





( - , , ),

- .

-

, -

.

, -

:-

-, -

:- ( - , ( )),

- ( ).

-

, ,

, ( . .1), 100 , ,

, , , , ,

( , , , )

-

,-

,-

,-

, 24 . ( 71 %

)

( 20 , , , )

( . .1).

22

10

32 . ( . .2).

,

,

,

,

,

,-

	( , )	,	,		( )
	147	12	6	70	20
	7	0	0	60	0
	30	12	0	60	5
	98	8	2	142	9
	61	20	7	50	18
	80	6	0	124	8
	70	14	6	110	17
	51	14	3	72	10
	95	15	3	50	15
	76	2	1	64	7
	84	20	12	130	21
	17	30	0	52	9
	24	30	0	44	9
	72	18	23	0	22
	55	10	0	84	9
	16	12	2	44	5
	89	20	20	108	23
	54	22	12	20	19
	56	24	20	86	20
	77	24	26	20	24
	127	38	0	20	20
	25	30	7	62	13
	47	26	18	112	17
	75	20	6	102	20
	113	30	23	40	29
	127	25	25	150	28
	95	25	19	146	25
	59	14	10	100	16
	15	0	0	60	0
	141	26	0	152	18
	104	8	6	16	14
	110	20	12	78	23
	54	12	2	26	10
	31	8	0	72	4

10) (табл. 1)

2

	( )				( )
	76	25	0	35	15
	41	22	6	100	16
	51	0	5	160	10
	52	27	16	55	21
	61	37	10	100	22
	115	24	6	30	23
	45	20	4	62	16
	82	10	0	107	12
	66	0	0	12	6
	62	10	3	65	10
	45	0	32	117	13
	19	0	0	70	1
-	64,5	30	0	0	14
	69	0	0	100	6
	46	25	0	50	11
	106	0	0	137	10
	98	0	0	35	9
	48	15	0	30	9
	136	0	0	70	13
	82	42	42	17	28
	112	16	0	18	17
	64	14	0	137	11

(табл. 2)

, , , - , , -  
 , , .  
 , , , -  
 - , .  
 , , , , ,  
 - , , , , ,  
 , - .  
 , , , , , ,  
 , , , , , ( , , ,  
 , , , , , , , -  
 , , , , , , , , -  
 , , , , , , - ( 55 ), ,  
 , , , -  
 , , , , , , (15)  
 - .  
 .  
 . 50- (20 34) , ( -  
 , , , , , , , , , , ,  
 , , , , , , ) , , ,  
 , .  
 - , - ,  
 , , , , , , , , , , ,  
 , - .  
 , , , , , , , , , , , ,  
 1959-1994 . - , -  
 1,19 [1, . 352].  
 -



**GEOGRAPHICAL LOCATION AND ADMINISTRATIVE STATUS  
AS FACTOR OF DEVELOPMENT OF VERY SMALL URBAN SETTLEMENTS  
OF LVIV REGION**

**Natalia Dnistryanska, Myroslav Dnistryanskyy**

*Ivan Franko National University of Lviv,  
P. Doroshenko Str., 41, UA – 79000 Lviv, Ukraine*

Characteristic of geographical position of very small urban settlements of Lviv region was made. The level of their social-geographical periphery and its impact on the functioning of settlements were identified. Regular character of placing of a large number of cities and urban villages on the edge of the Carpathians and the Forecarpathians were proved. The differentiation of small urban settlements of Lviv region by features of administrative status and its socio-geographical importance were analyzed. Conclusion regarding inappropriate reduction of current administrative status of very small towns and urban villages was made.

*Key words:* very small urban settlements, very small town, urban village, geographical location of settlements, administrative status of settlements.