in I$ and $\varphi \in C(X, I)$;
- (3) $\nu(\psi \oplus \varphi) = \nu(\psi) \oplus \nu(\varphi)$ for each $\psi, \varphi \in C(X, I)$.

Let us remark that properties 1 and 2 yield that $\nu(c_X) = c$ for each $\nu \in SX$ and $c \in I$.

Theorem 3. $lX(M_{\cup}X) = SX$.

Proof. Consider any $\nu \in M_{\cup}X$. Put $v = lX(\nu)$. Then we have

$$v(1_X) = \max\{\nu((1_X)^{-1}[s, 1]) \cdot s \mid s \in (0, 1]\} = \max\{\nu(X) \cdot s \mid s \in (0, 1]\} = 1.$$

Take any $c \in I$ and $\varphi \in C(X, I)$. For $c = 0$, Property 2 is trivial. For $c > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(c\varphi) &= \max\{\nu((c\varphi)^{-1}[s, 1]) \cdot s \mid s \in (0, 1]\} = \\ &= \max\{\nu(\varphi^{-1}[\frac{s}{c}, 1]) \cdot \frac{s}{c} \mid s \in (0, 1]\} \cdot c = \\ &= c \cdot v(\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Consider any ψ and $\varphi \in C(X, I)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} v(\psi \oplus \varphi) &= \max\{\nu((\psi \oplus \varphi)^{-1}[s, 1]) \cdot s \mid s \in (0, 1]\} = \\ &= \max\{\nu(\psi^{-1}[s, 1] \cup \varphi^{-1}[s, 1]) \cdot s \mid s \in (0, 1]\} = \\ &= \max\{(\nu(\psi^{-1}[s, 1]) \oplus \nu(\varphi^{-1}[s, 1])) \cdot s \mid s \in (0, 1]\} = \\ &= v(\psi) \oplus v(\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

We obtained $lX(M_{\cup}X) \subset SX$.

Take any $v \in SX$. For $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ put

$$\Upsilon_A = \{\varphi \in C(X, I) \mid \varphi(a) = 1 \text{ for each } a \in A\}.$$

Define $\nu : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow I$ as follows $\nu(A) = \inf\{v(\varphi) \mid \varphi \in \Upsilon_A\}$ if $A \neq \emptyset$ and $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$. It is easy to see that ν satisfies Conditions 1 and 2 from the definition of capacity.

Let $\nu(A) < \eta$ for some $\eta \in I$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$. Then there exists $\varphi \in \Upsilon_A$ such that $v(\varphi) = \chi < \eta$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(1 + \varepsilon)\chi < \eta$. Put $\delta = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}$ and $\psi = \min\{\delta_X, \varphi\}$. Then $v(\psi) \leq v(\varphi) = \chi$ and $v((1 + \varepsilon)\psi) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\chi < \eta$. Put $U = \varphi^{-1}(\delta, 1]$. Evidently, U is an open set and $U \supset A$. But for each compact $K \subset U$ we have $(1 + \varepsilon)\psi \in \Upsilon_K$. Hence $\nu(K) < \eta$.

Finally take any $A, B \in \mathcal{F}(X)$. Evidently, $\nu(A \cup B) \geq \nu(A) \oplus \nu(B)$. Suppose that $\nu(A \cup B) > \nu(A) \oplus \nu(B)$. Then there exists $\varphi \in \Upsilon_A$ and $\psi \in \Upsilon_B$ such that $\nu(A \cup B) > v(\varphi) \oplus v(\psi) = v(\varphi \oplus \psi)$. However, $\varphi \oplus \psi \in \Upsilon_{A \cup B}$ and we obtain a contradiction. Hence $\nu \in M_{\cup}X$.

Let us show that $lX(\nu) = v$. Take any $\varphi \in C(X, I)$. Denote $\varphi_t = \varphi^{-1}[t, 1]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} lX(\nu)(\varphi) &= \max\{\inf\{v(\chi) \mid \chi \in \Upsilon_{\varphi_t}\} \cdot t \mid t \in (0, 1]\} = \\ &= \max\{\inf\{v(t\chi) \mid \chi \in \Upsilon_{\varphi_t}\} \mid t \in (0, 1]\}. \end{aligned}$$

For each $t \in (0, 1]$ put $\chi_t = \min\{\frac{1}{t}\varphi, 1_X\} \in \Upsilon_{\varphi_t}$. We have $t\chi \leq \varphi$, hence $v(t\chi) \leq v(\varphi)$. Then we have $\inf\{v(t\chi) \mid \chi \in \Upsilon_{\varphi_t}\} \leq v(\varphi)$ for each $t \in (0, 1]$, hence $lX(\nu)(\varphi) \leq v(\varphi)$.

Suppose that $lX(\nu)(\varphi) < v(\varphi)$. Choose any $a \in (lX(\nu)(\varphi), v(\varphi))$. Then for each $t \in (0, 1]$ there exists $\chi_t \in \Upsilon_{\varphi_t}$ such that $v(t\chi_t) < a$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(1 + \varepsilon)a < v(\varphi)$. Put $\delta = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}$. Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\delta^n < v(\varphi)$. Put $\psi_{n+1} = \delta_X^n$ and $\psi_i = \delta^{i-1}\chi_{\delta^i}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We have $v(\psi_i) < v(\varphi)$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$. Put $\psi = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} \psi_i$. Then $v(\psi) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} v(\psi_i) < v(\varphi)$. On the other hand $\varphi \leq \psi$ and we obtain a contradiction. \square

Hence we obtain, in fact, that the monad $\mathbb{M}_{\cup}^{\bullet}$ is isomorphic to a submonad of \mathbb{V}_I with functorial part acting on compactum X as SX . Let us remark that this monad is one of monads generated by t-norms considered by Zarichnyi [20]. Thus the following question seems to be natural: can we generalize the results of this paper to any continuous t-norms?

