

911.3

. . . . ,41, . . ,79000,

2001 . . . . . 2010 . . . . . -

2001 . . . . . 2001-2010 . . . . . 2010 . . . . . -

27 659,3 . . . . . 459, . . . . . 28 518,4 . . . . . 3 %

2001 . . . . . 454 . . . . . 859,1 . . . . . ( . . . 1) [2].

2001-2010 . . . . . [3]

	2001	2010
1 ( - )	5	4
500 .- 1 ( )	4	5
100 .- 500 .( )	36	36
50 .- 100 .( )	56	46
10 .- 50 .( )	271	275
10 .( )	82	93
	<b>454</b>	<b>459</b>

2001-2010 . . . . . ( 56 46).

2001 . . . . . 62,8 . . . . . 2010 .- 60,3 . . . . .

$$D = (Q_{2001}/Q_{2010}) * 100 \% - 100 \%;$$
 D – ; Q –

2001–2010 . 2.

-5 %  
 9 %, (-8 %), (-8 %), 1 % (-7 %)

2001 –  
 2010 . ( , - , ) , ,  
 1–2 % , +7 % .

2

2001–2010 . [2]


	2001 .	2010 .	D, %
	2611,3	2785,1	+7
	325,1	331,8	+2
	671,2	675,5	+1
	486,2	489,9	+1
	432,0	433,7	0
	851,5	854,3	0
	467,0	468,7	0
	633,5	636,2	0
	353,0	351,9	0
	348,9	344,4	-1
	1445,2	1436,3	-1
	1470,8	1441,3	-2
	414,9	403,4	-3
	1905,2	1850,3	-3
	1013,6	972,0	-4
	614,6	588,8	-4
	1336,9	1277,7	-4
	740,9	715,3	-4
	840,0	803,1	-4
	684,1	659,1	-4
	2725,5	2587,8	-5
	607,9	579,8	-5
	527,2	494,9	-6
	756,0	706,8	-7
	3915,8	3627,7	-8
	538,3	494,8	-8
	1800,7	1647,2	-9
	<b>28518,4</b>	<b>27659,3</b>	<b>-3</b>

...  
 ( +2 -1 %).  
 -3 %.  
 -4 -7 %.  
 ( , , , , ),  
 -3 -9 %.  
 -2 %  
 ( ) -6 % ( ).  
 -4 -8 %.  
 +1 %.  
 ( . 5 % ).  
 .3 , , : , ,  
 , 0 % . -3 % .  
 5 % .  
 8 % .  
 3

2001–2010 .

	D, %
1	0
500 . - 1	-3
100 . - 500 .	-3
50 . - 100 .	-5
10 . - 50 .	-5
10 .	-8
	-5

459 50  
 ( .4). 11 % .  
 , 29 % 8,5 11 .  
 ( 8 % )  
 , ( .); ( .);  
 ( .); ( .).  
 1 2 % . ,  
 ,  
 .  
 80 % . 10 %



2001–2010

2001–2010 .

										-
		+10 %	+6%– +10%	+2%– +5%	+1%– -1%	-2%– -5%	-6%– -10%	-11%– -15%	-15%	
1	-		1		1	2				4
	%		25		25	50				100
500	.-				1	4				5
1					20	80				100
100	.-			6	5	12	13			36
500				17	14	33	36			100
50	.-		3	2	7	13	11	7	3	46
100			7	4	15	28	24	15	7	100
10	.-	6	6	16	39	77	79	43	9	275
50		2	2	6	14	28	29	16	3	100
10	.-	1	2	7	9	26	32	11	5	93
	%	1	2	8	10	28	34	12	5	100
	-	7	12	31	62	134	135	61	17	459
	%	2	3	7	13	29	29	13	4	100

. -20 %.

10,4 . , 1/5 . 13 15 %

); , ( -

, ( .); ( .); , ; , -

( .); ( .) 1,5–2,0 % .

.5 , , , , -

0,5–2,0 % . -1 % ,

73 %.

5 , %

-	1	1	0	-1	-1
1	2	3	4	5	6
	-	6	6	75	13
	-	6	33	61	-
	-	27	27	36	10
	-	10	25	50	15
	-	-	-	58	42

1	2	3	4	5	6
	-	-	18	73	9
	-	9	36	55	-
	-	-	-	64	36
-	-	20	40	40	-
	8	15	23	31	23
	-	8	-	33	59
	-	-	-	27	73
	7	14	20	57	2
	-	12	-	44	44
	16	5	21	42	16
	-	7	7	66	20
	-	40	30	30	10
	-	-	-	67	33
	-	17	33	44	6
	-	-	6	53	41
	-	11	-	78	11
	-	31	8	61	-
	-	-	19	50	31
	-	27	-	55	18
	-	-	-	63	37
	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>25</b>

;  
 ;  
 , , , , ,  
 ;  
 , 0 %, - , -1,0 -1,5 %.

1. : <http://www.ukrcensus.gov.ua>
2. : <http://www.ukrstat.gov.ua>
3. : <http://www.citypopulation.de/Ukraine.html>
4. : <http://www.pop-stat.mashke.org>

02.09.2011  
20.12.2011

---

**DYNAMICS OF URBAN POPULATION IN UKRAINE AT BEGINNING OF 21 CENTURY****R. Kobzyak**

*Ivan Franko National University of Lviv,  
Doroshenko St., 41, UA – 79000 Lviv, Ukraine*

The change of number of Ukrainian cities' population was analyzed on the basis of statistical data of Ukrainian census in 2001 and data of State Statistical Committee of Ukraine. There was discovered the difference of movements' index of Ukrainian cities' population. The groups of cities' were marked by the change of their inhabitants' quantity. The distribution of Ukrainian regions was done on the basis of annual index of population's movement.

*Key words:* change of number, movements' index, cities.

**I**

... , 41, . , 79000,  
2001 .  
2010 .,