









.2. () . × 80: ; - ; ; - +;
 - ; - ; ; -
 - (. . 4,). - -
 0,5 . ,
 (. . 4,). 0,01 0,1 15 25 % (,)
 (0,05)
 (0,01) (0,01) - -
 ,
 (,), , -



.3. () .x 80: ; , - +;
- ; - ; , -
(). , -
, - ,
, -
, -
, -
() -
[3]. : -



4. () . × 80: , , - +, - ;
 ; , - .

1. _____ , 1986. . 121-132. //

2. ... // -
3. ... , 1987. . 47–52. // -
- ... , 1974. . 190–197.

**MICROMORPHOLOGICAL STRUCTURE OF THE SECOND PHASE OF THE KORSHIV
FOSSIL SOIL FORMATION OF THE PODILLYA UPLAND**

N. Palamarchuk

*Ivan Franko National University of Lviv,
Doroshenko St., 41, UA – 79000 Lviv, Ukraine*

The article deals with the macro- and micromorphological description of the second phase of the Korshiv fossil soil formation. We investigated only the soil of the second phase because the soil of the first phase is destroyed or is not found in the Grymayliv and Yarmolyntci support slits.

So, the analyzed types of soil of the second phase in terms of these slits bear resemblance to modern black soil but differ in the conditions of their formation.

Key words: micromorphological structure, fossil soil, loess, Middle Pleistocene, soil horizon, thin section.

06.06.2009
20.09.2009