REFERENCES

1. G. Choquet, *Theory of capacity*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **5** (1954), 13–295. DOI: 10.5802/aif.53
2. J. Eichberger and D. Kelsey, *Non-additive beliefs and strategic equilibria*, Games Econ. Behav. **30** (2000), no. 2, 183–215. DOI: 10.1006/game.1998.0724
3. S. Eilenberg and J. C. Moore, *Adjoint functors and triples*, Ill. J. Math. **9** (1965), no. 3, 381–389. DOI: 10.1215/ijm/1256068141
4. I. Gilboa, *Expected utility with purely subjective non-additive probabilities*, J. Math. Econ **16** (1987), no. 1, 65–88. DOI: 10.1016/0304-4068(87)90022-X
5. I. D. Hlushak and O. R. Nykyforchyn, *Submonads of the capacity monad*, Carpathian J. Math. **24** (2008), no. 24, 56–67.
6. V. P. Maslov and S. N. Samborskii, *Idempotent Analysis*, Adv. Soviet Math., **13**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1992.
7. O. R. Nykyforchyn, *The Sugeno integral and functional representation of the monad of lattice-valued capacities*, Topology **48** (2009), no. 2–4, 137–148. DOI: 10.1016/j.top.2009.11.012
8. O. R. Nykyforchyn and D. Repovš, *L-Convexity and Lattice-Valued Capacities*, J. Convex Anal. **21** (2014), no. 1, 29–52.
9. М. М. Заричный, О. Р. Никифорчин, *Функтор емкостей в категории компактов*, Матем. сб. **199** (2008), no. 2, 3–26. DOI: 10.4213/sm1504; **English version:** M. M. Zarichnyi and O. R. Nykyforchyn, *Capacity functor in the category of compacta*, Sb. Math. **199** (2008), no. 2, 159–184. DOI: 10.1070/SM2008v199n02ABEH003914
10. T. Radul, *A functional representation of capacity monad*, Topology **48** (2009), no. 2–4, 100–104. DOI: 10.1016/j.top.2009.11.007
11. T. Radul, *On functional representations of Lawson monads*, Appl. Categ. Struct. **9** (2001), no. 5, 457–463. DOI: 10.1023/A:1012052928198
12. T. Radul, *On strongly Lawson and I-Lawson monads*, Bol. Mat. (N. S.) **6** (1999), no. 2, 69–75.
13. T. Radul, *A functional representation of the hyperspace monad*, Commentat. Math. Univ. Carol. **38** (1997), no. 1, 165–168.
14. T. Radul, *Hyperspace as intersection of inclusion hyperspaces and idempotent measures*, Mat. Stud., **31** (2009), no. 2, 207–210.
15. М. М. Заричный, Т. Н. Радул, *Монады в категории компактов*, УМН **50** (1995), no. 3(303), 83–108; **English version:** M. M. Zarichnyi and T. N. Radul, *Monads in the category of compacta*, Russian Math. Surveys **50** (1995), no. 3, 549–574. DOI: 10.1070/RM1995v050n03ABEH002563
16. D. Schmeidler, *Subjective probability and expected utility without additivity*, Econometrica **57** (1989), no. 3, 571–587. DOI: 10.2307/1911053
17. A. Teleiko and M. Zarichnyi, *Categorical topology of compact Hausdorff spaces*, VNTL Publishers, Lviv, 1999.
18. M. Sugeno, *Fuzzy measures and fuzzy integrals – A survey*, Readings in Fuzzy Sets for Intelligent Systems, Edited by: Didier Dubois, Henri Prade and Ronald R. Yager 1993, pp. 251–257 DOI: 10.1016/B978-1-4832-1450-4.50027-4

- 19.W. Zhenyuan and G. Klir *Generalized measure theory*, Springer, New York, 2009. DOI: 10.1007/978-0-387-76852-6
- 20.M. M. Zarichnyi, *Triangular norms, nonlinear functionals and convexity theories*, Emerging Trends in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, 2018, Krakow, June 18–22, 2018, p. 170.

*Стаття: надійшла до редколегії 01.03.2019
доопрацьована 11.03.2019
прийнята до друку 13.03.2019*

ФУНКЦІОНАЛЬНЕ ЗОБРАЖЕННЯ МОНАДИ ЄМНОСТЕЙ НА ОСНОВІ МНОЖЕННЯ

Тарас РАДУЛ

*Львівський національний університет імені Івана Франка,
вул. Університетська 1, 79000, Львів
and Casimirus the Great University of Bydgoszcz, Poland
e-mail: tarasradul@yahoo.co.uk*

Функціональне зображення монади ємностей розглядалось в [10] і [7]. Никифорчин розглянув в [8] альтернативну структуру монади для певного підфунктора функтора ємностей, базовану на операціях максимуму та звичного множення. Ми показуємо, що ця монада має функціональне зображення, тобто простір ємностей на компактi X може бути природно вкладеним (зі збереженням структури монади) в деякий простір функціоналів на $C(X, I)$. Ми також описуємо цей простір функціоналів в термінах властивостей функціоналів.

Ключові слова: монада, ємність, нечіткий інтеграл, трикутна норма.